

Isoperimetric, Sobolev and Poincaré inequalities on hypersurfaces in sub-Riemannian Carnot groups

Francescopaolo Montefalcone *

October 29, 2009

Abstract

In this paper we shall study smooth submanifolds immersed in a k -step Carnot group $\mathbb{G}$ of homogeneous dimension Q . Among other results, we shall prove an isoperimetric inequality for the case of a $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth compact hypersurface S with - or without - boundary ∂S ; S and ∂S are endowed with their homogeneous measures σ_H^{n-1} , σ_H^{n-2} , actually equivalent to the intrinsic $(Q-1)$ -dimensional and $(Q-2)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measures w.r.t. some homogeneous metric ϱ on $\mathbb{G}$; see Section 5. This generalizes a classical inequality, involving the mean curvature of the hypersurface, proven by Michael and Simon, [63], and Allard, [1]. In particular, from this result one may deduce some related Sobolev-type inequalities; see Section 7. The strategy of the proof is inspired by the classical one. In particular, we shall begin by proving some linear isoperimetric inequalities. Once this is proven, one can deduce a local monotonicity formula and then conclude the proof by a covering argument. We stress however that there are many differences, due to our different geometric setting. Some of the tools which have been developed ad hoc in this paper are, in order, a “blow-up” theorem, which also holds for characteristic points, and a smooth Coarea Formula for the HS -gradient; see Section 3 and Section 4. Other tools are the horizontal integration by parts formula and the 1st variation of the H -perimeter σ_H^{n-1} already developed in [68, 69], and here generalized to hypersurfaces having non-empty characteristic set. Some natural applications of these results are in the study of minimal and constant horizontal mean curvature hypersurfaces. Moreover we shall prove some purely horizontal, local and global Poincaré-type inequalities as well as some related facts and consequences; see Section 4 and Section 5.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES: Carnot groups; Sub-Riemannian Geometry; Hypersurfaces; Isoperimetric Inequality; Sobolev and Poincaré Inequalities; Blow-up; Coarea Formula.

MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION: 49Q15, 46E35, 22E60.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Carnot groups, submanifolds and measures	8
2.1	Sub-Riemannian Geometry of Carnot groups	8
2.2	Hypersurfaces, H -regular submanifolds and measures	12
2.3	Geometry of immersed hypersurfaces	16
2.4	Integration by parts on hypersurfaces	18
2.5	1st variation of σ_H^{n-1}	21
3	Blow-up of the horizontal perimeter σ_H^{n-1}	24
4	A technical lemma: Coarea Formula for the HS-gradient	29

*F. M. is partially supported by University of Bologna, Italy, funds for selected research topics and by GNAMPA of INdAM, Italy.

5	Poincaré inequalities on compact hypersurfaces	31
6	Some Linear Isoperimetric inequalities	35
6.1	A weak monotonicity formula	37
6.2	Some weak inequalities on hypersurfaces	38
7	Isoperimetric Inequality on hypersurfaces	41
7.1	A strong linear inequality and its related monotonicity formula	43
7.2	Proof of Theorem 7.5	48
7.3	An application of the monotonicity formula: asymptotic behavior of σ_H^{n-1}	52
8	Sobolev-type inequalities on hypersurfaces	53

1 Introduction

In the last decades considerable efforts have been made to extend to the general setting of metric spaces the methods of Analysis and Geometric Measure Theory. This philosophy, in a sense already contained in Federer's treatise [32], has been pursued, among other authors, by Ambrosio [2], Ambrosio and Kirchheim [3, 4], Capogna, Danielli and Garofalo [12], Cheeger [18], Cheeger and Kleiner [20], David and Semmes [30], De Giorgi [31], Gromov [46, 47], Franchi, Gallot and Wheeden [35], Franchi and Lanconelli [36], Franchi, Serapioni and Serra Cassano [37, 40], Garofalo and Nhieu [43], Heinonen and Koskela [48], Jerison [56], Korany and Riemann [57], Pansu [74, 75], Rumin [79], but the list is far from being complete.

In this respect, *sub-Riemannian* or *Carnot-Carathéodory* geometries have become a subject of great interest also because of their connections with many different areas of Mathematics and Physics, such as PDE's, Calculus of Variations, Control Theory, Mechanics, Theoretical Computer Science. For references, comments and perspectives, we refer the reader to Montgomery's book [72] and the surveys by Gromov, [47] and Vershik and Gershkovich, [85]. We also mention, specifically for sub-Riemannian geometry, [83] and [76]. More recently, the so-called Visual Geometry has also received new impulses from this field; see [80], [23], [24] and references therein.

The setting of the sub-Riemannian geometry is that of a smooth manifold N , endowed with a smooth non-integrable distribution $H \subset TN$ of h -planes, or *horizontal subbundle* ($h \leq \dim N$). Such a distribution is endowed with a positive definite metric g_H , defined only on the subbundle H . The manifold N is said to be a *Carnot-Carathéodory space* or *CC-space* when one introduces the so-called *CC-metric* d_{cc} (see Definition 2.2). With respect to such a metric the only paths on the manifold which have finite length are tangent to the distribution H and therefore called *horizontal*. Roughly speaking, in connecting two points we are only allowed to follow horizontal paths joining them.

Throughout this paper we shall extensively study hypersurfaces immersed in Carnot groups which, for this reason, form the underlying ambient space. A *k-step Carnot group* $(\mathbb{G}, \bullet)$ is an n -dimensional, connected, simply connected, nilpotent and stratified Lie group (with respect to the group multiplication $\bullet$) whose Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfies:

$$\mathfrak{g} = H_1 \oplus \dots \oplus H_k, \quad [H_1, H_{i-1}] = H_i \quad (i = 2, \dots, k), \quad H_{k+1} = \{0\}.$$

Any Carnot group $\mathbb{G}$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is endowed with a one-parameter family of dilations, adapted to the stratification, that makes it a *homogeneous group*, in the sense of Stein's definition; see [82].

Carnot groups are of special interest for many reasons and, in particular, because they constitute a wide class of examples of sub-Riemannian geometries.

Note that, by a well-know result due to Mitchell [65] (see also Montgomery's book [72]), the *Gromov-Hausdorff tangent cone* at the regular points of a sub-Riemannian manifold is a Carnot group. This motivates the interest towards the study of Carnot groups, which play,

for sub-Riemannian geometries, an analogous role to that of Euclidean spaces in Riemannian geometry.

The initial development of Analysis in this setting was motivated by some works published in the first eighties. Among others, we cite the paper by Fefferman and Phong [34] about the so-called “subelliptic estimates” and that of Franchi and Lanconelli [36], where a Hölder regularity theorem was proven for a class of degenerate elliptic operators in divergence form. Meanwhile, the beginning of Geometric Measure Theory was perhaps an intrinsic isoperimetric inequality proven by Pansu in his Thesis [74], for the case of the *Heisenberg group* $\mathbb{H}^1$. For more results about isoperimetric inequalities on Lie groups and Carnot-Carathéodory spaces, see also [84], [46], [76], [43], [12], [35], [48].

For results on these topics, and for more detailed bibliographic references, we shall refer the reader to [2], [5], [6], [12], [38, 39, 40, 41, 42], [27, 28, 29], [44], [43], [58, 59], [67, 68], [55], [7].

We would also like to quote [21], [44], [77], [78], [13, 14], [8], [71], for some recent results about minimal and constant mean-curvature hypersurfaces immersed in the Heisenberg group.

In this paper we shall try to give some contribution to the study of both analytic and differential-geometric properties of hypersurfaces immersed in Carnot groups, endowed with the so-called H -perimeter measure σ_H^{n-1} . To this aim we will develop some technical tools and among the others, in Section 3, a “blow-up” result, which also holds for characteristic points and, in Section 4, a Coarea Formula for functions supported on the given hypersurface. Furthermore, we shall extend some previous results of [66, 68, 69], such as the integration by parts formulae and the 1st-variation formula of σ_H^{n-1} , to hypersurfaces having non-empty characteristic set. These tools are then used to investigate the validity of some linear, local and global Poincaré inequalities; see Section 5 and Section 6. In Section 7, we will extend to Carnot groups a classical isoperimetric inequality, proven by Michael and Simon in [63], for a general setting including Riemannian geometries, and, independently, by Allard in [1], for varifolds. Finally, in Section 8, we shall deduce the related Sobolev-type inequality, following a classical pattern by Federer-Fleming [33] and Mazja [62].

Very recently, some similar results in this direction have also been obtained by Danielli, Garofalo and Nhieu in [29], where a monotonicity estimate for the H -perimeter has been proven for graphical strips in the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^1$.

Now we would like to make a short comment about a classical isoperimetric inequality for compact hypersurfaces immersed in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$. This result, that will be generalized to our Carnot setting in Section 7, reads as follows:

Theorem (Isoperimetric Inequality; Case $S^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$) *Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ($n > 2$) be a C^2 -smooth compact hypersurface with - or without - boundary. Then*

$$\{\sigma_R^{n-1}(S)\}^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} \leq C_I \left\{ \int_S |\mathcal{H}_R| \sigma_R^{n-1} + \sigma_R^{n-2}(\partial S) \right\}$$

where $C_I > 0$ is a dimensional constant.

Here $\mathcal{H}_R$ denotes the mean curvature of S and σ_R^{n-1} , σ_R^{n-2} are, respectively, the $(n-1)$ -dimensional and the $(n-2)$ -dimensional Riemannian measures. The first step in the proof of this theorem is a linear inequality. More precisely, one proves that

$$\sigma_R^{n-1}(S) \leq R \left\{ \int_S |\mathcal{H}_R| \sigma_R^{n-1} + \sigma_R^{n-2}(\partial S) \right\}$$

where R is the radius of a Euclidean ball containing S . From this linear inequality and the Coarea Formula one gets the so-called *monotonicity inequality*, which says that, at every “density-point”¹ $x \in S$, one has

¹By definition, $x \in S$ is a *density-point* if $\lim_{t \searrow 0} \frac{\sigma^{n-1}(S_t)_R}{t^{n-1}} = \omega_{n-1}$ where ω_n denotes the Lebesgue measure of the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$.

$$-\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\sigma_R^{n-1}(S_t)}{t^{n-1}} \leq \frac{1}{t^{n-1}} \left\{ \int_{S_t} |\mathcal{H}_R| \sigma_R^{n-1} + \sigma_R^{n-2}(\partial S \cap B(x, t)) \right\}$$

for $\mathcal{L}^1$ -a.e. $t > 0$, where $S_t = S \cap B(x, t)$. By the monotonicity inequality, via a contradiction argument, one deduces a calculus lemma which, together with a well-known covering result of Vitali-type, allows to achieve the proof.

The importance of the monotonicity estimate can also be understood through one of its immediate consequences, that is the following asymptotic exponential estimate:

$$\sigma_R^{n-1}(S_t) \geq \omega_{n-1} t^{n-1} e^{-\mathcal{H}_0 t}$$

for $t \rightarrow 0^+$, where $x \in S$ is a density-point and $\mathcal{H}_0$ is any constant such that $|\mathcal{H}_R| \leq \mathcal{H}_0$. Note that for minimal hypersurfaces this immediately implies that

$$\sigma_R^{n-1}(S_t) \geq \omega_{n-1} t^{n-1} \quad (t \rightarrow 0^+).$$

As already said, a great part of this paper is concerned about the generalization of the above results to hypersurfaces immersed in Carnot groups.

Henceforth, we shall survey, in detail, the content of each section.

Section 2 is largely devoted to introduce the subject of Carnot groups and the study of immersed hypersurfaces (and, more generally, submanifolds) of Carnot groups. In particular, we shall introduce and describe the main geometric structures useful in this setting from many points of views, including basic facts about stratified and homogeneous Lie groups, Riemannian and sub-Riemannian geometries, intrinsic measures and connections. The presentation given here is, as much as possible, self-contained. Moreover, Section 2.4 and Section 2.5 describe two important tools in the study of hypersurfaces endowed with the so-called H -perimeter σ_H^{n-1} (see Definition 2.19), i.e. the horizontal divergence theorem w.r.t σ_H^{n-1} , its related integration by parts formula and the 1st variation of σ_H^{n-1} . A great part of this material can also be found in [66, 68, 69]. However, there is some novelty in the presentation given here. Indeed, some new corollaries are added and all these results are extended to hypersurfaces having possibly non-empty characteristic set. Here we would like to state the horizontal integration by parts formula because of its importance in this context.

Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a k -step Carnot group and let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth immersed hypersurface with boundary ∂S oriented by its unit normal vector η . Moreover, let HS denote the horizontal tangent bundle of S , which is the $(h-1)$ -dimensional subbundle of TS induced by the horizontal h -dimensional bundle $H \subset T\mathbb{G}$. Then, for every $X \in \mathbf{C}^1(S, H)$ one has

$$\int_S \{ \operatorname{div}_{HS} X + \langle C_H \nu_H, X \rangle \} \sigma_H^{n-1} = - \int_S \langle X, \overrightarrow{\mathcal{H}_H} \rangle \sigma_H^{n-1} + \int_{\partial S} \langle X, \eta_{HS} \rangle \sigma_H^{n-2},$$

where σ_H^{n-1} and σ_H^{n-2} denote, respectively, the “natural” intrinsic homogeneous measures on smooth $(n-1)$ -dimensional and $(n-2)$ -dimensional submanifolds of $\mathbb{G}$; see Section 2.2 and Section 2.4. In the above formula ν_H is the unit horizontal normal along S , η_{HS} is the unit horizontal normal along ∂S (see Remark 2.36), $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{H}_H} = \mathcal{H}_H \nu_H$ is the horizontal mean curvature vector of S (see Definition 2.31) and C_H is a linear operator acting on horizontal vectors, only depending on the structural constants of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = T_0\mathbb{G}$ and on the hypersurface S , through its - Riemannian - unit normal; see Definition 2.34. The horizontal linear operator C_H plays an important role in the study of hypersurfaces and, in particular, it is connected with the skew-symmetric part of the horizontal second fundamental form; see Remark 2.35.

The above formula enable us to define the following important 2nd order differential operator:

$$\mathcal{D}_{HS} \varphi := \Delta_{HS} \varphi + \langle C_H \nu_H, \operatorname{grad}_{HS} \varphi \rangle$$

for every $\varphi \in \mathbf{C}^\infty(S)$, where, by definition, $\Delta_{HS}\varphi := \operatorname{div}_{HS}(\operatorname{grad}_{HS}\varphi)$; see Section 2.3 and Definition 2.41. We note that the operator $\mathcal{D}_{HS}$ represents a sub-Riemannian generalization of the usual Laplace-Beltrami operator; see Proposition 2.42 for its main features.

Section 3 contains some “blow-up” results for the horizontal perimeter σ_H^{n-1} on any smooth hypersurface $S \subset \mathbb{G}$, or, in other words, the study of the following limit:

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\sigma_H^{n-1}\{S \cap B_\varrho(x, R)\}}{R^{Q-1}},$$

where $B_\varrho(x, R)$ is the ϱ -ball of center $x \in S$ and radius R and ϱ is a smooth homogeneous distance on $\mathbb{G}$. Notice that this limit represents the density of σ_H^{n-1} at $x \in S$. More precisely, after reminding a well-known blow-up procedure, valid for non-characteristic points of any (smooth enough) hypersurface S (see, for instance, [37, 38, 39], [9], [58, 59], [25]), we shall generalize it, under some regularity assumptions on S , also to the case of characteristic points of S ; see Theorem 3.1. We remind that the characteristic set C_S of S is the set of all points at which the horizontal projection of the unit normal vanishes, i.e. $C_S = \{x \in S : |\mathcal{P}_H \nu| = 0\}$. More precisely, let $x \in C_S \cap S$ and assume that, locally around x , S can be represented as a $\mathbf{C}^i$ -smooth X_α -graph, for some “vertical” direction $X_\alpha \in V := H^\perp$. For the sake of simplicity, let $x = 0 \in \mathbb{G}$. In such a case one has $S \cap B_\varrho(x, r) \subset \exp\{(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{\alpha-1}, \psi(\zeta), \zeta_{\alpha+1}, \dots, \zeta_n) \in \mathfrak{g}\}$, where ψ is a $\mathbf{C}^i$ -function satisfying:

$$\frac{\partial^{(l)}\psi}{\partial\zeta_{j_1}\dots\partial\zeta_{j_l}}(0) = 0 \quad \text{whenever} \quad \operatorname{ord}(j_1) + \dots + \operatorname{ord}(j_l) < i$$

for all $l \in \{1, \dots, i\}$. Then, we shall show that²

$$\sigma_H^{n-1}(S \cap B_\varrho(x, R)) \sim \kappa_\varrho(C_S(x))R^{Q-1} \quad \text{for } R \rightarrow 0^+$$

where the constant $\kappa_\varrho(C_S(x))$ can be explicitly computed by integrating σ_H^{n-1} along a polynomial hypersurface of “anisotropic” order $i = \operatorname{ord}(\alpha)$; see Theorem 3.1, Case (b).

In Section 4 we shall state and discuss another important tool, i.e. the Coarea Formula for the HS -gradient, that is an equivalent for smooth functions of the classical *Fleming-Rischel* formula. More precisely, let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth hypersurface and let $\varphi : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a $\mathbf{C}^1$ -smooth function. Then, one has

$$\int_S |\operatorname{grad}_{HS}\varphi(x)| \sigma_H^{n-1}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma_H^{n-2}\{\varphi^{-1}[s] \cap S\} ds.$$

We stress that $\sigma_H^{n-1}, \sigma_H^{n-2}$ are actually equivalent to the $(Q-1)$ -dimensional and $(Q-2)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measures associated to a given homogeneous distance ϱ on $\mathbb{G}$, where $Q(>n)$ is a positive integer representing the homogeneous dimension of $\mathbb{G}$; see Section 2.1 and Section 2.2.

In Section 5 we shall prove the validity of some global Poincaré-type inequalities on smooth compact hypersurfaces with - or without - boundary, immersed into $\mathbb{G}$. Here we just stress the relationship among these inequalities and some eigenvalues problems related to the 2nd order differential operator $\mathcal{D}_{HS}$ previously introduced; see Definition 2.43.

To be more precise, we shall first define some suitable isoperimetric constants, in purely geometric terms, and then show that these constants equal the infimum of their associated “horizontal” Rayleigh’s quotients, i.e.

$$\frac{\int_S |\operatorname{grad}_{HS}\psi| \sigma_H^{n-1}}{\int_S |\psi| \sigma_H^{n-1}}.$$

²The symbol $\sim$ means “asymptotic”.

For instance, if $\partial S \neq \emptyset$, let us set

$$\text{Isop}(S) := \inf \frac{\sigma_H^{n-2}(N)}{\sigma_H^{n-1}(S_1)},$$

where $N \cap \partial S = \emptyset$ and S_1 is the unique $(n-2)$ -dimensional submanifold of S such that $N = \partial S_1$. Then, we will prove that

$$\text{Isop}(S) = \inf \frac{\int_S |\text{grad}_{HS} \psi| \sigma_H^{n-1}}{\int_S |\psi| \sigma_H^{n-1}},$$

where the infimum is taken over all $\mathbf{C}^1$ -smooth functions on S such that $\psi|_{\partial S} = 0$. This constant is related to the first non-zero eigenvalue λ_1 of the following Dirichlet-type problem:

$$(P_2) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{D}_{HS} \psi = \lambda \psi & (\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \psi \in \mathbf{C}^2(S)); \\ \psi|_{\partial S} = 0; \end{cases}$$

see Definition 2.43. Indeed, it turns out that

$$\lambda_1 \geq \frac{(\text{Isop}(S))^2}{4};$$

see Corollary 5.7. Furthermore we shall prove some results about another isoperimetric constant; see, for more details, Theorem 5.9 and Corollary 5.10. The results stated in Section 5 are direct consequences of the Coarea Formula for the HS -gradient. The proofs follow the scheme of the Riemannian case, for which we refer the reader to a celebrated paper by Yau [87]; see also [15, 17].

In Section 6 we will try to generalize to our Carnot setting some classical differential-geometric results; see, for instance, [11] and references therein, but also [86] and [16]. The starting point is an integral formula very similar to the Euclidean Minkowski Formula; see also Corollary 2.39 for a precise statement. More precisely, we shall show that

$$(h-1) \sigma_H^{n-1}(S) \leq R \left\{ \int_S (|\mathcal{H}_H| + |C_H \nu_H|) \sigma_H^{n-1} + \sigma_H^{n-2}(\partial S) \right\}$$

whenever $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ is a smooth compact hypersurface with boundary and R denotes the radius of a ϱ -ball circumscribed about S .

From the above linear isoperimetric inequality, we shall deduce a number of simple geometric consequences. Among other results, Section 6.1 contains a weak monotonicity inequality for the H -perimeter σ_H^{n-1} ; see Proposition 6.3. In Section 6.2 we shall develop some weak Poincaré-type inequalities, depending on the local geometry of the hypersurface S ; see Theorem 6.5 and Theorem 6.6. For instance, let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth hypersurface with bounded horizontal mean curvature $\mathcal{H}_H$. Then, we shall prove that for every $x \in S$ there exists $R_0 \leq \text{dist}_\varrho(x, \partial S)$ such that

$$\left(\int_{S_R} |\psi|^p \sigma_H^{n-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C_p R \left(\int_{S_R} |\text{grad}_{HS} \psi|^p \sigma_H^{n-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad p \in [1, +\infty[$$

for all $\psi \in \mathbf{C}_0^1(S_R)$ and all $R \leq R_0$, where $S_R := S \cap B_\varrho(x, R)$.

These results are obtained by means of elementary “linear” estimates starting from the horizontal integration by parts formula, together with a careful analysis of the role played by the characteristic set C_S of S .

Section 7 contains perhaps the main result of this paper, i.e. an isoperimetric inequality for compact hypersurfaces with - or without - boundary, depending on the horizontal mean curvature $\mathcal{H}_H$ of the hypersurface. As already said in our introduction, this generalizes a classical and well-known result by Michael and Simon [63] and Allard [1].

To state the claimed inequality, let us first introduce some notation. Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a k -step Carnot group and let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth compact hypersurface with - at least - $\mathbf{C}^1$ -smooth boundary ∂S . Moreover, let us set (see, for more details, Remark 2.23):

- $\varpi := \frac{\mathcal{P}_V \nu}{|\mathcal{P}_H \nu|} = \sum_{i=2}^k \varpi_{H_i}$, where ν is the Riemannian unit normal vector along S . Moreover, $\mathcal{P}_H, \mathcal{P}_{H_i}$ and $\mathcal{P}_V$ denote, respectively, the projection operators onto H, H_i ($i = 2, \dots, k$) and $V := H^\perp = H_2 \oplus \dots \oplus H_k$.
- $\chi := \frac{\mathcal{P}_{VS} \eta}{|\mathcal{P}_{HS} \eta|}$, where η is the Riemannian unit normal vector along ∂S and $\mathcal{P}_{HS}, \mathcal{P}_{VS}$ denote, respectively, the projection operators onto HS and VS , which are the subbundles on TS induced by the decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = H \oplus V$.
- $\chi_{H_i S} := \mathcal{P}_{H_i S} \chi$, where, as above, $\mathcal{P}_{H_i S}$ denote the projection operators onto the subbundles $H_i S$ of $VS \subset TS$ ($i = 2, \dots, k$).

Furthermore, we shall assume that $|x_H| \leq \varrho(x)$ and that $c_i \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ($i = 2, \dots, k$) be positive constants satisfying:

$$|x_{H_i}| \leq c_i \varrho^i(x) \quad (i = 2, \dots, k),$$

where ϱ is a given smooth homogeneous distance on $\mathbb{G}$ and where we have set $\varrho(x) = \varrho(0, x)$.

Our main result reads as follows:

Theorem (Isoperimetric inequality) *Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a k -step Carnot group and let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth compact hypersurface with $\mathbf{C}^1$ -smooth boundary ∂S . Then*

$$\{\sigma_H^{n-1}(S)\}^{\frac{Q-2}{Q-1}} \leq C_I \left\{ \int_S |\mathcal{H}_H| \left(1 + \sum_{i=2}^k i c_i \varrho_S^{i-1} |\varpi_{H_i}| \right) \sigma_H^{n-1} + \int_{\partial S} \left(1 + \sum_{i=2}^k i c_i \varrho_S^{i-1} |\chi_{H_i S}| \right) \sigma_H^{n-2} \right\},$$

where C_I is a constant independent of S ; see Theorem 7.5.

In the above formula we have set $\varrho_S = \frac{\text{diam}_\varrho(S)}{2}$.

If we further assume that $\dim C_{\partial S} < n - 2$, then we shall see that there exists $C'_I > 0$ such that

$$\{\sigma_H^{n-1}(S)\}^{\frac{Q-2}{Q-1}} \leq C'_I \left\{ \int_S |\mathcal{H}_H| \left(1 + \sum_{i=2}^k i c_i \varrho_S^{i-1} |\varpi_{H_i}| \right) \sigma_H^{n-1} + \sigma_H^{n-2}(\partial S) \right\};$$

see Corollary 7.7. The proof of these results is heavily inspired from the classical one, for which we refer the reader to the book by Burago and Zalgaller [11]. A similar strategy was also fundamental in proving isoperimetric and Sobolev inequalities in abstract metric setting such as weighted Riemannian manifolds and graphs; see [22].

However, we have to say that there are still many non-trivial modifications to be done, due to the sub-Riemannian setting. Roughly speaking, the starting point will be again a linear inequality, yet somewhat different from those, purely horizontal, proven in Section 6; see , for a more precise statement, Section 7.1, Proposition 60. This linear-type inequality is then used to obtain a monotonicity estimate for the H -perimeter σ_H^{n-1} ; see Proposition 7.13. As in the Euclidean (and/or Riemannian) case, the *monotonicity inequality* is a differential inequality, expressing the local behavior of the derivative of the quotient

$$\frac{\sigma_H^{n-1}\{S \cap B_\varrho(x, t)\}}{t^{Q-1}} \quad (t > 0)$$

for $t \searrow 0^+$, whenever $x \in S \setminus C_S$ is non-characteristic; see Section 7.1. We will also discuss the possibility of performing such arguments for characteristic points, at least in some special cases; see, for instance, Lemma 7.14.

Section 7.2 is then devoted to the proof of the aforementioned isometric inequality.

In Section 7.3 we shall discuss a straightforward application of the monotonicity estimate. More precisely, let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth hypersurface that, for simplicity, is taken to be without boundary. Furthermore, let us assume that the horizontal mean curvature $\mathcal{H}_H$ be bounded. Then, for every $x \in S \setminus C_S$, we shall show that

$$\sigma_H^{n-1}(S_t) \geq \kappa_\varrho(\nu_H(x)) t^{Q-1} e^{-t\{\mathcal{H}_H^0(1+O(t))\}}$$

for $t \rightarrow 0^+$, where $\kappa_\varrho(\nu_H(x))$ denotes the “density” of σ_H^{n-1} at $x \in S \setminus C_S$, also called *metric factor*. Again, we shall tract the case in which $x \in C_S$, where S is immersed in the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^n$.

In Section 8 we shall discuss the equivalent Sobolev-type inequalities which can be deduced by the previous isoperimetric inequality, following a well-known and classical argument by Federer-Fleming [33] and Mazja [62]; see Theorem 8.1. Some corollaries will be proven, and among others, we shall show the following:

Corollary (Sobolev-type inequality) *Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a k -step Carnot group. Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth hypersurface without boundary and let $\mathcal{H}_H$ be globally bounded along S . Furthermore, let us assume that for every smooth $(n-2)$ -dimensional submanifold $N \subset S$ one has $\dim C_N < n-2$. Then there exists $C'_1 > 0$, only depending on the geometry of S , such that*

$$\left\{ \int_S |\psi|^{\frac{Q-1}{Q-2}} \sigma_H^{n-1} \right\}^{\frac{Q-2}{Q-1}} \leq C'_1 \int_S \{|\psi| + |\text{grad}_{HS} \psi|\} \sigma_H^{n-1}$$

for all $\psi \in \mathbf{C}_0^1(S)$.

See Theorem 8.8 for a more detailed statement.

2 Carnot groups, submanifolds and measures

2.1 Sub-Riemannian Geometry of Carnot groups

In this section, we will introduce the definitions and the main features concerning the sub-Riemannian geometry of Carnot groups. References for this large subject can be found, for instance, in [12], [45], [43], [46, 47], [58], [65], [72], [74, 75, 76], [83]. Let N be a $\mathbf{C}^\infty$ -smooth connected n -dimensional manifold and let $H \subset TN$ be an h -dimensional smooth subbundle of TN . For any $x \in N$, let T_x^k denote the vector subspace of $T_x N$ spanned by a local basis of smooth vector fields $X_1(x), \dots, X_h(x)$ for H around x , together with all commutators of these vector fields of order $\leq k$. The subbundle H is called *generic* if, for all $x \in N$, $\dim T_x^k$ is independent of the point x and *horizontal* if $T_x^k = TN$, for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The pair (N, H) is a k -step *CC-space* if is generic and horizontal and if $k := \inf\{r : T_x^r = TN\}$. In this case

$$0 = T^0 \subset H = T^1 \subset T^2 \subset \dots \subset T^k = TN \quad (1)$$

is a strictly increasing filtration of *subbundles* of constant dimensions $n_i := \dim T^i$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$). Setting $(H_i)_x := T_x^i \setminus T_x^{i-1}$, then $\mathbf{gr}(T_x N) := \bigoplus_{i=1}^k (H_i)_x$ is its associated *graded Lie algebra*, at the point $x \in N$, with Lie product induced by $[\cdot, \cdot]$. We set $h_i := \dim H_i = n_i - n_{i-1}$ ($n_0 = h_0 = 0$) and, for simplicity, $h := h_1 = \dim H$. The k -vector $\bar{h} = (h, \dots, h_k)$ is the *growth vector* of H .

Definition 2.1. $\underline{X} = \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ is a **graded frame** for N if $\{X_{i_j}(x) : n_{j-1} < i_j \leq n_j\}$ is a basis for H_{j_x} for all $x \in N$ and all $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$.

Definition 2.2. A **sub-Riemannian metric** $g_H = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H$ on N is a symmetric positive bilinear form on H . If (N, H) is a *CC-space*, the **CC-distance** $d_{cc}(x, y)$ between $x, y \in N$ is given by

$$d_{cc}(x, y) := \inf \int \sqrt{\langle \dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma} \rangle_H} dt,$$

where the infimum is taken over all piecewise-smooth horizontal paths γ joining x to y .

In fact, Chow's Theorem implies that d_{cc} is a true metric on N and that any two points can be joined with - at least one - horizontal path. The topology induced on N by the CC-metric is equivalent to the standard manifold topology; see [46], [72].

The general setting introduced above is the starting point of sub-Riemannian geometry. A nice and very large class of examples of these geometries is represented by *Carnot groups* which, for many reasons, play in sub-Riemannian geometry an analogous role to that of Euclidean spaces in Riemannian geometry. Below we will introduce their main features. For the geometry of Lie groups we refer the reader to Helgason's book [50] and Milnor's paper [64], while, specifically for sub-Riemannian geometry, to Gromov, [46], Pansu, [74, 76], and Montgomery, [72].

A *k-step Carnot group* $(\mathbb{G}, \bullet)$ is an n -dimensional, connected, simply connected, nilpotent and stratified Lie group (with respect to the multiplication $\bullet$) whose Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(\cong \mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies:

$$\mathfrak{g} = H_1 \oplus \dots \oplus H_k, \quad [H_1, H_{i-1}] = H_i \quad (i = 2, \dots, k), \quad H_{k+1} = \{0\}. \quad (2)$$

We denote by 0 the identity on $\mathbb{G}$ and so $\mathfrak{g} \cong T_0\mathbb{G}$. The smooth subbundle H_1 of the tangent bundle $T\mathbb{G}$ is said to be *horizontal* and henceforth denoted by H . We set $V := H_2 \oplus \dots \oplus H_k$ and call V the *vertical subbundle* of $T\mathbb{G}$. Moreover, we set $h_i = \dim H_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$). H is generated by a frame $\underline{X}_H := \{X_1, \dots, X_h\}$ of left-invariant vector fields which can be completed to a global graded and left-invariant frame, say $\underline{X} := \{X_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$. We set $n_l := h + \dots + h_l$ ($n_0 = h_0 := 0, h = h_1, n_k = n$) and

$$H_l = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \{X_i : n_{l-1} < i \leq n_l\}.$$

The standard basis $\{e_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ of $\mathbb{R}^n \cong \mathfrak{g}$ can be relabelled to be graded or *adapted to the stratification*. Any left-invariant vector field of $\underline{X}$ is given by $X_i(x) = L_{x*}e_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), where L_{x*} denotes the differential of the left-translation at x .

Notation 2.3. *In the sequel, we shall set $I_H := \{1, \dots, h_1\}$, $I_{H_2} := \{h_1 + 1, \dots, n_2 (= h_1 + h_2)\}, \dots$, $I_{H_k} := \{n_{k-1} + 1, \dots, n_k (= n)\}$, and $I_V := \{h_1 + 1, \dots, n\}$. Moreover, we will use Latin letters $i, j, k, \dots$, for indices belonging to I_H and Greek letters $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \dots$, for indices belonging to I_V . Unless otherwise specified, capital Latin letters $I, J, K, \dots$, may denote any generic index. Finally, we define the function $\text{ord} : \{1, \dots, n\} \longrightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}$ by $\text{ord}(I) := i$ if, and only if, $n_{i-1} < I \leq n_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$).*

If $x \in \mathbb{G}$ and $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ we set $\gamma_x^X(t) := \exp[tX](x)$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}$), i.e. γ_x^X is the integral curve of X starting from x and it is a 1-parameter subgroup of $\mathbb{G}$. The *Lie group exponential map* is then defined by

$$\exp : \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}, \quad \exp(X) := \exp[X](1).$$

It turns out that $\exp$ is an analytic diffeomorphism between $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathbb{G}$ whose inverse will be denoted by $\log$. Moreover we have

$$\gamma_x^X(t) = x \bullet \exp(tX) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

From now on we shall fix on $\mathbb{G}$ the so-called *exponential coordinates of 1st kind* which are the coordinates associated to the map $\log$.

As for any nilpotent Lie group, the *Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula* (see [26]) uniquely determines the group multiplication $\bullet$ of $\mathbb{G}$, from the "structure" of its own Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. In fact, one has

$$\exp(X) \bullet \exp(Y) = \exp(X \star Y) \quad (X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}),$$

where $\star : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is the *Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff product* defined by

$$X \star Y = X + Y + \frac{1}{2}[X, Y] + \frac{1}{12}[X, [X, Y]] - \frac{1}{12}[Y, [X, Y]] + \text{brackets of length } \geq 3. \quad (3)$$

Using exponential coordinates, (3) implies that the group multiplication $\bullet$ of $\mathbb{G}$ is polynomial and explicitly computable; see [26]. Moreover, $0 = \exp(0, \dots, 0)$ and the inverse of $p \in \mathbb{G}$ ($x = \exp(x_1, \dots, x_n)$) is $x^{-1} = \exp(-x_1, \dots, -x_n)$.

Whenever H is endowed with a metric $g_H = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H$, we say that $\mathbb{G}$ has a *sub-Riemannian structure*. It is always possible to define a left-invariant Riemannian metric $g = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $\mathbb{G}$ such that $\underline{X}$ is *orthonormal* and $g|_H = g_H$. Notice that if we fix a Euclidean metric on $\mathfrak{g} = T_0\mathbb{G}$ (which makes $\{e_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ an orthonormal basis), this metric naturally extends to the whole tangent bundle, by left-translation.

Since Chow's Theorem holds true for Carnot groups, the *Carnot-Carathéodory distance* d_{cc} associated with g_H can be defined. The pair $(\mathbb{G}, d_{cc})$ turns out to be a complete metric space on which every couple of points can be joined by - at least - one d_{cc} -geodesic.

Carnot groups are *homogeneous groups*, i.e. they are equipped with a 1-parameter group of automorphisms $\delta_t : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ ($t \geq 0$); see [82]. By using exponential coordinates, it turns out that $\delta_t x := \exp(\sum_{j,i_j} t^j x_{i_j} e_{i_j})$, where $x = \exp(\sum_{j,i_j} x_{i_j} e_{i_j}) \in \mathbb{G}$.³ Furthermore, we shall set $\hat{\delta}_t(\log x) := \sum_{j,i_j} t^j x_{i_j} e_{i_j}$ to denote the induced dilations on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. The *homogeneous dimension* of $\mathbb{G}$ is then the integer $Q := \sum_{i=1}^k i h_i$, coinciding with the *Hausdorff dimension* of $(\mathbb{G}, d_{cc})$ as a metric space; see [65], [72], [46].

Definition 2.4. A continuous distance $\varrho : \mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{0\}$ is called **homogenous** if one has

- (i) $\varrho(x, y) = \varrho(z \bullet x, z \bullet y)$ for every $x, y, z \in \mathbb{G}$;
- (ii) $\varrho(\delta_t x, \delta_t y) = t \varrho(x, y)$ for all $t \geq 0$.

The CC-distance d_{cc} is the fundamental example of a homogeneous distance on any Carnot group $\mathbb{G}$. Another example of a homogeneous distance for Carnot groups has been recently defined by Franchi, Serapioni and Serra Cassano and can be found in the Appendix of [39]. We stress that *on every Carnot group there exists a smooth, subadditive and homogeneous norm*; see [49]. This means that there exists a function $\|\cdot\|_\varrho : \mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{0\}$ such that:

- (i) $\|x \bullet y\|_\varrho \leq \|x\|_\varrho + \|y\|_\varrho$;
- (ii) $\|\delta_t x\|_\varrho = t \|x\|_\varrho$ ($t \geq 0$);
- (iii) $\|x\|_\varrho = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0$;
- (iv) $\|x\|_\varrho = \|x^{-1}\|_\varrho$;
- (v) $\|\cdot\|_\varrho$ is continuous;
- (vi) $\|\cdot\|_\varrho$ is smooth on $\mathbb{G} \setminus \{0\}$.

For instance, a homogeneous norm ϱ which is smooth on $\mathbb{G} \setminus \{0\}$ can be defined by

$$\|x\|_\varrho := (|x_H|^\lambda + |x_{H_2}|^{\lambda/2} + |x_{H_3}|^{\lambda/3} + \dots + |x_{H_k}|^{\lambda/k})^{1/\lambda},$$

where λ is a positive number evenly divisible by i , for $i = 1, \dots, k$. Here $|x_{H_i}|$ denotes the Euclidean norm of the projection of x onto the i -th layer H_i of the stratification of $\mathfrak{g}$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$).

Having a Riemannian metric, we define the left-invariant co-frame $\underline{\omega} := \{\omega_I : I = 1, \dots, n\}$ dual to $\underline{X}$. In particular, the *left-invariant 1-forms*⁴ ω_i are uniquely determined by the condition:

$$\omega_I(X_J) = \langle X_I, X_J \rangle = \delta_I^J \quad (I, J = 1, \dots, n)$$

³Here, $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and $i_j \in I_{H_j} = \{n_{j-1} + 1, \dots, n_j\}$.

⁴That is, $L_p^* \omega_I = \omega_I$ for every $p \in \mathbb{G}$.

where δ_I^J denotes the Kronecker delta. We remind that the *structural constants* of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ associated with the left invariant frame $\underline{X}$ are defined by

$$C_{IJ}^R := \langle [X_I, X_J], X_R \rangle \quad (I, J, R = 1, \dots, n)$$

and satisfy:

- (i) $C_{IJ}^R + C_{JI}^R = 0$, (skew-symmetry)
- (ii) $\sum_{J=1}^n C_{JL}^I C_{RM}^J + C_{JM}^I C_{LR}^J + C_{JR}^I C_{ML}^J = 0$ (Jacobi's identity).

The stratification hypothesis on the Lie algebra implies the following important property:

$$X_i \in H_l, X_j \in H_m \implies [X_i, X_j] \in H_{l+m}. \quad (4)$$

Therefore, if $i \in I_{H_s}$ and $j \in I_{H_r}$, one has:

$$C_{ij}^m \neq 0 \implies m \in I_{H_{s+r}}. \quad (5)$$

Definition 2.5. Throughout this paper we shall make use of the following notation:

- (i) $C_H^\alpha := [C_{ij}^{\alpha}]_{i,j \in I_H} \in \mathcal{M}_{h_1 \times h_1}(\mathbb{R}) \quad (\alpha \in I_{H_2});$
- (ii) $C^\alpha := [C_{IJ}^{\alpha}]_{I,J=1,\dots,n} \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}) \quad (\alpha \in I_V).$

The linear operators associated with these matrices will be denoted in the same way.

Definition 2.6. The i -th curvature of the distribution H ($i = 1, \dots, k$) is the antisymmetric, bilinear map, given by

$$\Omega_{H_i} : H \otimes H_i \longrightarrow H_{i+1}, \quad \Omega_{H_i}(X \otimes Y) := [X, Y] \mod T^i \quad \forall X \in H, \forall Y \in H_i.$$

From the very definition of k -step Carnot group, it follows that $\Omega_{H_k}(\cdot, \cdot) = 0$. Note the 1st curvature $\Omega_H(\cdot, \cdot) := \Omega_{H_1}(\cdot, \cdot)$ coincides with the curvature of a distribution in the sense of [45], [47], [72].

Notation 2.7. If $Y \in T\mathbb{G}$, we denote by $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_k)$ its canonical decomposition w.r.t. the grading of the tangent space, i.e. $Y = \sum_{i=1}^k \mathcal{P}_{H_i}(Y)$, where $\mathcal{P}_{H_i}$ denotes the orthogonal projection onto H_i ($i = 1, \dots, k$). We also set $\Omega_V(X, Y) := \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \Omega_{H_i}(X, Y_i)$ for every $X \in H, Y \in T\mathbb{G}$.

The next lemma relates the above notions.

Lemma 2.8. Let $X \in H$ and $Y, Z \in T\mathbb{G}$. Then we have

- (i) $\langle \Omega_H(X, Y), Z \rangle = - \sum_{\alpha \in I_{H_2}} \langle Z, X_\alpha \rangle \langle C_H^\alpha X, Y \rangle;$
- (ii) $\langle \Omega_V(X, Y), Z \rangle = - \sum_{\alpha \in I_V} \langle Z, X_\alpha \rangle \langle C^\alpha X, Y \rangle.$

Proof. The proof is a straightforward consequence of Definition 2.6 and Definition 2.5. □

Definition 2.9. We shall denote by ∇ the - unique - **left-invariant Levi-Civita connection** on $\mathbb{G}$ associated with g . Moreover, if $X, Y \in \mathbf{C}^\infty(\mathbb{G}, H)(:= \mathfrak{X}(H))$, we shall set $\nabla_X^H Y := \mathcal{P}_H(\nabla_X Y)$. We stress that ∇^H is an example of partial connection, called **horizontal H -connection**; see [68] and references therein.

Remark 2.10. From Definition 2.9, using the properties of structural constants of any Levi-Civita connection, we get that the horizontal connection ∇^H is **flat**, i.e. $\nabla_{X_i}^H X_j = 0$ ($i, j \in I_H$). Note that ∇^H is **compatible with the sub-Riemannian metric** g_H , i.e.

$$X\langle Y, Z \rangle_H = \langle \nabla_X^H Y, Z \rangle_H + \langle Y, \nabla_X^H Z \rangle_H \quad \forall X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(H).$$

This follows immediately from the very definition of ∇^H and the corresponding properties of the Levi-Civita connection ∇ on $\mathbb{G}$. Furthermore, ∇^H is **torsion-free**, i.e.

$$\nabla_X^H Y - \nabla_Y^H X - \mathcal{P}_H[X, Y] = 0 \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(H).$$

For the global left-invariant frame $\underline{X} = \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ it turns out that

$$\nabla_{X_I} X_J = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{R=1}^n (C_{IJ}^R - C_{JR}^I + C_{RI}^J) X_R \quad (I, J = 1, \dots, n). \quad (6)$$

Definition 2.11. If $\psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{G})$ we define the **horizontal gradient** of ψ , $\text{grad}_H \psi$, as the - unique - horizontal vector field such that

$$\langle \text{grad}_H \psi, X \rangle_H = d\psi(X) = X\psi \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{X}(H).$$

The **horizontal divergence** of $X \in \mathfrak{X}(H)$, $\text{div}_H X$, is defined, at each point $x \in \mathbb{G}$, by

$$\text{div}_H X(x) := \text{Trace}(Y \longrightarrow \nabla_Y^H X)(x) \quad (Y \in H_x).$$

We end this section with some examples.

Example 2.12 (Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^n$). Let $\mathfrak{h}_n := T_0\mathbb{H}^n = \mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ denote the Lie algebra of the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^n$ that is the most important example of 2-step Carnot group. Its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_n$ is defined by the rules

$$[e_i, e_{i+1}] = e_{2n+1} \quad (7)$$

where $i = 2k+1$ and $k = 0, \dots, n-1$, and all other commutators are 0. One has $\mathfrak{h}_n = H \oplus \mathbb{R}e_{2n+1}$ where $H = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{e_i : i = 1, \dots, 2n\}$. In particular, the 2nd layer of the grading $\mathbb{R}e_{2n+1}$ is the center of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_n$. These conditions determine the group law $\bullet$ via the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula. More precisely, if $x = \exp(\sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} x_i X_i)$, $y = \exp(\sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} y_i X_i) \in \mathbb{H}^n$, it turns out that

$$x \bullet y = \exp\left(x_1 + y_1, \dots, x_{2n} + y_{2n}, x_{2n+1} + y_{2n+1} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n (x_{2k-1} y_{2k} - x_{2k} y_{2k-1})\right).$$

Example 2.13 (Engel group $\mathbb{E}^1$). The Engel group is a simple but significant example of a 3-step Carnot group. Its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{e}$ is 4-dimensional and can be defined by the rules:

$$[e_1, e_2] = e_3, \quad [e_1, e_3] = [e_2, e_3] = e_4.$$

The other commutators vanish. One has $\mathfrak{e} = H \oplus \mathbb{R}e_3 \oplus \mathbb{R}e_4$, where $H = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{e_1, e_2\}$. The center of $\mathfrak{e}$ is $\mathbb{R}e_4$.

2.2 Hypersurfaces, H -regular submanifolds and measures

In the sequel, $\mathcal{H}_{cc}^m$ and $\mathcal{S}_{cc}^m$ will denote, respectively, the usual and the spherical Hausdorff measures associated with the CC-distance⁵.

The - left-invariant - Riemannian volume form on $\mathbb{G}$ is defined as $\sigma_R^n := \Lambda_{i=1}^n \omega_i \in \Lambda^n(T\mathbb{G})$.

⁵We remind that:

Remark 2.14. By integrating σ_R^n we obtain a measure $d\text{vol}_R^n$, which is the **Haar measure** of $\mathbb{G}$; see [32]. Since the determinant of L_{x*} is equal to 1 ($x \in \mathbb{G}$), this measure equals that induced on $\mathbb{G}$ by the push-forward of the n -dimensional Lebesgue measure $\mathcal{L}^n$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \cong \mathfrak{g}$. Moreover, up to a constant multiple, $d\text{vol}_R^n$ equals the Q -dimensional Hausdorff measure $\mathcal{H}_{\text{cc}}^Q$ on $\mathbb{G}$. This is because they are both Haar measures for $\mathbb{G}$ and therefore equal, up to a constant; see [72]. We shall assume this constant is equal to 1.

In the study of hypersurfaces of Carnot groups we need the notion of *characteristic point*.

Definition 2.15. Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a $\mathbf{C}^r$ -smooth ($r = 1, \dots, \infty$) hypersurface. Then we say that $x \in S$ is a **characteristic point** of S if $\dim H_x = \dim(H_x \cap T_x S)$ or, equivalently, if $H_x \subset T_x S$. The **characteristic set** of S is denoted by C_S . One has

$$C_S := \{x \in S : \dim H_x = \dim(H_x \cap T_x S)\}.$$

Thus, a hypersurface $S \subset \mathbb{G}$, oriented by its unit normal vector ν , is *non-characteristic* if, and only if, the horizontal subbundle H is *transversal* to S ($H \pitchfork TS$). We have then

$$H_p \pitchfork T_x S \iff \mathcal{P}_H \nu_x \neq 0 \iff \exists X \in \mathfrak{X}(H) : \langle X_x, \nu_p \rangle \neq 0 \quad (x \in S),$$

where $\mathcal{P}_H : T\mathbb{G} \rightarrow H$ denotes the orthogonal projection onto H .

Remark 2.16 (Hausdorff measure of C_S ; see [58]). If $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ is a $\mathbf{C}^1$ -smooth hypersurface, then the intrinsic $(Q - 1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure of the characteristic set C_S vanishes, i.e. $\mathcal{H}_{\text{cc}}^{Q-1}(C_S) = 0$.

Remark 2.17 (Riemannian measure on hypersurfaces). Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a $\mathbf{C}^1$ -smooth hypersurface and let ν denote the unit normal vector along S . The $(n - 1)$ -dimensional Riemannian measure along S can be defined by

$$\sigma_R^{n-1} \llcorner S := (\nu \lrcorner \sigma_R^n)|_S, \quad (8)$$

where $\lrcorner$ denotes the contraction, or interior product, of a differential form⁶.

Definition 2.18. Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{G}$ be open and $f \in L^1(U)$. We say that f has **H -bounded variation** on U if the following holds:

$$|\nabla^H f|_H(U) := \sup \left\{ \int_U f \operatorname{div}_H Y \, d\mathcal{L}^n : Y \in \mathbf{C}_0^1(U, H), |Y|_H \leq 1 \right\} < \infty.$$

Let $HBV(U)$ denote the vector space of **bounded H -variation functions** in U . By Riesz' Theorem it turns out that $|\nabla^H f|_H$ is a Radon measure on U and that there exists a horizontal $|\nabla^H f|_H$ -measurable vector field ν_f such that $|\nu_f|_H = 1$ for $|\nabla^H f|_H$ -a.e. $p \in U$ and that

$$\int_U f \operatorname{div}_H Y \, d\mathcal{L}^n = \int_U \langle Y, \nu_f \rangle_H \, d|\nabla^H f|_H \quad \forall Y \in \mathbf{C}_0^1(U, H).$$

(i) $\mathcal{H}_{\text{cc}}^m(S) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0+} \mathcal{H}_{\text{cc},\delta}^m(S)$ where, up to a constant multiple,

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{cc},\delta}^m(S) = \inf \left\{ \sum_i \left(\operatorname{diam}_H(C_i) \right)^m : S \subset \bigcup_i C_i; \operatorname{diam}_H(C_i) < \delta \right\}$$

and the infimum is taken w.r.t. any non-empty family of closed subsets $\{C_i\}_i \subset \mathbb{G}$;

(ii) $\mathcal{S}_{\text{cc}}^m(S) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0+} \mathcal{S}_{\text{cc},\delta}^m(S)$ where, up to a constant multiple,

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{cc},\delta}^m(S) = \inf \left\{ \sum_i \left(\operatorname{diam}_H(B_i) \right)^m : S \subset \bigcup_i B_i; \operatorname{diam}_H(B_i) < \delta \right\}$$

and the infimum is taken w.r.t. closed d_H -balls B_i .

⁶The linear map $\lrcorner : \Lambda^k(T\mathbb{G}) \rightarrow \Lambda^{k-1}(T\mathbb{G})$ is defined, for $X \in T\mathbb{G}$ and $\omega^k \in \Lambda^k(T\mathbb{G})$, by $(X \lrcorner \omega^k)(Y_1, \dots, Y_{k-1}) := \omega^k(X, Y_1, \dots, Y_{k-1})$; see [50], [32].

We say that a measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{G}$ has finite H -perimeter in U if $\chi_E \in \text{HBV}(U)$. The H -perimeter of E in U is the Radon measure $|\partial E|_H(U) := |\nabla^H \chi_E|_H(U)$. We call **generalized unit H -normal along ∂E** the Radon $\mathbb{R}^h$ -measure $\nu_E := -\nu_{\chi_E}$; see [2], [12], [37, 38, 39], [43].

Just as in [66, 68, 69] (see also [21], [55], [78]), since we are studying smooth hypersurfaces, instead of the previous “weak” definition of H -perimeter measure, we shall define an $(n-1)$ -differential form which, by integration, coincides with the H -perimeter measure.

Definition 2.19 (σ_H^{n-1} -measure on hypersurfaces). *Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a $\mathbf{C}^1$ -smooth non-characteristic hypersurface and let us denote by ν its unit normal vector. We will call **unit H -normal along S** , the normalized projection of ν onto H , i.e.*

$$\nu_H := \frac{\mathcal{P}_H \nu}{|\mathcal{P}_H \nu|}.$$

We then define the $(n-1)$ -dimensional measure σ_H^{n-1} along S to be the measure associated with the $(n-1)$ -differential form $\sigma_H^{n-1} \in \Lambda^{n-1}(TS)$ given by contraction of the volume form σ_R^n of $\mathbb{G}$ with the horizontal unit normal ν_H , i.e.

$$\sigma_H^{n-1} \llcorner S := (\nu_H \lrcorner \sigma_R^n)|_S. \quad (9)$$

If we allow S to have characteristic points we may trivially extend the definition of σ_H^{n-1} by setting $\sigma_H^{n-1} \llcorner C_S = 0$. Note that $\sigma_H^{n-1} \llcorner S = |\mathcal{P}_H \nu| \cdot \sigma_R^{n-1} \llcorner S$.

From the definition we immediately get that

$$\sigma_H^{n-1} \llcorner S = \sum_{i \in I_H} \nu_H^i (X_i \lrcorner \sigma_R^n)|_S = \sum_{i \in I_H} \nu_H^i * \omega_i|_S = \sum_{i \in I_H} (-1)^{i+1} \nu_H^i (\omega_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{\omega_i} \wedge \dots \wedge \omega_n)|_S,$$

where $\nu_H^i := \langle \nu_H, X_i \rangle$ ($i \in I_H$). The symbol $*$ denotes the *Hodge star* operation; see [50], [53].

Remark 2.20. *We would like to point out that*

$$\sigma_H^{n-1}(S \cap U) = \int_{S \cap U} \sqrt{\langle X_1, \mathbf{n}_e \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^2 + \dots + \langle X_m, \mathbf{n}_e \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^2} d\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{e}\mathbf{u}}^{n-1}, \quad (10)$$

where $\mathbf{n}_e$ denotes the unit Euclidean normal along S , and that its unit H -normal is given by

$$\nu_H = \frac{(\langle X_1, \mathbf{n}_e \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}, \dots, \langle X_h, \mathbf{n}_e \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n})}{\sqrt{\langle X_1, \mathbf{n}_e \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^2 + \dots + \langle X_h, \mathbf{n}_e \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}^2}}.$$

Here, the Euclidean normal $\mathbf{n}_e$ along S and the vector fields X_i ($i \in I_H$) of the horizontal left-invariant frame $\underline{X}_H$, are thought of as vectors in $\mathbb{R}^n$, endowed with its canonical inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^n}$. We note that the - Riemannian - unit normal ν along S may be represented w.r.t. the global left-invariant frame $\underline{X}$ for $\mathbb{G}$, in terms of the Euclidean normal $\mathbf{n}_e$. One has

$$\nu(x) = \frac{L_{x*} \mathbf{n}_e(x)}{|L_{x*} \mathbf{n}_e(x)|} \quad (x \in S),$$

where $L_{x*}(\cdot) = [X_1(\cdot), \dots, X_n(\cdot)] \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$.

The comparison between different notions of measures on submanifolds, is a basic problem of GMT in the setting of Carnot-Carathéodory spaces; see [9], [10], [58, 59], [37, 38, 39]. In the case of smooth hypersurfaces in Carnot groups, one may compare the H -perimeter measure with the $(Q-1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure associated with either the CC-distance d_{cc} or with any homogeneous distance ϱ . In general, thanks to a remarkable density estimate for H -perimeter measure proved in [2], one can prove the following:

Theorem 2.21. *If $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ is a $\mathbf{C}^1$ -smooth hypersurface which is locally the boundary of an open set E having locally finite H -perimeter, then*

$$|\partial E|_H(\mathcal{B}) = k_\varrho(\nu_H) \mathcal{S}_{\text{cc}}^{Q-1} \mathbf{L}(S \cap \mathcal{B}) \quad \forall \mathcal{B} \in \text{Bor}(\mathbb{G}), \quad (11)$$

where $k_\varrho(\nu_H)$ depends on ν_H and on the fixed homogeneous metric ϱ on $\mathbb{G}$

This “density” is sometimes called *metric factor*; see [58]. Because of regularity of ∂E , it turns out that

$$|\partial E|_H(\mathcal{B}) = \sigma_H^{n-1} \mathbf{L}(S \cap \mathcal{B})$$

for all $\mathcal{B} \in \text{Bor}(\mathbb{G})$. A proof of the previous theorem can be found in [58]. We shall extensively discuss the previous result throughout Section 3.

Definition 2.22. *If ν_H is the horizontal unit normal along S , at each regular point $x \in S \setminus C_S$ one has that $H_x = (\nu_H)_x \oplus H_x S$, where we have set $H_x S := H_x \cap T_x S$; $H_x S$ is the horizontal tangent space at x along S . Moreover, we define in the obvious way the associated subbundles $HS(\subset TS)$ and $\nu_H S$, called, respectively, **horizontal tangent bundle** and **horizontal normal bundle** of S .*

Remark 2.23 (Induced stratification on TS ; see [46]). *The stratification of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ induces a “natural” decomposition of the tangent space of any smooth submanifold of $\mathbb{G}$. Let us analyze the case of a hypersurface $S \subset \mathbb{G}$. More precisely, let us intersect, at each point $x \in S$, the tangent spaces $T_x S \subset T_x \mathbb{G}$ with $T_x^i = \bigoplus_{j=1}^i (H_j)_x$. We shall set $T^i S := TS \cap T^i \mathbb{G}$, $n'_i := \dim T^i S$ and $H_i S := T^i S \setminus T^{i-1} S$. Note that $HS = H_1 S$. From the previous construction it follows that $TS := \bigoplus_{i=1}^k H_i S$ and that $\sum_{i=1}^k n'_i = n - 1$. Henceforth, we shall set $VS := \bigoplus_{i=2}^k H_i S$. One can show that the Hausdorff dimension of any smooth hypersurface S , w.r.t. the CC-distance d_{cc} , is given by the number $Q - 1 = \sum_{i=1}^k i n'_i$; see [46], [76], [38, 39], [58, 59, 61, 60], [55]. We would like to stress that, if at each point $x \in S$, the horizontal tangent bundle HS is generic and horizontal, then the couple (S, HS) is a k -step CC-space; see Section 2.1. More generally, we stress that, at the characteristic set of a smooth hypersurface $S \subset \mathbb{G}$, the dimension of the horizontal tangent bundle HS coincides with that of H , i.e. $n'_1 = h$. This, in particular, implies that only a non-characteristic domain⁷ $\mathcal{U} \subseteq S$ can be generic at each point.*

Example 2.24. *Let us consider the case of a smooth hypersurface $S \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ where $\mathbb{H}^n$ denotes the $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional Heisenberg group. If $n = 1$, then the horizontal tangent bundle HS of S cannot be a 2-step CC-space because HS is 1-dimensional. Nevertheless, if $n > 1$, this is no longer true. Indeed, each non-characteristic domain $\mathcal{U} \subseteq S$ turns out to be generic and horizontal.*

If we consider an immersed submanifold $S^{n-i} \subset \mathbb{G}$ of codimension $i > 1$, what above can be generalized in the following way:

Definition 2.25. *We say that an i -codimensional submanifold S^{n-i} of $\mathbb{G}$ is **geometrically H -regular** at $x \in S$ if there exist linearly independent vectors $\nu_H^1, \dots, \nu_H^i \in H_x$ transversal to S at x . Without loss of generality, these vectors may also be assumed to be orthonormal at p . The horizontal tangent space at x is defined by*

$$H_x S := H_x \cap T_x S.$$

*If this condition is independent of the point $x \in S$, we say that S is geometrically H -regular. In such a case we may define the associated vector bundles $HS(\subset TS)$ and $\nu_H S$, called, respectively, **horizontal tangent bundle** and **horizontal normal bundle**. One has*

$$H_x := H_x S \oplus \mathbb{R} \nu_H^1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{R} \nu_H^i.$$

⁷Domain means open and connected.

Definition 2.26 (Characteristic set of S^{n-i}). The **characteristic set** C_S of any $\mathbf{C}^1$ -smooth i -codimensional submanifold $S^{n-i} \subset \mathbb{G}$ is defined by

$$C_S := \{x \in S : \dim H_x - \dim(H_x \cap T_x S) \leq i - 1\}.$$

Remark 2.27 (Hausdorff measure of $C_{S^{n-i}}$). The above definition of C_S has been used in [59], where it was shown that the $(Q-i)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure -w.r.t. $d_{\mathbf{cc}}$ - of any $\mathbf{C}^1$ -smooth submanifold $S^{n-i} \subset \mathbb{G}$ vanishes, i.e. $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{cc}}^{Q-i}(C_S) = 0$.

Definition 2.28 (σ_H^{n-i} -measure). Let $S^{n-i} \subset \mathbb{G}$ be an i -codimensional, geometrically H -regular submanifold. Let $\nu_H^1, \dots, \nu_H^i \in \nu_H S$ and assume they are everywhere orthonormal. We shall set

$$\nu_H := \nu_H^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \nu_H^i \in \Lambda_i(T\mathbb{G}),$$

and define the $(n-i)$ -dimensional measure σ_H^{n-i} along S to be the measure associated with the $(n-i)$ -differential form $\sigma_H^{n-i} \in \Lambda^{n-i}(TS)$ given by the interior product of the volume form of $\mathbb{G}$ with the i -vector ν_H , i.e.⁸

$$\sigma_H^{n-i} \lrcorner S := (\nu_H \lrcorner \sigma_R^n)|_S. \quad (12)$$

Remark 2.29. The measure σ_H^{n-i} is $(Q-i)$ -homogeneous, w.r.t. Carnot dilations $\{\delta_t\}_{t>0}$, i.e. $\delta_t^* \sigma_H^{n-i} = t^{Q-i} \sigma_H^{n-i}$. This easily follows from the definitions. Moreover, it can be shown that the measure σ_H^{n-i} equals, up to a normalization constant, the $Q-i$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure associated to a homogeneous distance ϱ on $\mathbb{G}$; see [61].

2.3 Geometry of immersed hypersurfaces

We now introduce some notions, useful in the study of *non-characteristic hypersurfaces*; see [66, 68, 69] [21], [44, 77], [54, 55], [27, 28].

Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth hypersurface. We stress that, if ∇^{TS} is the connection induced on S from the Levi-Civita connection ∇ on $\mathbb{G}^9$, then ∇^{TS} induces a partial connection ∇^{HS} , associated with the subbundle $HS \subset TS$, which is defined by¹⁰

$$\nabla_X^{HS} Y := \mathcal{P}_{HS}(\nabla_X^{TS} Y) \quad (X, Y \in HS).$$

Starting from the orthogonal decomposition $H = HS \oplus \nu_H S$ (see Definition 2.22), we could also define ∇^{HS} by making use of the classical definition of “connection on submanifolds”; see [15]. Actually, it turns out that

$$\nabla_X^{HS} Y = \nabla_X^H Y - \langle \nabla_X^H Y, \nu_H \rangle_H \nu_H \quad (X, Y \in HS).$$

Definition 2.30. We call **HS-gradient** of $\psi \in \mathbf{C}^\infty(S)$ the unique horizontal tangent vector fields of HS , $\text{grad}_{HS} \psi$, satisfying

$$\langle \text{grad}_{HS} \psi, X \rangle_{HS} = d\psi(X) = X\psi \quad \forall X \in HS.$$

We denote by div_{HS} the divergence operator on HS , i.e. if $X \in HS$ and $x \in S$, then

$$\text{div}_{HS} X(x) := \text{Trace}(Y \longrightarrow \nabla_Y^{HS} X)(x) \quad (Y \in H_x S).$$

Finally, Δ_{HS} denotes the **HS-Laplacian**, i.e. the differential operator given by

$$\Delta_{HS} \psi := \text{div}_{HS}(\text{grad}_{HS} \psi) \quad (\psi \in \mathbf{C}^\infty(S)). \quad (13)$$

⁸For the general definition of the operation $\lrcorner$ see [32], Ch.1.

⁹Therefore, ∇^{TS} is the Levi-Civita connection on S ; see [15].

¹⁰The map $\mathcal{P}_{HS} : TS \longrightarrow HS$ denotes the orthogonal projection of TS onto HS .

Definition 2.31. The sub-Riemannian horizontal II^{nd} fundamental form of S is the map $\overline{B}_H : HS \times HS \longrightarrow \nu_H S$ given by

$$\overline{B}_H(X, Y) := \langle \nabla_X^H Y, \nu_H \rangle_H \nu_H \quad (X, Y \in HS).$$

Moreover $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{H}}_H \in \nu_H S$ is the **horizontal mean curvature vector of S** , defined as the trace of $\overline{B}_H$, i.e. $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{H}}_H = \text{Tr} \overline{B}_H$. The **horizontal - scalar - mean curvature of S** , denoted by $\mathcal{H}_H$, is defined by $\mathcal{H}_H := \langle \overrightarrow{\mathcal{H}}_H, \nu_H \rangle_H$. We set

$$B_H(X, Y) := \langle \nabla_X^H Y, \nu_H \rangle_H \quad (X, Y \in HS).$$

The **torsion** T_{HS} of the partial HS-connection ∇^{HS} is defined by

$$T_{HS}(X, Y) := \nabla_X^{HS} Y - \nabla_Y^{HS} X - \mathcal{P}_H[X, Y] \quad (X, Y \in HS).$$

The trace Tr is computed w.r.t. the 1st sub-Riemannian fundamental form $g_{HS} = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{HS}$, which is the restriction to S of the metric g_H , i.e. $g_{HS} := g_H|_{HS} = g|_{HS}$. $B_H(X, Y)$ is a $\mathbf{C}^\infty(S)$ -bilinear form in X and Y . We stress that the torsion has been defined because, in general, B_H is not symmetric; see [68].

We now remind the notion of *adapted frame*; see [68]. Roughly speaking, we shall “adapt” in the usual Riemannian way (see, for example, [81]) an orthonormal frame to the horizontal tangent space of a hypersurface. If $U \subset \mathbb{G}$ is open, we set $\mathcal{U} := U \cap S$ and, for a while, we shall assume that $\mathcal{U}$ be non-characteristic.

Definition 2.32. An adapted frame to $\mathcal{U}$ on U is an orthonormal frame $\underline{\tau} := \{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n\}$ on U such that:

$$(i) \ \tau_1|_{\mathcal{U}} := \nu_H; \quad (ii) \ H_p \mathcal{U} = \text{span}\{(\tau_2)_p, \dots, (\tau_h)_p\} \quad (p \in \mathcal{U}); \quad (iii) \ \tau_\alpha := X_\alpha.$$

Notation 2.33. Remind that $I_H = \{1, 2, \dots, h\}$ and that $I_V = \{h+1, \dots, n = \dim \mathbb{G}\}$. In the sequel, we shall also set $I_{HS} := \{2, 3, \dots, h\}$ and we shall assume that

$$\underline{\tau} = \underbrace{\{\tau_1\}}_{=\nu_H}, \underbrace{\{\tau_2, \dots, \tau_h\}}_{\text{o.n. basis of } HS}, \underbrace{\{\tau_{h+1}, \dots, \tau_n\}}_{\text{o.n. basis of } V}.$$

For any adapted frame $\underline{\tau}$, we shall denote by $\underline{\phi} := (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n)$, its dual co-frame. This means that $\phi_I(\tau_J) = \delta_I^J$ (Kronecker) ($I, J = 1, \dots, n$).

Note that every adapted orthonormal frame to a hypersurface is a graded frame.

Throughout this paper, we shall frequently use the following notation:

Definition 2.34. Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth hypersurface oriented by its - Riemannian - unit normal ν . Then we shall set

- (i) $\varpi_\alpha := \frac{\nu_\alpha}{|\mathcal{P}_H \nu|} \quad (\alpha \in I_V);$
- (ii) $\varpi := \sum_{\alpha \in I_V} \varpi_\alpha \tau_\alpha;$
- (iii) $C_H := \sum_{\alpha \in I_{H_2}} \varpi_\alpha C_H^\alpha;$
- (iv) $C := \sum_{\alpha \in I_V} \varpi_\alpha C^\alpha.$

Moreover, for any $\alpha \in I_{H_2}$, we shall set $C_{HS}^\alpha := C_H^\alpha|_{HS}$ to stress that the linear operator C_{HS}^α only acts on horizontal tangent vectors, i.e. $(C_{HS}^\alpha)_{ij} := \langle C_H^\alpha \tau_j, \tau_i \rangle$ for $i, j \in I_{HS}$. Accordingly, $C_{HS} := \sum_{\alpha \in I_{H_2}} \varpi_\alpha C_{HS}^\alpha.$

Remark 2.35. *The horizontal second fundamental form B_H is **not symmetric**, in general. Thus B_H can be regarded as the sum of two matrices, one symmetric and the other skew-symmetric, i.e. $B_H = S_H + A_H$, where the skew-symmetric matrix A_H is explicitly given by $A_H = \frac{1}{2} C_{HS}$; see [68]. In this regard, we would like to stress that, for every $X, Y \in HS$, the following chain of identities holds true:*

$$\begin{aligned} T_{HS}(X, Y) &= \overline{B}_H(Y, X) - \overline{B}_H(X, Y) \\ &= \langle \mathcal{P}_H[Y, X], \nu_H \rangle \nu_H \\ &= \langle [X, Y], \varpi \rangle \nu_H \\ &= \langle \Omega_H(X, Y), \varpi \rangle \\ &= -\langle C_{HS} X, Y \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

2.4 Integration by parts on hypersurfaces

In this section we shall discuss horizontal integration by parts formulas for smooth hypersurfaces, immersed in a k -step Carnot group $\mathbb{G}$, endowed with the H -perimeter measure σ_H^{n-1} ; see, for instance, [66, 68, 69], but also [27, 28].

Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth hypersurface and let $\mathcal{U} \subset S$ be a relatively compact open set with $\mathbf{C}^1$ -smooth boundary (or, smooth enough for the application of Stokes' Theorem). If $X \in \mathfrak{X}(S)$, by definition of σ_H^{n-1} , using the *Riemannian Divergence Formula* (see [81]), one gets

$$\begin{aligned} d(X \lrcorner \sigma_H^{n-1})|_{\mathcal{U}} &= d(|\mathcal{P}_H \nu| X \lrcorner \sigma^{n-1}) = \operatorname{div}_{TS}(|\mathcal{P}_H \nu| X) \sigma_R^{n-1} \\ &= \left(\operatorname{div}_{TS} X + \left\langle X, \frac{\operatorname{grad}_{TS} |\mathcal{P}_H \nu|}{|\mathcal{P}_H \nu|} \right\rangle \right) \sigma_H^{n-1} \lrcorner \mathcal{U}, \end{aligned}$$

where grad_{TS} and div_{TS} are, respectively, the tangential gradient and the tangential divergence operators on $\mathcal{U} \subset S$. However this formula is not so “explicit” from a sub-Riemannian point of view. The notion of adapted frame was introduced to bypass this inconvenience by allowing us to make explicit computations.

Let $\underline{\tau}$ be an adapted frame to $\mathcal{U} \subset S$ on the open set $\mathcal{U}$ and let $\underline{\phi} := \{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n\}$ be its *dual co-frame*, obtained by means of the metric g . It is immediate to see that the H -perimeter σ_H^{n-1} on $\mathcal{U}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_H^{n-1} \lrcorner \mathcal{U} &= (\nu_H \lrcorner \sigma^n)|_{\mathcal{U}} = * \phi_1|_{\mathcal{U}} = (\phi_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \phi_n)|_{\mathcal{U}} \\ &= (-1)^{\alpha+1} (\varpi_\alpha)^{-1} \phi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{\phi_\alpha} \wedge \dots \wedge \phi_n|_{\mathcal{U}} \quad (\alpha \in I_V), \end{aligned}$$

where the last identity makes sense only if $\nu_\alpha \neq 0$ ¹¹. By direct computations based on the 1st Cartan's structure equation for $\underline{\phi}$, one obtains divergence-type formulas and some easy but useful corollaries; see [66, 68, 69].

Remark 2.36 (Measure on the boundary $\partial\mathcal{U}$). *Before stating these results we would like to make a preliminary comment on the topological boundary $\partial\mathcal{U}$ of $\mathcal{U}$. Firstly, let us assume that $\partial\mathcal{U}$ is a $(n-2)$ -dimensional manifold, oriented by its unit normal vector η . Let us denote by σ_R^{n-2} the usual Riemannian measure on $\partial\mathcal{U}$, which can be written out as*

$$\sigma_R^{n-2} \lrcorner \partial\mathcal{U} = (\eta \lrcorner \sigma_R^{n-1})|_{\partial\mathcal{U}}.$$

This means that if $X \in \mathfrak{X}(\overline{\mathcal{U}})$, then

$$(X \lrcorner \sigma_H^{n-1})|_{\partial\mathcal{U}} = \langle X, \eta \rangle |\mathcal{P}_H \nu| \sigma_R^{n-2} \lrcorner \partial\mathcal{U}.$$

¹¹With respect to the adapted frame $\underline{\tau}$, the Riemannian unit normal ν is given by $\nu = \nu_1 \tau_1 + \sum_{\alpha \in I_V} \nu_\alpha \tau_\alpha$, where $\tau_1 := \nu_H$, $\nu_1 := |\mathcal{P}_H \nu|$ and $\tau_\alpha = X_\alpha$. Remind also that $\varpi_\alpha := \frac{\nu_\alpha}{\nu_1}$.

Let us further assume that $\partial\mathcal{U}$ be geometrically H -regular. This is equivalent to require that the projection onto HS of the unit normal η along $\partial\mathcal{U}$ be non-singular, i.e. $|\mathcal{P}_{HS}\eta| \neq 0$ for every $p \in \partial\mathcal{U}$. We shall denote by $C_{\partial\mathcal{U}}$ the characteristic set of $\partial\mathcal{U}$, which turns out to be given by

$$C_{\partial\mathcal{U}} = \{p \in \partial\mathcal{U} : |\mathcal{P}_{HS}\eta| = 0\}.$$

From Definition 2.28 it follows that

$$\sigma_H^{n-2} \mathbf{L} \partial\mathcal{U} = \left(\frac{\mathcal{P}_{HS}\eta}{|\mathcal{P}_{HS}\eta|} \lrcorner \sigma_H^{n-1} \right) \Big|_{\partial\mathcal{U}},$$

or, equivalently, that $\sigma_H^{n-2} \mathbf{L} \partial\mathcal{U} = |\mathcal{P}_H \nu| \cdot |\mathcal{P}_{HS}\eta| \sigma_R^{n-2} \mathbf{L} \partial\mathcal{U}$. Setting $\eta_{HS} := \frac{\mathcal{P}_{HS}\eta}{|\mathcal{P}_{HS}\eta|}$, we will call η_{HS} the **unit horizontal normal** along $\partial\mathcal{U}$. We get then

$$(X \lrcorner \sigma_H^{n-1})|_{\partial\mathcal{U}} = \langle X, \eta_{HS} \rangle \sigma_H^{n-2} \mathbf{L} \partial\mathcal{U} \quad \forall X \in \mathbf{C}^1(S, HS).$$

The proof of the next results can be found in [68].

Theorem 2.37 (Horizontal Divergence Theorem). *Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a k -step Carnot group. Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be an immersed hypersurface and $\mathcal{U} \subset S \setminus C_S$ be a non-characteristic relatively compact open set. Assume that $\partial\mathcal{U}$ is a smooth, $(n-2)$ -dimensional manifold oriented by its unit normal vector η . Then, for every $X \in \mathbf{C}^1(S, HS)$ one has*

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}} \{ \operatorname{div}_{HS} X + \langle C_H \nu_H, X \rangle \} \sigma_H^{n-1} = \int_{\partial\mathcal{U}} \langle X, \eta_{HS} \rangle \sigma_H^{n-2}.$$

Corollary 2.38 (Horizontal integration by parts). *Under the hypotheses Theorem 2.37, for every $X \in \mathbf{C}^1(S, H)$ one has*

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}} \{ \operatorname{div}_{HS} X + \langle C_H \nu_H, X \rangle \} \sigma_H^{n-1} = - \int_{\mathcal{U}} \langle X, \overrightarrow{\mathcal{H}_H} \rangle \sigma_H^{n-1} + \int_{\partial\mathcal{U}} \langle X, \eta_{HS} \rangle \sigma_H^{n-2}.$$

Proof. It follows by Theorem 2.37 and Definition 2.31. $\square$

A simple consequence of Corollary 2.38 is the following:

Corollary 2.39 (Integral Minkowsky-type formula). *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 2.37, let $x_H := \sum_{i \in I_H} x_i X_i$ be the “horizontal position vector” and let g_H denote its component along the H -normal ν_H , i.e. $g_H := \langle x_H, \nu_H \rangle$.¹² Then*

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}} \{ (h-1) + g_H \mathcal{H}_H + \langle C_H \nu_H, x_{HS} \rangle \} \sigma_H^{n-1} = \int_{\partial\mathcal{U}} \langle x_H, \eta_{HS} \rangle \sigma_{HS}^{n-2}.$$

Proof. Apply Corollary 2.39 to the position vector field x_H . $\square$

Remark 2.40. *Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a compact $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth hypersurface with boundary and let $\mathcal{U}_\epsilon$ ($\epsilon > 0$) be a family of open subsets of S with piecewise $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth boundary such that¹³:*

¹²This function could be called “horizontal support function” of x_H .

¹³As shown in [55] (see Lemma 5.11) such a family exists and can be constructed by covering the characteristic set C_S (that is a closed subset of S having - at most - $(n-2)$ -dimensional Riemannian Hausdorff measure) with Riemannian balls of “small” radii. We would like to stress that (iii) can easily be proved by using Stokes’ Theorem. Indeed one has

$$\begin{aligned} d(|\mathcal{P}_H \nu| \sigma_R^{n-2})|_{\mathcal{U}_\epsilon} &= (d|\mathcal{P}_H \nu| \wedge \sigma_R^{n-2} + |\mathcal{P}_H \nu| \wedge d\sigma_R^{n-2})|_{\mathcal{U}_\epsilon} \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial|\mathcal{P}_H \nu|}{\partial \tilde{\eta}} + |\mathcal{P}_H \nu| \operatorname{div}_{TS} \tilde{\eta} \right) \sigma_R^{n-1} \mathbf{L} \mathcal{U}_\epsilon, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where $\tilde{\eta}$ is any smooth enough vector field on $\mathcal{U}_\epsilon$ extending the unit normal vector η along $\partial\mathcal{U}_\epsilon$ which is, by construction, piecewise $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth. Since $|\mathcal{P}_H \nu|$ is a bounded Lipschitz function and $\tilde{\eta}$ is smooth, the function $\left(\frac{\partial|\mathcal{P}_H \nu|}{\partial \tilde{\eta}} + |\mathcal{P}_H \nu| \operatorname{div}_{TS} \tilde{\eta} \right)$ is bounded and (iii) follows by integrating both sides of (14) along $\mathcal{U}_\epsilon$, using Stokes’ Theorem and (ii).

- (i) $C_S \subset \mathcal{U}_\epsilon$ for every $\epsilon > 0$;
- (ii) $\sigma_R^{n-1}(\mathcal{U}_\epsilon) \longrightarrow 0$ for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$;
- (iii) $\int_{\mathcal{U}_\epsilon} |\mathcal{P}_H \nu| \sigma_R^{n-2} \longrightarrow 0$ for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$.

Incidentally, we stress that (iii) immediately implies that $\sigma_H^{n-2}(\partial\mathcal{U}_\epsilon) \longrightarrow 0$ for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$. By using this family, one can extend the previous formulae to the case of hypersurfaces having a non-empty characteristic set. Indeed, let us apply Theorem 2.37 to the non-characteristic set $S \setminus \mathcal{U}_\epsilon$, for some (small enough) $\epsilon > 0$. It turns out that

$$\int_{S \setminus \mathcal{U}_\epsilon} \{ \operatorname{div}_{HS} X + \langle C_H \nu_H, X \rangle \} \sigma_H^{n-1} = \int_{\partial S} \langle X, \eta_{HS} \rangle \sigma_H^{n-2} - \int_{\partial\mathcal{U}_\epsilon} \langle X, \eta_{HS} \rangle \sigma_H^{n-2}.$$

Since C_S is a 0-measure set w.r.t. the σ_H^{n-1} -measure, by letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, we easily get that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{S \setminus \mathcal{U}_\epsilon} \{ \operatorname{div}_{HS} X + \langle C_H \nu_H, X \rangle \} \sigma_H^{n-1} = \int_S (\operatorname{div}_{HS} X + \langle C_H \nu_H, X \rangle) \sigma_H^{n-1}.$$

Furthermore, by using (iii), one gets that the third integral vanishes. **Therefore, all the results previously stated can be applied also to the case of hypersurfaces having non-empty characteristic set.**

In the sequel we shall first introduce a natural 2nd-order tangential operator which acts on functions defined along any smooth non-characteristic hypersurface of the group.

Definition 2.41. Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a smooth non-characteristic hypersurface. Then we denote by $\mathcal{D}_{HS}$ the differential operator defined by

$$\mathcal{D}_{HS} \varphi := \Delta_{HS} \varphi + \langle C_H \nu_H, \operatorname{grad}_{HS} \varphi \rangle \quad \text{for every } \varphi \in \mathbf{C}^\infty(S).$$

Moreover, we shall denote by $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{HS}$ the differential operator $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{HS} : \mathfrak{X}(HS) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{HS}(X) := \operatorname{div}_{HS} X + \langle C_H \nu_H, X \rangle \quad \text{for every } X \in \mathfrak{X}(HS).$$

Note that $\mathcal{D}_{HS} \varphi = \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{HS}(\operatorname{grad}_{HS} \varphi)$.

We stress that the previous results and, in particular, Remark 2.40, allow us to immediately deduce the next:

Proposition 2.42 (Some properties of $\mathcal{D}_{HS}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{HS}$). Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth hyper-surface with - or without - boundary ∂S . With the previous notation we have:

- (i) $\int_S \mathcal{D}_{HS} \varphi \sigma_H^{n-1} = 0$ for every $\varphi \in \mathbf{C}_0^\infty(S)$;
- (ii) $\int_S \psi \mathcal{D}_{HS} \varphi \sigma_H^{n-1} = \int_S \varphi \mathcal{D}_{HS} \psi \sigma_H^{n-1}$ for every $\varphi, \psi \in \mathbf{C}_0^\infty(S)$;
- (iii) $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{HS}(\varphi X) = \varphi \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{HS} X + \langle \operatorname{grad}_{HS} \varphi, X \rangle$ for every $X \in \mathfrak{X}(HS)$, $\varphi \in \mathbf{C}^\infty(S)$;
- (iv) $\int_S \mathcal{D}_{HS} \varphi \sigma_H^{n-1} = \int_{\partial S} \partial \varphi / \partial \eta_{HS} \sigma_H^{n-2}$ for every $\varphi \in \mathbf{C}^\infty(S)$;
- (v) $\int_S \{ \psi \mathcal{D}_{HS} \varphi - \varphi \mathcal{D}_{HS} \psi \} \sigma_H^{n-1} = \int_{\partial S} \{ \psi \partial \varphi / \partial \eta_{HS} - \varphi \partial \psi / \partial \eta_{HS} \} \sigma_H^{n-2}$ for every $\varphi, \psi \in \mathbf{C}^\infty(S)$;
- (vi) $\int_S \psi \mathcal{D}_{HS} \varphi \sigma_H^{n-1} + \int_S \langle \operatorname{grad}_{HS} \varphi, \operatorname{grad}_{HS} \psi \rangle \sigma_H^{n-1} = \int_{\partial S} \psi \partial \varphi / \partial \eta_{HS} \sigma_H^{n-2}$ for every $\varphi, \psi \in \mathbf{C}^\infty(S)$.

Proof. All these properties easily follow from the results previously stated in this section and, in particular, Theorem 2.37 and Remark 2.40. Note also that (ii) holds true even if only one of the two functions is compactly supported on S . $\square$

Definition 2.43 (The eigenvalue problems for the operator $\mathcal{D}_{HS}$). *Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a compact hypersurface without boundary. Then we look for $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth solutions of the problem:*

$$(P_1) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{D}_{HS} \psi = \lambda \psi & (\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \psi \in \mathbf{C}^2(S)); \\ \int_S \psi \sigma_H^{n-1} = 0. \end{cases}$$

If $\partial S \neq \emptyset$ we look for $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth solutions of the following problems:

$$(P_2) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{D}_{HS} \psi = \lambda \psi & (\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \psi \in \mathbf{C}^2(S)); \\ \psi|_{\partial S} = 0; \end{cases}$$

$$(P_3) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{D}_{HS} \psi = \lambda \psi & (\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \psi \in \mathbf{C}^2(S)); \\ \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \eta_{HS}}|_{\partial S} = 0, \end{cases}$$

where we explicitly note that $\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \eta_{HS}} = \langle \text{grad}_{HS} \psi, \eta_{HS} \rangle$.

The problems (P_1) , (P_2) and (P_3) generalize to our context the classical *closed*, *Dirichlet* and *Neumann eigenvalue problems* for the Laplace-Beltrami operator on Riemannian manifolds.

2.5 1st variation of σ_H^{n-1}

In this section, we recall and explain the 1st variation formula of σ_H^{n-1} . The presentation given here closely follows that given in [68], but provides a further analysis of the case of hypersurfaces having non-empty characteristic set; see also [27, 28], [66], [21], [78], [54, 55]. As references, for the Riemannian case, we mention Spivak's book [81] and also the paper by Hermann [51].

Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a k -step Carnot group and let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth hypersurface oriented by its unit normal vector ν . Moreover, let $\mathcal{U} \subset S \setminus C_S$ be a *non-characteristic* relatively compact open set and let us assume that $\partial \mathcal{U}$ is a $(n-2)$ -dimensional $\mathbf{C}^1$ -smooth submanifold oriented by its outward unit normal vector η .

Definition 2.44. *Let $\iota : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ denote the inclusion of $\mathcal{U}$ in $\mathbb{G}$ and let $\vartheta : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \times \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ be a smooth map. Then ϑ is a **smooth variation** of ι if:*

- (i) *every $\vartheta_t := \vartheta(t, \cdot) : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ is an immersion;*
- (ii) *$\vartheta_0 = \iota$.*

Moreover, we say that the variation ϑ **keeps the boundary $\partial \mathcal{U}$ fixed** if:

- (iii) *$\vartheta_t|_{\partial \mathcal{U}} = \iota|_{\partial \mathcal{U}}$ for every $t \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$.*

The **variation vector** of ϑ , is defined by $W := \frac{\partial \vartheta}{\partial t}|_{t=0} = \vartheta_* \frac{\partial}{\partial t}|_{t=0}$.

For any $t \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ let ν^t be the unit normal vector along $\mathcal{U}_t := \vartheta_t(\mathcal{U})$ and let $(\sigma_R^{n-1})_t$ be the Riemannian measure on $\mathcal{U}_t$. Let us define the differential $(n-1)$ -form $(\sigma_H^{n-1})_t$ along $\mathcal{U}_t$, by

$$(\sigma_H^{n-1})_t|_{\mathcal{U}_t} = (\nu_H^t \lrcorner \sigma_R^n)|_{\mathcal{U}_t} \in \Lambda^{n-1}(T\mathcal{U}_t) \quad t \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$$

where $\nu_H^t := \frac{\mathcal{P}_H \nu^t}{|\mathcal{P}_H \nu^t|}$. Moreover, let us set $\Gamma(t) := \vartheta_t^*(\sigma_H^{n-1})_t \in \Lambda^{n-1}(T\mathcal{U})$, $t \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$. The 1st variation $I_{\mathcal{U}}(W, \sigma_H^{n-1})$ of σ_H^{n-1} is given by

$$I_{\mathcal{U}}(W, \sigma_H^{n-1}) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{\mathcal{U}} \Gamma(t) \right) \Big|_{t=0} = \int_{\mathcal{U}} \dot{\Gamma}(0). \quad (15)$$

Theorem 2.45 (1st variation of σ_H^{n-1}). *Under the previous hypotheses we have*

$$I_{\mathcal{U}}(W, \sigma_H^{n-1}) = - \int_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{H}_H \left\langle W, \frac{\nu}{|\mathcal{P}_H \nu|} \right\rangle \sigma_H^{n-1} + \int_{\partial \mathcal{U}} \langle W, \eta \rangle |\mathcal{P}_H \nu| \sigma_R^{n-2}. \quad (16)$$

For a proof see, for instance, [68]. It is clear that, if we allow the variation vector to be horizontal, then (16) becomes more “intrinsic”.

Theorem 2.46 (Horizontal 1st variation of σ_H^{n-1}). *Under the previous hypotheses, let us assume that the variation vector W of ϑ be horizontal. Then*

$$I_{\mathcal{U}}(W, \sigma_H^{n-1}) = - \int_{\mathcal{U}} \langle \overrightarrow{\mathcal{H}_H}, W \rangle \sigma_H^{n-1} + \int_{\partial \mathcal{U}} \langle W, \eta_{HS} \rangle \sigma_H^{n-2}. \quad (17)$$

Proof. Use Theorem 2.45 and Remark 2.36. $\square$

Therefore, in the case of horizontal variations, remembering Corollary 2.38, we get

$$I_{\mathcal{U}}(W, \sigma_H^{n-1}) = \int_{\mathcal{U}} \{ \operatorname{div}_{HS} W + \langle C_H \nu_H, W \rangle \} \sigma_H^{n-1}.$$

We stress that the horizontal 1st variation formula (17) is the sum of two terms, the first of whose only depends on the horizontal normal component of W , while the second one, only depends on its horizontal tangential component.

The previous formulae provide the 1st variation of σ_H^{n-1} on regular non-characteristic subsets of S containing $\operatorname{spt} W$. In the following remark we explain how one can extend the previous results to include the case in which the hypersurface has a possibly non-empty characteristic set C_S . A similar remark in the case of the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^1$ was done in a recent work by Ritoré and Rosales [78]; see also [55].

Remark 2.47 (1st variation: case $C_S \neq \emptyset$). *Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth hypersurface and let $W \in \mathbf{C}^1(S, T\mathbb{G})$ be the variation vector field. Moreover, let us assume that $\mathcal{H}_H \in L^1(S)$. First, we note that the function $|\mathcal{P}_H \nu|$ is Lipschitz continuous and that $|\mathcal{P}_H \nu|$ vanishes along C_S . Now let $\mathcal{U}_\epsilon$ ($\epsilon > 0$) be the family of open subsets of S defined in Remark 2.40. For every $\epsilon > 0$ one computes*

$$I_S(W, \sigma_H^{n-1}) = I_{S \setminus \mathcal{U}_\epsilon}(W, \sigma_H^{n-1}) + I_{\mathcal{U}_\epsilon}(W, \sigma_H^{n-1}). \quad (18)$$

The first term is then given by the previous Theorem 2.45:

$$I_{S \setminus \mathcal{U}_\epsilon}(W, \sigma_H^{n-1}) = - \int_{S \setminus \mathcal{U}_\epsilon} \mathcal{H}_H \left\langle W, \frac{\nu}{|\mathcal{P}_H \nu|} \right\rangle \sigma_H^{n-1} + \int_{\partial S} \langle W, \eta \rangle |\mathcal{P}_H \nu| \sigma_R^{n-2} + \int_{\partial \mathcal{U}_\epsilon} \langle W, \eta^- \rangle |\mathcal{P}_H \nu| \sigma_R^{n-2},$$

where η^- denotes the outward unit normal of $S \setminus \mathcal{U}_\epsilon$ along $\partial \mathcal{U}_\epsilon$. The second term in (18) can be computed as follows:

$$I_{\mathcal{U}_\epsilon}(W, \sigma_H^{n-1}) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int_{\mathcal{U}_\epsilon} (\sigma_H^{n-1})_t \right) \Big|_{t=0} = \int_{\mathcal{U}_\epsilon} \frac{d}{dt} (\sigma_H^{n-1})_t \Big|_{t=0}.$$

Note that

$$\frac{d}{dt} (\sigma_H^{n-1})_t \Big|_{t=0} = \frac{d}{dt} |\mathcal{P}_H \nu^t| \Big|_{t=0} \sigma_R^{n-1} + |\mathcal{P}_H \nu| \frac{d}{dt} (\sigma_R^{n-1})_t \Big|_{t=0}. \quad (19)$$

Clearly, the first addend is bounded, since the function $|\mathcal{P}_H \nu|$ is Lipschitz, while the second one, up to the bounded function $|\mathcal{P}_H \nu|$, is just the $(n-1)$ -form $\frac{d}{dt} (\sigma_R^{n-1})_t \Big|_{t=0}$, which expresses the “infinitesimal” 1st variation formula of the - Riemannian - $(n-1)$ -dimensional measure; see

[51], [81]. Actually, the previous formula can also be rewritten in terms of Lie derivatives; see [66, 68, 69]. More precisely, it turns out that

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\sigma_H^{n-1})_t|_{t=0} = i_{\mathcal{U}_\epsilon}^* \mathcal{L}_W(\sigma_H^{n-1})_t.$$

From this formula, Cartan's identity and a simple computation, it follows that

$$I_{\mathcal{U}_\epsilon}(W, \sigma_H^{n-1}) = \underbrace{\int_{\mathcal{U}_\epsilon} \left\{ \frac{\partial |\mathcal{P}_H \nu|}{\partial \nu} - |\mathcal{P}_H \nu| \mathcal{H}_R \right\} \left\langle W, \frac{\nu}{|\mathcal{P}_H \nu|} \right\rangle \sigma_H^{n-1}}_{I_{\mathcal{U}_\epsilon}^{\text{Int.}}(W, \sigma_H^{n-1})} + \underbrace{\int_{\partial \mathcal{U}_\epsilon} \langle W, \eta^+ \rangle |\mathcal{P}_H \nu| \sigma_R^{n-2}}_{I_{\mathcal{U}_\epsilon}^{\text{Bound.}}(W, \sigma_H^{n-1})},$$

where η^+ denotes the outward unit normal of $\mathcal{U}_\epsilon$ along $\partial \mathcal{U}_\epsilon$. Since $\mathcal{H}_H \in L^1(S)$ and since $\mathcal{H}_R$ is locally bounded¹⁴, by using (ii) of Remark 2.40, we easily get $I_{\mathcal{U}_\epsilon}^{\text{Int.}}(W, \sigma_H^{n-1}) \rightarrow 0$ for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$. Moreover, since¹⁵ $\eta^+ = -\eta^-$ along $\partial \mathcal{U}_\epsilon$, it follows that

$$I_S(W, \sigma_H^{n-1}) = - \int_{S \setminus \mathcal{U}_\epsilon} \mathcal{H}_H \left\langle W, \frac{\nu}{|\mathcal{P}_H \nu|} \right\rangle \sigma_H^{n-1} + I_{\mathcal{U}_\epsilon}^{\text{Int.}}(W, \sigma_H^{n-1}) + \int_{\partial S} \langle W, \eta \rangle |\mathcal{P}_H \nu| \sigma_R^{n-2}$$

for every $\epsilon > 0$. Therefore, by letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, we finally obtain

$$I_S(W, \sigma_H^{n-1}) = - \int_S \mathcal{H}_H \left\langle W, \frac{\nu}{|\mathcal{P}_H \nu|} \right\rangle \sigma_H^{n-1} + \int_{\partial S} \langle W, \eta \rangle |\mathcal{P}_H \nu| \sigma_R^{n-2}, \quad (20)$$

which coincides formally with (16); compare with [78], [55].

The previous Remark 2.47 enables us to state the following:

Corollary 2.48 (1st variation of σ_H^{n-1}). *Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth hypersurface having possibly non-empty characteristic set C_S . Then, the 1st variation formula (16) holds true.*

Remark 2.49. *Let us consider the case of a $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth surface $S \subset \mathbb{H}^1$, where $\mathbb{H}^1$ denotes the 1st Heisenberg group on $\mathbb{R}^3$. Moreover, let us choose, as a vector variation W for S , the following “singular” vector field $W := \frac{w \nu_H}{|\mathcal{P}_H \nu|}$, $w \in \mathbf{C}_0^2(S)$. Actually, W degenerates at C_S , since $|\mathcal{P}_H \nu| = 0$ along C_S . So let us introduce the following partition of S , $S = (S \setminus \mathcal{U}_\epsilon) \cup \mathcal{U}_\epsilon$, where $\mathcal{U}_\epsilon$ denotes an element of the family of open subsets of S defined in Remark 2.40. By using formula (16) for the non-characteristic set $S \setminus \mathcal{U}_\epsilon$, the very definition of W and the fact that $W|_{\partial S} = 0$, we get that*

$$\begin{aligned} I_{S \setminus \mathcal{U}_\epsilon}(W, \sigma_H^{n-1}) &= - \int_{S \setminus \mathcal{U}_\epsilon} \mathcal{H}_H w \sigma_R^2 + \int_{\partial \mathcal{U}_\epsilon} \langle W, \eta^- \rangle |\mathcal{P}_H \nu| \sigma_R^1 \\ &= - \int_{S \setminus \mathcal{U}_\epsilon} \mathcal{H}_H w \sigma_R^2 + \int_{\partial \mathcal{U}_\epsilon} w \langle \nu_H, \eta^- \rangle \sigma_R^1 \\ &= \int_{S \setminus \mathcal{U}_\epsilon} \{ \text{div}_{TS}(w \nu_H^{TS}) - \mathcal{H}_H w \} \sigma_R^2, \end{aligned}$$

¹⁴Since S is $\mathbf{C}^2$, the Riemannian mean curvature $\mathcal{H}_R$ is continuous along S .

¹⁵We stress that $\partial \mathcal{U}_\epsilon$ is the common boundary of $\mathcal{U}_\epsilon$ and $S \setminus \mathcal{U}_\epsilon$.

where η^- is the outward unit normal of $S \setminus \mathcal{U}_\epsilon$ along $\partial \mathcal{U}_\epsilon$ and ν_H^{TS} denotes the tangential component of ν_H along TS . Note that the last identity is just the Riemannian Divergence Theorem. If $\mathcal{H}_H \in L^1(S, \sigma_R^{n-1})$, by Dominate Convergence Theorem one finally obtains

$$\begin{aligned} I_S(W, \sigma_H^{n-1}) &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} I_{S \setminus \mathcal{U}_\epsilon}(W, \sigma_H^{n-1}) \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{S \setminus \mathcal{U}_\epsilon} \{ \operatorname{div}_{TS}(w \nu_H^{TS}) - \mathcal{H}_H w \} \sigma_R^2 \\ &= - \int_S \mathcal{H}_H w \sigma_R^2 + \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{S \setminus \mathcal{U}_\epsilon} \operatorname{div}_{TS}(w \nu_H^{TS}) \sigma_R^2; \end{aligned}$$

compare with Lemma 4.3 in [78].

3 Blow-up of the horizontal perimeter σ_H^{n-1}

Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a smooth¹⁶ hypersurface. In this section we shall discuss the behavior of the horizontal perimeter σ_H^{n-1} near any point $x \in \operatorname{Int}(S)$. More precisely, we shall analyze the following limit:

$$\kappa_\varrho(\nu_H(x)) := \lim_{R \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\sigma_H^{n-1}\{S \cap B_\varrho(x, R)\}}{R^{Q-1}}, \quad (21)$$

where $B_\varrho(x, R)$ is the - homogeneous - ϱ -ball of center x and radius R . Here, the point $x \in \operatorname{Int} S$ is *not necessarily non-characteristic*. This is the main difference with some previous results in literature; compare, for instance, with the results obtained by Magnani in [58, 59]; see also [9], [37, 39], [25].

However we shall briefly discuss, to the sake of completeness, the non-characteristic case, to better understand the differences. So let us state the following:

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a k -step Carnot group.*

Case (a) *Let S be a $\mathbf{C}^1$ -smooth hypersurface and $x \in S \setminus C_S$; then*

$$\sigma_H^{n-1}(S \cap B_\varrho(x, R)) \sim \kappa_\varrho(\nu_H(x)) R^{Q-1} \quad \text{for } R \rightarrow 0^+, \quad (22)$$

where the constant $\kappa_\varrho(\nu_H(x))$ is that of Theorem 2.21 and is given by

$$\kappa_\varrho(\nu_H(x)) = \sigma_H^{n-1}(\mathcal{I}(\nu_H(x)) \cap B_\varrho(x, 1)),$$

where $\mathcal{I}(\nu_H(x))$ denotes the vertical hyperplane orthogonal to $\nu_H(x)$.¹⁷

Case (b) *Let $x \in C_S$ and let us assume that, locally around x , there exists $\alpha \in I_V$, $\operatorname{ord}(\alpha) = i$, such that S can be represented as the exponential image of a $\mathbf{C}^i$ -smooth X_α -graph. For sake of simplicity and without loss of generality, let $x = 0 \in \mathbb{G}$. In such a case one has*

$$S \cap B_\varrho(x, r) \subset \exp \{ (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{\alpha-1}, \psi(\zeta), \zeta_{\alpha+1}, \dots, \zeta_n) \mid \zeta := (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{\alpha-1}, 0, \zeta_{\alpha+1}, \dots, \zeta_n) \in \mathfrak{e}_\alpha^\perp \},$$

where $\psi : \mathfrak{e}_\alpha^\perp \cong \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a $\mathbf{C}^i$ function. If ψ satisfies

$$\frac{\partial^{(l)} \psi}{\partial \zeta_{j_1} \dots \partial \zeta_{j_l}}(0) = 0 \quad \text{whenever } \operatorname{ord}(j_1) + \dots + \operatorname{ord}(j_l) < i \quad (23)$$

¹⁶See later on, for a more “precise” requirement. Indeed, as will be clear, the regularity assumptions will be fundamental for the rest of this section.

¹⁷Notice that $\mathcal{I}(\nu_H(x))$ corresponds to an ideal of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$.

for every $l \in \{1, \dots, i\}$, then it follows that

$$\sigma_H^{n-1}(S \cap B_\varrho(x, R)) \sim \kappa_\varrho(C_S(x)) R^{Q-1} \quad \text{for } R \rightarrow 0^+ \quad (24)$$

where $\kappa_\varrho(C_S(x))$ can be computed by integrating σ_H^{n-1} along a polynomial hypersurface of anisotropic order $i = \text{ord}(\alpha)$ depending on the Taylor's expansion up to order $j \leq i$ of ψ at $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. More precisely, it turns out that

$$\kappa_\varrho(C_S(x)) = \sigma_H^{n-1}(\Psi_\infty \cap B_\varrho(x, 1)),$$

where the limit-set Ψ_∞ is given by

$$\Psi_\infty = \{(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{\alpha-1}, \tilde{\psi}(\zeta), \zeta_{\alpha+1}, \dots, \zeta_n) \mid \zeta \in \mathfrak{e}_\alpha^\perp\}$$

and $\tilde{\psi}$ is the homogeneous polynomial function of degree l and anisotropic order $i = \text{ord}(\alpha)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\psi}(\zeta) = & \sum_{\substack{j_1 \\ \text{ord}(j_1)=i}} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \zeta_{j_1}}(0) \zeta_{j_1} + \sum_{\substack{j_1, j_2 \\ \text{ord}(j_1) + \text{ord}(j_2)=i}} \frac{\partial^{(2)} \psi}{\partial \zeta_{j_1} \partial \zeta_{j_2}}(0) \zeta_{j_1} \zeta_{j_2} \\ & + \sum_{\substack{j_1, \dots, j_l \\ \text{ord}(j_1) + \dots + \text{ord}(j_l)=i}} \frac{\partial^{(l)} \psi}{\partial \zeta_{j_1} \dots \partial \zeta_{j_l}}(0) \zeta_{j_1} \cdot \dots \cdot \zeta_{j_l}. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Finally, if (23) does not hold, then

$$\sigma_H^{n-1}(S \cap B_\varrho(x, R)) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } R \rightarrow 0^+. \quad (26)$$

Proof. Let us preliminarily note that the limit above can be calculated at the identity $0 \in \mathbb{G}$, by using a suitable left translation of S . More precisely, by left-invariance of σ_H^{n-1} , one computes

$$\sigma_H^{n-1}\{S \cap B_\varrho(x, R)\} = \sigma_H^{n-1}\{x^{-1} \bullet (S \cap B_\varrho(x, R))\} = \sigma_H^{n-1}\{(x^{-1} \bullet S) \cap B_\varrho(0, R)\} \quad (x \in \text{Int}(S)),$$

where the second equality easily follows from the additivity of the group multiplication $\bullet$.

Now let us set $S_R(x) := S \cap B_\varrho(x, R)$ and

$$S_R := x^{-1} \bullet S_R(x) = (x^{-1} \bullet S) \cap B_\varrho(0, R).$$

Furthermore remind that, by the homogeneity of the metric ϱ and by invariance of σ_H^{n-1} under (positive) Carnot dilations¹⁸ it follows that

$$\sigma_H^{n-1}\{S_R(x)\} = \sigma_H^{n-1}\{\delta_R(\delta_{1/R}S) \cap B_\varrho(x, R)\} = R^{Q-1} \sigma_H^{n-1}\{(\delta_{1/R}S) \cap B_\varrho(x, 1)\} \quad (R \geq 0).$$

With these preliminaries, the blow-up procedure can easily be done. One has

$$\frac{\sigma_H^{n-1}\{S_R(x)\}}{R^{Q-1}} = \sigma_H^{n-1}\{(\delta_{1/R}S) \cap B_\varrho(x, 1)\} = \sigma_H^{n-1}\{(\delta_{1/R}S)_1(x)\}.$$

Hence the limit we have to compute is the following:

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow 0^+} \sigma_H^{n-1}\{(\delta_{1/R}S)_1(x)\}.$$

¹⁸More precisely, one has $\delta_t^* \sigma_H^{n-1} = t^{Q-1} \sigma_H^{n-1}$, δ_t ($t \in \mathbb{R}_+$); see Section 2.1.

By left-translating at $0 \in \mathbb{G}$ the set $(\delta_{1/R}S)_1(x)$, one gets

$$x^{-1} \bullet \{(\delta_{1/R}S)_1(x)\} = \delta_{1/R}\{(x^{-1} \bullet S)_1\},$$

and, by left-invariance of σ_H^{n-1} , one has

$$\sigma_H^{n-1}\{(\delta_{1/R}S)_1(x)\} = \sigma_H^{n-1}\{(\delta_{1/R}(x^{-1} \bullet S))_1\},$$

for all $R \geq 0$. Now, let us begin the analysis of the non-characteristic case.

Case (a). Blow-up at non-characteristic points. *Let us assume that $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ is a $\mathbf{C}^1$ -smooth hypersurface and that $x \in S$ is non-characteristic.* In such a case, at x , the hypersurface S is oriented by the horizontal unit normal vector $\nu_H(x)$, i.e. $\nu_H(x)$ is transversal to S at x . Thus, at least locally around x , we may think of S as the (exponential image of the) graph of a $\mathbf{C}^1$ -function w.r.t. the horizontal direction $\nu_H(x)$. Moreover, at the level of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \cong T_0\mathbb{G}$, one may perform an orthonormal change of coordinates in such a way that

$$e_1 = X_1(0) = (L_{x^{-1}})_*\nu_H(x).$$

By the classical Implicit Function Theorem, we may write $S_r = x^{-1} \bullet S_r(x)$, for some (small enough) $r > 0$, as the exponential image in $\mathbb{G}$ of a $\mathbf{C}^1$ -smooth graph¹⁹

$$\Psi = \{(\psi(\xi), \xi) | \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}\} \subset \mathfrak{g},$$

where $\psi : e_1^\perp \cong \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a $\mathbf{C}^1$ -function satisfying:

$$(\mathbf{a}_1) \quad \psi(0) = 0;$$

$$(\mathbf{a}_2) \quad \text{grad}_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}\psi(0) = 0.$$

By this way we get that $S_r = \exp \Psi \cap B_\varrho(0, r)$, for all (small enough) $r > 0$. Clearly, this remark can be used to compute

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow 0^+} \sigma_H^{n-1}\{(\delta_{1/R}(x^{-1} \bullet S))_1\}. \quad (27)$$

So let us fix a positive r satisfying the previous hypotheses and let $0 \leq R \leq r$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta_{1/R}(x^{-1} \bullet S))_1 &= \delta_{1/R}(x^{-1} \bullet S_r(x)) \cap B_\varrho(0, 1) \\ &= \delta_{1/R}(S_r) \cap B_\varrho(0, 1) \\ &= \delta_{1/R}(\exp \Psi \cap B_\varrho(0, r)) \cap B_\varrho(0, 1) \\ &= \exp(\delta_{1/R}\Psi \cap B_\varrho(0, r/R)) \cap B_\varrho(0, 1) \\ &= \exp(\widehat{\delta}_{1/R}\Psi) \cap B_\varrho(0, 1), \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

where $\widehat{\delta}_t$ are the Carnot dilations induced on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, i.e. $\delta_t = \exp \circ \widehat{\delta}_t$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}_+$), or equivalently, $\widehat{\delta}_t = \log \circ \delta_t$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}_+$). Furthermore we have

$$\widehat{\delta}_{1/R}\Psi = \widehat{\delta}_{1/R}\{(\psi(\xi), \xi) | \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}\} = \left\{ \left(\frac{\psi(\xi)}{R}, (\widehat{\delta}_{1/R})|_{e_1^\perp}(\xi) \right) | \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \right\}.$$

By using the change of variables $\zeta := (\widehat{\delta}_{1/R})|_{e_1^\perp}(\xi)$, we therefore get that

$$\widehat{\delta}_{1/R}\Psi = \left\{ \left(\frac{\psi((\widehat{\delta}_R)|_{e_1^\perp}(\zeta))}{R}, \zeta \right) | \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \right\}.$$

¹⁹Actually, since the argument is local, ψ may be defined just on a suitable neighborhood of $0 \in e_1^\perp \cong \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$.

Remind that $\psi \in \mathbf{C}^1(U_0)$ where U_0 is a suitable open neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. From (a_1) and (a_2) we obtain

$$\psi(\xi) = \psi(0) + \langle \text{grad}_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \psi(0), \xi \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} + o(\|\xi\|_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}) = o(\|\xi\|_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}),$$

for $\xi \rightarrow 0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. Finally, since $\|(\widehat{\delta}_R)|_{e_1^\perp}(\zeta)\|_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \rightarrow 0$ as long as $R \rightarrow 0^+$, it follows that

$$\Psi_\infty = \lim_{R \rightarrow 0^+} \widehat{\delta}_{1/R} \Psi = \exp(e_1^\perp) = \mathcal{I}(X_1(0)). \quad (29)$$

We stress that $\mathcal{I}(X_1(0))$ is the vertical hyperplane through the identity $0 \in \mathbb{G}$ and orthogonal to the horizontal direction $X_1(0)$. Hence, the limit (27) can be computed by using (28) and (29). More precisely, we have

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\sigma_H^{n-1}\{S \cap B_\varrho(x, R)\}}{R^{Q-1}} = \lim_{R \rightarrow 0^+} \sigma_H^{n-1}\{(\delta_{1/R}(x^{-1} \bullet S))_1\} = \sigma_H^{n-1}(\mathcal{I}(X_1(0)) \cap B_\varrho(0, 1)) \quad (30)$$

By the previous change of variable, it is also clear that

$$\sigma_H^{n-1}(\mathcal{I}(X_1(0)) \cap B_\varrho(0, 1)) = \sigma_H^{n-1}(\mathcal{I}(\nu_H(x)) \cap B_\varrho(x, 1)).$$

As it can easily be seen, the horizontal perimeter σ_H^{n-1} restricted to any vertical hyperplane coincides with the Euclidean Hausdorff measure $\mathcal{H}_{\text{eu}}^{n-1}$ on the hyperplane $\mathcal{I}(\nu_H(x))$, i.e.

$$\sigma_H^{n-1} \llcorner \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{I}(\nu_H(x)) = \mathcal{H}_{\text{eu}}^{n-1} \llcorner \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{I}(\nu_H(x)) \quad \forall \mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{Bor}(\mathcal{I}(\nu_H(x))).$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\sigma_H^{n-1}\{S \cap B_\varrho(x, R)\}}{R^{Q-1}} = \kappa_\varrho(\nu_H(x)),$$

which was to be proven.

Now we continue the study of the characteristic case.

Case (b). Blow-up at the characteristic set. *We are now assuming that $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ is a $\mathbf{C}^i$ -smooth hypersurface ($i \geq 2$) and that $x \in C_S$, i.e. is a characteristic point.* In such a case the hypersurface S is oriented, at the point x , by some vertical vector. Hence, at least locally around x , we may think of S as the (exponential image of the) graph of a $\mathbf{C}^i$ -function w.r.t. some given vertical direction X_α ($\alpha \in I_V$) which is transversal to S at x , i.e. $\langle X_\alpha(x), \nu(x) \rangle \neq 0$, where ν is the Riemannian unit normal vector to S at x . Here X_α is a vertical left-invariant vector field of the fixed left-invariant frame $\underline{X} = \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ on $\mathbb{G}$ and $\alpha \in I_V$ is any index of “vertical” type; see Section 2.1, Notation 2.3. *Furthermore, we are assuming that*

$$\text{ord}(\alpha) := i \quad (i \in \{2, \dots, k\}).$$

To the sake of simplicity, as in the non-characteristic case, we left-translate the hypersurface in such a way that x will coincide with the identity $0 \in \mathbb{G}$. To this end, it suffices to replace S by $x^{-1} \bullet S$.

At the level of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \cong T_0\mathbb{G}$, we introduce the hyperplane $e_\alpha^\perp$ through the origin $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \cong \mathfrak{g}$ and orthogonal to $e_\alpha = X_\alpha(0)$. In the sequel, the exponential image in $\mathbb{G}$ of $e_\alpha^\perp$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{I}(X_\alpha(0))$, i.e. $\mathcal{I}(X_\alpha(0)) = \exp(e_\alpha^\perp)$. We stress that $e_\alpha^\perp$ is the “natural” domain of a graph along the direction e_α . By the classical Implicit Function Theorem, for some (small enough) $r > 0$, we may write $S_r = x^{-1} \bullet S_r(x)$ as the exponential image in $\mathbb{G}$ of the $\mathbf{C}^i$ -graph

$$\Psi = \left\{ (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{\alpha-1}, \underbrace{\psi(\xi)}_{\alpha\text{-th place}}, \xi_{\alpha+1}, \dots, \xi_n) \mid \xi := (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{\alpha-1}, 0, \xi_{\alpha+1}, \dots, \xi_n) \in e_\alpha^\perp \cong \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \right\} \subset \mathfrak{g},$$

where $\psi : e_\alpha^\perp \cong \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a $\mathbf{C}^i$ -function satisfying:

(b₁) $\psi(0) = 0$;

(b₂) $\partial\psi/\partial\xi_j(0) = 0$ for every $j = 1, \dots, h (= \dim H)$.

Thus we get that $S_r = \exp \Psi \cap B_\varrho(0, r)$, for every (small enough) $r > 0$. Now we apply the previous considerations to compute

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow 0^+} \sigma_H^{n-1} \{(\delta_{1/R}(x^{-1} \bullet S))_1\}.$$

By arguing exactly as in the non-characteristic case, we get that

$$(\delta_{1/R}(x^{-1} \bullet S))_1 = \exp(\widehat{\delta}_{1/R}\Psi) \cap B_\varrho(0, 1),$$

where $\widehat{\delta}_t$ are the Carnot dilations induced on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\delta}_{1/R}\Psi &= \widehat{\delta}_{1/R}\{(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{\alpha-1}, \psi(\xi), \xi_{\alpha+1}, \dots, \xi_n) \mid \xi \in e_\alpha^\perp\} \\ &= \left\{ \left(\frac{\xi_1}{R}, \dots, \frac{\xi_{\alpha-1}}{R^{\text{ord}(\alpha-1)}}, \frac{\psi(\xi)}{R^i}, \frac{\xi_{\alpha+1}}{R^{\text{ord}(\alpha+1)}}, \dots, \frac{\xi_n}{R^k} \right) \mid \xi \in e_\alpha^\perp \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By setting

$$\zeta := (\widehat{\delta}_{1/R})|_{e_\alpha^\perp} \xi = \left(\frac{\xi_1}{R}, \dots, \frac{\xi_{\alpha-1}}{R^{\text{ord}(\alpha-1)}}, 0, \frac{\xi_{\alpha+1}}{R^{\text{ord}(\alpha+1)}}, \dots, \frac{\xi_n}{R^k} \right),$$

where $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{\alpha-1}, 0, \zeta_{\alpha+1}, \dots, \zeta_n) \in e_\alpha^\perp$, we therefore get

$$\widehat{\delta}_{1/R}\Psi = \left\{ \left(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{\alpha-1}, \frac{\psi(\widehat{\delta}_R(\zeta)|_{e_\alpha^\perp})}{R^i}, \zeta_{\alpha+1}, \dots, \zeta_n \right) \mid \zeta \in e_\alpha^\perp \right\}.$$

Remind that $\psi \in \mathbf{C}^i(U_0)$ where U_0 is an open neighborhood of $0 \in e_\alpha^\perp \cong \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. Moreover, it is immediate to see that

$$\widehat{\delta}_R(\zeta)|_{e_\alpha^\perp} \longrightarrow 0$$

as long as $R \rightarrow 0^+$. So we have to evaluate the limit

$$\widetilde{\psi}(\zeta) := \lim_{R \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\psi(\widehat{\delta}_R(\zeta)|_{e_\alpha^\perp})}{R^i}. \quad (31)$$

The first remark is that, if this limit equals $+\infty$, one immediately obtains

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\sigma_H^{n-1}\{S_R(x)\}}{R^{Q-1}} = \lim_{R \rightarrow 0^+} \sigma_H^{n-1}\{\exp(\widehat{\delta}_{1/R}\Psi) \cap B_\varrho(0, 1)\} = 0,$$

since $\widehat{\delta}_{1/R}\Psi \cap B_\varrho(0, 1) \rightarrow \emptyset$, for $R \rightarrow 0^+$.

Now we make use of a Taylor's expansion of ψ up to the l -th - Euclidean - order ($l \leq i$) together with (b₁) and (b₂). We thus get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\widehat{\delta}_R(\zeta)|_{e_\alpha^\perp}) &= \psi(0) + \sum_{j_1} R^{\text{ord}(j_1)} \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\zeta_{j_1}}(0) \zeta_{j_1} + \sum_{j_1, j_2} R^{\text{ord}(j_1) + \text{ord}(j_2)} \frac{\partial^{(2)}\psi}{\partial\zeta_{j_1} \partial\zeta_{j_2}}(0) \zeta_{j_1} \zeta_{j_2} \\ &\quad + \dots + \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_i} R^{\text{ord}(j_1) + \dots + \text{ord}(j_i)} \frac{\partial^{(i)}\psi}{\partial\zeta_{j_1} \dots \partial\zeta_{j_i}}(0) \zeta_{j_1} \dots \zeta_{j_i} + o(\|\widehat{\delta}_R(\zeta)|_{e_\alpha^\perp}\|_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}^i) \\ &= \sum_{j_1} R^{\text{ord}(j_1)} \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\zeta_{j_1}}(0) \zeta_{j_1} + \sum_{j_1, j_2} R^{\text{ord}(j_1) + \text{ord}(j_2)} \frac{\partial^{(2)}\psi}{\partial\zeta_{j_1} \partial\zeta_{j_2}}(0) \zeta_{j_1} \zeta_{j_2} \\ &\quad + \dots + \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_i} R^{\text{ord}(j_1) + \dots + \text{ord}(j_i)} \frac{\partial^{(i)}\psi}{\partial\zeta_{j_1} \dots \partial\zeta_{j_i}}(0) \zeta_{j_1} \dots \zeta_{j_i} + o(R^{\text{ord}(j_1) + \dots + \text{ord}(j_i)}), \end{aligned}$$

where $j_l \in \{1, \dots, (\alpha - 1), (\alpha + 1), \dots, n\}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\psi(\widehat{\delta}_R(\zeta)|_{e_\alpha^\perp})}{R^i} &= \sum_{j_1} R^{\text{ord}(j_1)-i} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \zeta_{j_1}}(0) \zeta_{j_1} + \sum_{j_1, j_2} R^{\text{ord}(j_1)+\text{ord}(j_2)-i} \frac{\partial^{(2)} \psi}{\partial \zeta_{j_1} \partial \zeta_{j_2}}(0) \zeta_{j_1} \zeta_{j_2} \\ &\quad + \dots + \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_l} R^{\text{ord}(j_1)+\dots+\text{ord}(j_l)-i} \frac{\partial^{(l)} \psi}{\partial \zeta_{j_1} \dots \partial \zeta_{j_l}}(0) \zeta_{j_1} \cdot \dots \cdot \zeta_{j_l} + o(R^{\text{ord}(j_1)+\dots+\text{ord}(j_l)-i}). \end{aligned}$$

By hypothesis

$$\frac{\partial^{(l)} \psi}{\partial \zeta_{j_1} \dots \partial \zeta_{j_l}}(0) = 0 \quad \text{whenever} \quad \text{ord}(j_1) + \dots + \text{ord}(j_l) < i \quad (1 \leq l \leq i). \quad (32)$$

This implies that the limit (31) exists. Therefore

$$\Psi_\infty = \lim_{R \rightarrow 0^+} \widehat{\delta}_{1/R} \Psi = \{(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{\alpha-1}, \widetilde{\psi}(\zeta), \zeta_{\alpha+1}, \dots, \zeta_n) \mid \zeta \in e_\alpha^\perp\},$$

where $\widetilde{\psi}$ is the homogeneous polynomial function of degree l and - anisotropic - order $i = \text{ord}(\alpha)$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\psi}(\zeta) &= \sum_{\substack{j_1 \\ \text{ord}(j_1)=i}} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \zeta_{j_1}}(0) \zeta_{j_1} + \sum_{\substack{j_1, j_2 \\ \text{ord}(j_1)+\text{ord}(j_2)=i}} \frac{\partial^{(2)} \psi}{\partial \zeta_{j_1} \partial \zeta_{j_2}}(0) \zeta_{j_1} \zeta_{j_2} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{j_1, \dots, j_l \\ \text{ord}(j_1)+\dots+\text{ord}(j_l)=i}} \frac{\partial^{(l)} \psi}{\partial \zeta_{j_1} \dots \partial \zeta_{j_l}}(0) \zeta_{j_1} \cdot \dots \cdot \zeta_{j_l}. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

The thesis easily follows. □

4 A technical lemma: Coarea Formula for the HS -gradient

Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a $\mathbf{C}^2$ hypersurface and $\varphi : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a $\mathbf{C}^1$ -smooth function. As it is well-known, $x \in S$ is a *regular point* of φ when $|\text{grad} \varphi| \neq 0$ and a *critical point* otherwise. In other words, $x \in S$ is a regular point if and only if the differential $d\varphi|_x : T_x S \rightarrow T_{\varphi(x)} \mathbb{R}$ is surjective. Furthermore, $s \in \mathbb{R}$ is a *regular value* of φ if and only if every point of $\varphi^{-1}[s]$ is a regular point of φ (and by convention $s \in \mathbb{R}$ is a regular value if $\varphi^{-1}[s] = \emptyset$) and is a *critical value* if it is not a regular value.

Remark 4.1. Clearly, any $(n-2)$ -dimensional $\mathbf{C}^1$ -smooth submanifold Σ of S can be thought, at least locally, as the level-set of a $\mathbf{C}^1$ -function defined on S . Furthermore, from a purely “geometric” point of view, the characteristic set of $\Sigma \subset S$ is the kernel of the projection onto HS of the unit normal vector η along Σ , i.e. $C_\Sigma = \{x \in \Sigma \mid \mathcal{P}_{HS} \eta(x) = 0\}$.

Let $\varphi \in \mathbf{C}^1(S)$ and, for any regular value $s \in \mathbb{R}$ of φ , let us consider the level set of φ , i.e. $\varphi^{-1}[s]$. It is clear that $\varphi^{-1}[s]$ is a $(n-2)$ -dimensional $\mathbf{C}^1$ -smooth closed submanifold of S . Note that $\varphi^{-1}[s]$ is geometrically H -regular if, and only if, $|\text{grad}_{HS} \varphi| \neq 0$ everywhere along $\varphi^{-1}[s]$; see Definition 2.25. As an application of Theorem 2.16 in [59], the characteristic set $C_{\varphi^{-1}[s]}$ of $\varphi^{-1}[s]$ is a 0-measure set w.r.t. the intrinsic Hausdorff measure, i.e. $\mathcal{H}_{cc}^{Q-2}(C_{\varphi^{-1}[s]}) = 0$; see also Remark 2.27. Hence $\sigma_H^{n-2}(C_{\varphi^{-1}[s]}) = 0$. It is clear that $C_{\varphi^{-1}[s]}$ is a closed subset of $\varphi^{-1}[s]$ - under the relative topology - and that

$$C_{\varphi^{-1}[s]} = \{x \in \varphi^{-1}[s] \mid |\text{grad}_{HS} \varphi(x)| = 0\}.$$

As it is well-known, the classical *Sard's Theorem* (see, for instance, [73]) states that *the set of critical values of φ is a 0-measure set* (w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$) and so, for $\mathcal{L}^1$ -a.e. $s \in \mathbb{R}$, the set $\varphi^{-1}[s] \subset S$ is a $\mathbf{C}^1$ -smooth - closed - submanifold of S . For any fixed regular value s of φ , we shall consider the open subset $(\varphi^{-1}[s])^* := \varphi^{-1}[s] \setminus C_{\varphi^{-1}[s]}$ of $\varphi^{-1}[s]$. At each point of $(\varphi^{-1}[s])^*$ the horizontal unit normal vector is well-defined and it can be written - up to the choice of an orientation - as $\eta_{HS} = \frac{\text{grad}_{HS} \varphi}{|\text{grad}_{HS} \varphi|}$.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth hypersurface and let $\varphi \in \mathbf{C}^1(S)$. Then*

$$\int_S |\text{grad}_{HS} \varphi(x)| \sigma_H^{n-1}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma_H^{n-2} \{ \varphi^{-1}[s] \cap S \} ds \quad (34)$$

and

$$\int_S \psi(x) |\text{grad}_{HS} \varphi(x)| \sigma_H^{n-1}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} ds \int_{\varphi^{-1}[s] \cap S} \psi(y) \sigma_H^{n-2}(y) \quad (35)$$

for every $\psi \in L^1(S, \sigma_H^{n-1})$.

Proof. We begin by assuming that S be non-characteristic. So let us fix any regular value s of φ and consider the set $(\varphi^{-1}[s])^* \subset S$. At each point of $(\varphi^{-1}[s])^*$ we set $\eta_{HS} = \frac{\text{grad}_{HS} \varphi}{|\text{grad}_{HS} \varphi|}$. Thus we may choose an oriented orthonormal basis $\tau_3, \dots, \tau_h$ of the orthogonal complement of η_{HS} in HS , i.e. $\eta_{HS}^{\perp HS} := \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \{ \tau_3, \dots, \tau_h \}$, where the notation is that used for an adapted orthonormal frame $\underline{\tau} = \{ \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n \}$ to S . More precisely, for such a frame, at each point of $(\varphi^{-1}[s])^*$, we have $\tau_1 = \nu_H$, $\tau_2 = \eta_{HS}$ and $\eta_{HS}^{\perp HS} = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \{ \tau_3, \dots, \tau_h \}$. Note that $H = \nu_H S \oplus HS$ and that $HS = \eta_{HS} \oplus \eta_{HS}^{\perp HS}$. We set

$$S^* := \bigcup_{s \in \{\text{Regular Values of } \varphi\}} (\varphi^{-1}[s])^*.$$

Now let us consider the following differential forms on S :

$$\phi_2, \quad \sigma_H^{n-2} = \phi_3 \wedge \dots \wedge \phi_n|_S,$$

where ϕ_I is the 1-form dual of τ_I , i.e. $\phi_I^*(\tau_J) = \delta_I^J$ for every $I, J = 2, \dots, n$ (δ_I^J denotes the Kronecker delta). It is clear that $\phi_2 = \eta_{HS}^* = \frac{d_{HS} \varphi}{|\text{grad}_{HS} \varphi|}$ and that $\sigma_H^{n-1} = \phi_2 \wedge \sigma_H^{n-2}$. The natural volume form on $\mathbb{R}$ can be represented by the differential 1-form ds . Therefore, one gets $\varphi^* ds = d\varphi = (d_{HS} \varphi + d_V \varphi)|_S$. Note that, at each point of S , $d_V \varphi|_S$ is a linear combination of the 1-forms $\phi_\alpha|_S$ ($\alpha \in I_V$). Thus $\varphi^* ds = (|\text{grad}_{HS} \varphi| \phi_2 + d_V \varphi)|_S$ and it turns out that

$$\varphi^* ds \wedge \sigma_H^{n-2}|_{\varphi^{-1}[s]} = |\text{grad}_{HS} \varphi| \sigma_H^{n-1}|_S.$$

The last one can be regarded as an “infinitesimal” Coarea Formula for any non-characteristic hypersurface. Now we consider the general case in which S can have a non-empty characteristic set C_S . The above arguments can be repeated verbatim, after replacing S with $S \setminus C_S$.

Here is worth to note that both members of this formula vanish in the following three cases: (i) $x \in C_S$, i.e. x is a characteristic point of S ; (ii) $s \in \mathbb{R}$ is a critical value of φ ; (iii) $s \in \mathbb{R}$ is a regular value of φ but $y \in \varphi^{-1}[s] \cap C_{\varphi^{-1}[s]}$, i.e. y is a characteristic point of $\varphi^{-1}[s]$. Therefore, in proving the theorem, we may first replace S by $S \setminus C_S$ and then consider the set $(S \setminus C_S)^*$. In fact, the following identities hold true:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_S \psi(x) |\text{grad}_{HS} \varphi(x)| \sigma_H^{n-1}(x) &= \int_{S \setminus C_S} \psi(x) |\text{grad}_{HS} \varphi(x)| \sigma_H^{n-1}(x) \\ &= \int_{(S \setminus C_S)^*} \psi(x) |\text{grad}_{HS} \varphi(x)| \sigma_H^{n-1}(x) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} dt \int_{\varphi^{-1}[s] \cap S} \psi(y) \sigma_H^{n-2}(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} ds \int_{\varphi^{-1}[s] \cap (S \setminus C_S)^*} \psi(y) \sigma_H^{n-2}(y).$$

The classical *Lemma on fiber integration*²⁰, an equivalent of Fubini's Theorem for submersions, yields (34) and (35) in the case where ψ is continuous and compactly supported on S . Finally, the general case follows by a standard approximation argument. $\square$

Remark 4.4. *We would like to point out that the previous result can also be deduced by using the Riemannian Coarea Formula as we shall see below. Indeed, let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth hypersurface and $\varphi : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a piecewise $\mathbf{C}^1$ -smooth function. Then*

$$\int_S \phi(x) |\text{grad}_{TS} \varphi(x)| \sigma_R^{n-1}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} ds \int_{\varphi^{-1}[s] \cap S} \phi(y) \sigma_R^{n-2}(y) \quad (36)$$

for every $\psi \in L^1(S, \sigma_R^{n-1})$. This is the Riemannian Coarea Formula; see [11], [16, 17], [32]. Now, by choosing

$$\phi = \psi \frac{|\text{grad}_{HS} \varphi|}{|\text{grad}_{TS} \varphi|} |\mathcal{P}_H \nu|$$

for some $\psi \in L^1(S, \sigma_H^{n-1})$, we get that

$$\int_S \phi |\text{grad}_{TS} \varphi| \sigma_R^{n-1} = \int_S \psi \frac{|\text{grad}_{HS} \varphi|}{|\text{grad}_{TS} \varphi|} \underbrace{|\mathcal{P}_H \nu| \sigma_R^{n-1}}_{=\sigma_H^{n-1}} = \int_S |\text{grad}_{HS} \varphi| \sigma_H^{n-1}.$$

Along $\varphi^{-1}[s]$ one has $\eta = \frac{\text{grad}_{TS} \varphi}{|\text{grad}_{TS} \varphi|}$ and this implies $|\mathcal{P}_{HS} \eta| = \frac{|\text{grad}_{HS} \varphi|}{|\text{grad}_{TS} \varphi|}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} ds \int_{\varphi^{-1}[s] \cap S} \phi(y) \sigma_R^{n-2} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} ds \int_{\varphi^{-1}[s] \cap S} \psi \frac{|\text{grad}_{HS} \varphi|}{|\text{grad}_{TS} \varphi|} |\mathcal{P}_H \nu| \sigma_R^{n-2} \\ &= \int_{\varphi^{-1}[s] \cap S} \psi \underbrace{|\mathcal{P}_{HS} \eta| |\mathcal{P}_H \nu| \sigma_R^{n-2}}_{=\sigma_H^{n-2}} \\ &= \int_{\varphi^{-1}[s] \cap S} \psi \sigma_H^{n-2}. \end{aligned}$$

5 Poincaré inequalities on compact hypersurfaces

Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a $\mathbf{C}^1$ -smooth compact hypersurface with - or without - boundary. As in the Riemannian setting (see [19]) one may give the following:

Definition 5.1. *The isoperimetric constant $\text{Isop}(S)$ of S is defined as follows:*

- if $\partial S = \emptyset$ we set

$$\text{Isop}(S) := \inf \frac{\sigma_H^{n-2}(N)}{\min\{\sigma_H^{n-1}(S_1), \sigma_H^{n-1}(S_2)\}},$$

where the infimum is taken over all smooth $(n-2)$ -dimensional submanifolds N of S which divide S into two hypersurfaces S_1, S_2 with common boundary $N = \partial S_1 = \partial S_2$;

²⁰The lemma on fiber integration can be stated as follows:

Lemma 4.3. *Let $f : M^{n+m} \rightarrow N^n$ be a submersion of $\mathbf{C}^1$ -smooth manifolds, α an m -form on M and β an n -form on N . Then for every $g \in \mathbf{C}_0(M)$, one has*

$$\int_N \left(\int_{f^{-1}[y]} g \alpha \right) \beta(y) = \int g \alpha \wedge f^* \beta.$$

- if $\partial S \neq \emptyset$ we set

$$\text{Isop}(S) := \inf \frac{\sigma_H^{n-2}(N)}{\sigma_H^{n-1}(S_1)},$$

where $N \cap \partial S = \emptyset$ and S_1 is the unique $(n-2)$ -dimensional submanifold of S such that $N = \partial S_1$.

Remark 5.2. It is important to say that, in general, the isoperimetric constant $\text{Isop}(S)$ might vanish. Examples of this fact can be constructed, for instance, in the case of the 1st Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^1$ on $\mathbb{R}^3$; see Example 5.4 below. Nevertheless, if we make some further assumptions on the underlying geometry, this phenomenon cannot occur. More precisely, let us assume that:

(H) For every smooth $(n-2)$ -dimensional submanifold $N \subset S$, one has

$$\dim C_N < n-2.$$

Remark 5.3. Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth and non-characteristic. Moreover let us assume that the horizontal tangent bundle HS be generic and horizontal. For example, this is the case of any $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth non-characteristic hypersurface in the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^n$ for $n > 1$. In other words, we are assuming that (S, HS) is a CC-space; see Section 2.1 and Remark 2.23. Then we claim that (H) is automatically satisfied. Indeed, let $\mathcal{U} \subset S$ be any relatively compact open set with $\mathbf{C}^1$ -smooth boundary. Note that $\partial \mathcal{U}$ can be regarded as a smooth hypersurface in S , oriented by its unit normal η . Then, as an application of Frobenius' Theorem, one infers that $C_{\partial \mathcal{U}}$ cannot have a non-empty interior and this immediately implies that (H) holds true²¹.

Example 5.4. Let S be a compact surface $S \subset \mathbb{H}^1$ without boundary. Moreover, let us assume that there exists a regular, simple, closed, horizontal curve $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^1$ such that $\text{Im } \gamma \subset S$. Then, it follows immediately that $\text{Isop}(S) = 0$.

As a consequence of the Coarea Formula (34) we may generalize to our Carnot setting some classical results about isoperimetric constants and global Poincaré inequalities which can be found in the books [15, 16, 17]; see also [19], [87].

Theorem 5.5. Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a $\mathbf{C}^1$ -smooth compact hypersurface.

(a) If $\partial S = \emptyset$, then

$$\text{Isop}(S) = \inf \frac{\int_S |\text{grad}_{HS} \psi| \sigma_H^{n-1}}{\int_S |\psi| \sigma_H^{n-1}},$$

where the infimum is taken over all $\mathbf{C}^1$ -smooth functions on S such that $\int_S \psi \sigma_H^{n-1} = 0$.

(b) If $\partial S \neq \emptyset$, then

$$\text{Isop}(S) = \inf \frac{\int_S |\text{grad}_{HS} \psi| \sigma_H^{n-1}}{\int_S |\psi| \sigma_H^{n-1}},$$

where the infimum is taken over all $\mathbf{C}^1$ -smooth functions on S such that $\psi|_{\partial S} = 0$.

Proof. The proof repeats almost verbatim the arguments of Theorem 1 in [87]. We just prove the theorem for $\partial S = \emptyset$ since the other case is analogous. First, let us prove the inequality

$$\text{Isop}(S) \leq \inf \frac{\int_S |\text{grad}_{HS} \psi| \sigma_H^{n-1}}{\int_S |\psi| \sigma_H^{n-1}}$$

²¹More generally, let (N^n, H) be an n -dimensional CC-space and let $M^{n-1} \subset N$ be a $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth immersed hypersurface. Moreover, let us define the characteristic set C_M of M exactly as in the case of Carnot groups, i.e. $C_M := \{x \in M : |\mathcal{P}_H \nu_R(x)| = 0\}$. Then, as an application of Frobenius' Theorem, one infers that C_M cannot have a non-empty interior.

where $\psi \in \mathbf{C}^1(S)$ and $\int_S \psi \sigma_H^{n-1} = 0$. To prove this inequality let us consider the auxiliary functions $\psi^+ = \max\{0, \psi\}$, $\psi^- = \max\{0, -\psi\}$. By applying the Coarea Formula (34), the very definition of $\text{Isop}(S)$ and *Cavalieri's principle*²² one gets

$$\int_S |\text{grad}_{HS} \psi^\pm| \sigma_H^{n-1} = \int_0^{+\infty} \sigma_H^{n-2} \{x \in S : \psi^\pm = t\} dt \geq \text{Isop}(S) \int_S |\psi^\pm| \sigma_H^{n-1}.$$

Now we shall prove the reversed inequality. So let us assume that $\sigma_H^{n-1}(S_1) \leq \sigma_H^{n-1}(S_2)$ and let $\epsilon > 0$. We now define the function

$$\psi_\epsilon(x)|_{S_1} := \begin{cases} \frac{\varrho(x, N)}{\epsilon} & \text{if } \varrho(x, N) \leq \epsilon \\ 1 & \text{if } \varrho(x, N) > \epsilon. \end{cases} \quad \psi_\epsilon(x)|_{S_2} := \begin{cases} -\alpha \frac{\varrho(x, N)}{\epsilon} & \text{if } \varrho(x, N) \leq \epsilon \\ -\alpha & \text{if } \varrho(x, N) > \epsilon \end{cases} \quad (37)$$

where the constant α depends on ϵ and is chosen in such a way that $\int_S \psi_\epsilon \sigma_H^{n-1} = 0$. Obviously

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \alpha = \frac{\sigma_H^{n-1}(S_1)}{\sigma_H^{n-1}(S_2)}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_S |\text{grad}_{HS} \psi_\epsilon| \sigma_H^{n-1} &= \frac{1 + \alpha}{\epsilon} \int_{N_\epsilon := \{x \in S : \varrho(x, N) \leq \epsilon\}} |\text{grad}_{HS} \varrho(x, N)| \sigma_H^{n-1} \\ &= \frac{1 + \alpha}{\epsilon} \int_0^\epsilon \sigma_H^{n-2} \{x \in N_\epsilon : \varrho(x, N) = t\} dt, \end{aligned}$$

one gets

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_S |\text{grad}_{HS} \psi_\epsilon| \sigma_H^{n-1} = (1 + \alpha) \sigma_H^{n-2}(N).$$

Moreover $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_S |\psi_\epsilon| \sigma_H^{n-1} = \sigma_H^{n-1}(S_1) + \alpha \sigma_H^{n-1}(S_2)$. Putting all together we finally get that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\int_S |\text{grad}_{HS} \psi_\epsilon| \sigma_H^{n-1}}{\int_S |\psi_\epsilon| \sigma_H^{n-1}} \leq \text{Isop}(S).$$

□

Corollary 5.7. *Let λ_1 be the first non-zero eigenvalue of either the closed eigenvalue problem or the Dirichlet eigenvalue problem; see Definition 2.41. Then $\lambda_1 \geq \frac{(\text{Isop}(S))^2}{4}$.*

Proof. From Theorem 5.5, by Cauchy-Schwartz Inequality, one gets

$$\int_S |\psi|^2 \sigma_H^{n-1} \leq \frac{2}{\text{Isop}(S)} \|\psi\|_{L^2(S; \sigma_H^{n-1})} \|\text{grad}_{HS} \psi\|_{L^2(S; \sigma_H^{n-1})},$$

i.e. $\|\psi\|_{L^2(S; \sigma_H^{n-1})}^2 \leq \frac{4}{(\text{Isop}(S))^2} \|\text{grad}_{HS} \psi\|_{L^2(S; \sigma_H^{n-1})}^2$. By using (vi) of Proposition 2.42 with $\psi = \varphi$ and the hypotheses one gets

$$\lambda_1 \int_S |\psi|^2 \sigma_H^{n-1} = \int_S \psi \mathcal{D}_{HS} \psi \sigma_H^{n-1} = \int_S |\text{grad}_{HS} \psi|^2 \sigma_H^{n-1}.$$

□

²²The following lemma, also known as *Cavalieri's principle*, is an easy consequence of Fubini's Theorem:

Lemma 5.6. *Let X be an abstract space, μ a measure on X , $\alpha > 0$, $\varphi \geq 0$ and $A_t = \{x \in X : \varphi > t\}$. Then*

$$\int_0^{+\infty} t^{\alpha-1} \mu(A_t) dt = \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{A_0} \varphi^\alpha d\mu.$$

Now let us generalize to our Carnot setting another isoperimetric constant, which is well-known for compact Riemannian manifolds; see, for instance, [87].

Definition 5.8. *The isoperimetric constant $\text{Isop}_0(S)$ of a $\mathbf{C}^1$ -smooth compact hypersurface - with boundary - $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ is given by*

$$\text{Isop}_0(S) := \inf \left\{ \frac{\sigma_H^{n-2}(\partial S_1 \cap \partial S_2)}{\min\{\sigma_H^{n-1}(S_1), \sigma_H^{n-1}(S_2)\}} \right\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all decompositions $S = S_1 \cup S_2$ such that $\sigma_H^{n-1}(S_1 \cap S_2) = 0$.

Theorem 5.9. *Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a $\mathbf{C}^1$ -smooth compact hypersurface with boundary. Then one has*

$$\text{Isop}_0(S) = \inf \left\{ \frac{\int_S |\text{grad}_{HS} \psi| \sigma_H^{n-1}}{\inf_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}} \int_S |\psi - \beta| \sigma_H^{n-1}} \right\},$$

where the inf is taken over all $\mathbf{C}^1$ -functions defined on S .

Proof. The proof is analogous to that of Theorem 6 in [87]. First, let us prove the inequality

$$\text{Isop}(S) \leq \inf \frac{\int_S |\text{grad}_{HS} \psi| \sigma_H^{n-1}}{\int_S |\psi| \sigma_H^{n-1}}.$$

To this purpose let us define the functions $\psi^+ := \max\{0, \psi - k\}$, $\psi^- := -\min\{0, \psi - k\}$, where $k \in \mathbb{R}$ is any constant such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_H^{n-1}\{x \in S : \psi^+ > 0\} &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sigma_H^{n-1}(S), \\ \sigma_H^{n-1}\{x \in S : \psi^- > 0\} &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sigma_H^{n-1}(S). \end{aligned}$$

Again, by applying Coarea Formula (34), the very definition of $\text{Isop}_0(S)$ and Cavalieri's principle one infers that

$$\int_S |\text{grad}_{HS} \psi^\pm| \sigma_H^{n-1} = \int_0^{+\infty} \sigma_H^{n-2}\{x \in S : \psi^\pm = t\} dt \geq \text{Isop}(S) \int_S |\psi^\pm| \sigma_H^{n-1}.$$

Now we prove the other inequality. Assuming $\sigma_H^{n-1}(S_1) \leq \sigma_H^{n-1}(S_2)$ and $\epsilon > 0$, we define the function

$$\psi_\epsilon(x)|_{S_1} := 1 \quad \psi_\epsilon(x)|_{S_2} := \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{\varrho(x, \partial S_1 \cap \partial S_2)}{\epsilon} & \text{if } \varrho(x, \partial S_1 \cap \partial S_2) \leq \epsilon \\ 0 & \text{if } \varrho(x, \partial S_1 \cap \partial S_2) > \epsilon. \end{cases} \quad (38)$$

We also stress that one can find a constant $k(\epsilon)$ satisfying

$$\int_S |\psi_\epsilon - k(\epsilon)| \sigma_H^{n-1} = \inf_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}} \int_S |\psi_\epsilon - \beta| \sigma_H^{n-1}$$

and such that $k(\epsilon) \rightarrow 0$ for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$. But then

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \frac{\int_S |\text{grad}_{HS} \psi_\epsilon| \sigma_H^{n-1}}{\inf_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}} \int_S |\psi_\epsilon - \beta| \sigma_H^{n-1}} \right\} \leq \frac{\sigma_H^{n-2}(\partial S_1 \cap \partial S_2)}{\min\{\sigma_H^{n-1}(S_1), \sigma_H^{n-1}(S_2)\}}.$$

□

Corollary 5.10. *Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a $\mathbf{C}^1$ -smooth compact hypersurface. Then*

$$\int_S |\psi - k|^2 \sigma_H^{n-1} \leq \frac{4}{(\text{Isop}_0(S))^2} \int_S |\text{grad}_{HS} \psi|^2 \sigma_H^{n-1} \quad (39)$$

for every $\psi \in \mathbf{C}^1(S)$ and every $k \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_H^{n-1}\{x \in S : \psi \geq k\} &\geq \frac{1}{2} \sigma_H^{n-1}(S), \\ \sigma_H^{n-1}\{x \in S : \psi \leq k\} &\geq \frac{1}{2} \sigma_H^{n-1}(S). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, if $\psi \in \mathbf{C}^1(S)$ and $\int_S \psi \sigma_H^{n-1} = 0$, then

$$\int_S |\psi|^2 \sigma_H^{n-1} \leq \frac{4}{(\text{Isop}_0(S))^2} \int_S |\text{grad}_{HS} \psi|^2 \sigma_H^{n-1}. \quad (40)$$

Proof. One obviously has $\int_S (\psi^+ \cdot \psi^-) \sigma_H^{n-1} = 0$, where the functions $\psi^\pm$ are defined as in the proof of the previous Theorem 5.9. Moreover, by using once more the Coarea Formula, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_S |\psi - k|^2 \sigma_H^{n-1} &= \int_S |\psi^+ + \psi^-|^2 \sigma_H^{n-1} \\ &\leq \int_S |\psi^+|^2 \sigma_H^{n-1} + \int_S |\psi^-|^2 \sigma_H^{n-1} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\text{Isop}_0(S)} \left(\int_S |\text{grad}_{HS}(\psi^+)|^2 \sigma_H^{n-1} + \int_S |\text{grad}_{HS}(\psi^-)|^2 \sigma_H^{n-1} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\text{Isop}_0(S)} \int_S (\psi^+ + \psi^-) |\text{grad}_{HS} \psi| \sigma_H^{n-1} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\text{Isop}_0(S)} \|\psi^+ + \psi^-\|_{L^2(S; \sigma_H^{n-1})} \|\text{grad}_{HS} \psi\|_{L^2(S; \sigma_H^{n-1})}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves inequality (39). To prove (40) we note that the hypothesis $\int_S \psi \sigma_H^{n-1} = 0$ actually implies that

$$\int_S \psi^2 \sigma_H^{n-1} = \inf_{k \in \mathbb{R}} \int_S (\psi - k)^2 \sigma_H^{n-1}.$$

This, together with (39), implies the claim. $\square$

6 Some Linear Isoperimetric inequalities

To the sake of simplicity, let us begin by considering the *non-characteristic case*. So let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth hypersurface, with or without boundary, and let $\mathcal{U} \subset S$ be a non-characteristic relatively compact open set with boundary $\partial\mathcal{U}$, smooth enough for the validity of the Riemannian Divergence Theorem. First of all, we shall apply Corollary 2.39 which claims that

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}} \{(h-1) + g_H \mathcal{H}_H + \langle C_H \nu_H, x_{HS} \rangle\} \sigma_H^{n-1} = \int_{\partial\mathcal{U}} \langle x_H, \eta_{HS} \rangle \sigma_H^{n-2}. \quad (41)$$

Hence

$$(h-1) \sigma_H^{n-1}(\mathcal{U}) \leq \int_{\mathcal{U}} \{|g_H| |\mathcal{H}_H| + |\langle C_H \nu_H, x_{HS} \rangle|\} \sigma_H^{n-1} + \int_{\partial\mathcal{U}} |\langle x_H, \eta_{HS} \rangle| \sigma_H^{n-2}, \quad (42)$$

where we remind that $g_H = \langle x_H, \nu_H \rangle$ is the *horizontal support function* for $\mathcal{U}$.

Remark 6.1. Let $\varrho : \mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{G} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be any homogeneous distance. In this section we shall assume that the following conditions hold true:

- (i) ϱ is - at least - piecewise $\mathbf{C}^1$ -smooth;
- (ii) $|\text{grad}_H \varrho| \leq 1$ at each regular point of ϱ ;
- (iii) $\frac{|x_H|}{\varrho(x,0)} \leq 1$.

Henceforth, we shall set $\varrho(x) := \varrho(x, 0) = \|x\|_\varrho$.

Example 6.2. It can be proved that the CC-distance d_{cc} satisfies all the previous assumptions; see [7]. Another example can be found for the case of the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^n$; see Section 2.1. Indeed, the Korany norm, defined by

$$\|x\|_\varrho := \varrho(x) = \sqrt[4]{|x_H|^4 + 16t^2} \quad (x = \exp(x_H, t) \in \mathbb{H}^n)$$

is homogeneous and $\mathbf{C}^\infty$ -smooth out of the identity $0 \in \mathbb{H}^n$. Moreover, it turns out that ϱ satisfies (ii) and (iii). The last example can easily be generalized for those 2-step Carnot groups which satisfy the following assumption on the structural constants:

$$C_H^\alpha C_{H_2}^\beta = -\mathbf{1}_{H_i} \delta_\alpha^\beta \quad (\alpha, \beta \in I_{H_2}).$$

Actually, in such a case one can show that the homogeneous norm $\|\cdot\|_\varrho$, defined by

$$\|x\|_\varrho := \sqrt[4]{|x_H|^4 + 16|x_{H_2}|^2} \quad (x = \exp(x_H, x_{H_2})),$$

satisfies all the above requirements. We left to the reader the proof of these claims which are based on elementary computations.

Let now R denote the radius of the ϱ -ball $B_\varrho(0, R)$, centered at the identity 0 of $\mathbb{G}$ and circumscribed about $\mathcal{U}$, and let us estimate the right-hand side of (42). To this aim we stress that $g_H \leq |x_H| \leq \|x\|_\varrho$. We have

$$(h-1)\sigma_H^{n-1}(\mathcal{U}) \leq R \left\{ \int_{\mathcal{U}} (|\mathcal{H}_H| + |C_H \nu_H|) \sigma_H^{n-1} + \sigma_H^{n-2}(\partial \mathcal{U}) \right\}. \quad (43)$$

Obviously, if $\mathcal{U}$ is minimal, i.e. $\mathcal{H}_H = 0$, then it follows that

$$(h-1)\sigma_H^{n-1}(\mathcal{U}) \leq R \left\{ \int_{\mathcal{U}} |C_H \nu_H| \sigma_H^{n-1} + \sigma_H^{n-2}(\partial \mathcal{U}) \right\}. \quad (44)$$

Furthermore, if $\mathcal{H}_H^0 := \max\{\mathcal{H}_H(x) | x \in \mathcal{U}\}$, one gets

$$\sigma_H^{n-1}(\mathcal{U}) \{(h-1) - R\mathcal{H}_H^0\} \leq R \left\{ \int_{\mathcal{U}} |C_H \nu_H| \sigma_H^{n-1} + \sigma_H^{n-2}(\partial \mathcal{U}) \right\}. \quad (45)$$

Equivalently, one obtains

$$R \geq \frac{(h-1)\sigma_H^{n-1}(\mathcal{U})}{\mathcal{H}_H^0 \sigma_H^{n-1}(\mathcal{U}) + \left\{ \int_{\mathcal{U}} |C_H \nu_H| \sigma_H^{n-1} + \sigma_H^{n-2}(\partial \mathcal{U}) \right\}}. \quad (46)$$

Clearly, by assuming $R\mathcal{H}_H^0 < h-1$, we also get that

$$\sigma_H^{n-1}(\mathcal{U}) \leq \frac{R \left\{ \int_{\mathcal{U}} |C_H \nu_H| \sigma_H^{n-1} + \sigma_H^{n-2}(\partial \mathcal{U}) \right\}}{(h-1) - R\mathcal{H}_H^0}. \quad (47)$$

We would like to remark that all the previous formulae have been proved for the case of relatively compact non-characteristic hypersurfaces with boundary.

Now we turn our attention to the more general case of hypersurfaces having a possibly non-empty characteristic set. As in Remark 2.40, let $\mathcal{U}_\epsilon$ ($\epsilon > 0$) be a family of open subsets of $\mathcal{U}$ with piecewise $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth boundary such that:

- (i) $C_{\mathcal{U}} \subset \mathcal{U}_\epsilon$ for every $\epsilon > 0$;
- (ii) $\sigma_R^{n-1}(\mathcal{U}_\epsilon) \longrightarrow 0$ for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$;
- (iii) $\int_{\mathcal{U}_\epsilon} |\mathcal{P}_H \nu| \sigma_R^{n-2} \longrightarrow 0$ for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$.

Thus, in particular, $\sigma_H^{n-1}(\mathcal{U}_\epsilon) \longrightarrow 0$ and $\sigma_H^{n-2}(\partial\mathcal{U}_\epsilon) \longrightarrow 0$ for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$. Next, by applying Corollary 2.39 to $\mathcal{U} \setminus \mathcal{U}_\epsilon$ we get

$$\int_{\mathcal{U} \setminus \mathcal{U}_\epsilon} \{(h-1) + g_H \mathcal{H}_H + \langle C_H \nu_H, x_{HS} \rangle\} \sigma_H^{n-1} = \int_{\partial\mathcal{U}} \langle x_H, \eta_{HS} \rangle \sigma_H^{n-2} + \int_{\partial\mathcal{U}_\epsilon} \langle x_H, \eta_{HS} \rangle \sigma_H^{n-2}. \quad (48)$$

Therefore, by letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, one infers that (41) also holds true even for the case of a non-empty characteristic set. Thus, it is clear that (43), (44), (45), (46), (47) also hold for the characteristic case. Finally, we may consider the case of a smooth compact hypersurface S without boundary. Note that, in this case, one cannot expect that $C_S = \emptyset$. Since the boundary term in (43) vanishes, we still get that

$$(h-1) \sigma_H^{n-1}(\mathcal{U}) \leq R \int_{\mathcal{U}} (|\mathcal{H}_H| + |C_H \nu_H|) \sigma_H^{n-1}. \quad (49)$$

6.1 A weak monotonicity formula

As before, we shall set $\mathcal{U}_t = \mathcal{U} \cap B_\varrho(x, t)$. The “natural” monotonicity formula which can be deduced from the linear inequality (43) is contained in the next:

Proposition 6.3. *The following inequality holds*

$$-\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\sigma_H^{n-1}(\mathcal{U}_t)}{t^{h-1}} \leq \frac{1}{t^{h-1}} \left\{ \int_{\mathcal{U}_t} (|\mathcal{H}_H| + |C_H \nu_H|) \sigma_H^{n-1} + \sigma_H^{n-2}(\partial\mathcal{U} \cap B_\varrho(x, t)) \right\} \quad (50)$$

for $\mathcal{L}^1$ -a.e. $t > 0$.

Proof. Since we are assuming that the homogeneous distance ϱ is smooth (at least piecewise $\mathbf{C}^1$), by applying the classical Sard’s Theorem we get that $\mathcal{U}_t$ is a $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth manifold with boundary for $\mathcal{L}^1$ -a.e. $t > 0$. Equivalently, note that the previous claim follows by intersecting $\mathcal{U}$ with the boundary of a ϱ -ball $B_\varrho(x, t)$ centered at x and of radius t . Indeed, by the regularity assumption on ϱ , it follows that $\partial B_\varrho(x, t)$ is - at least - piecewise $\mathbf{C}^1$ -smooth. Therefore, after noting that (41) is invariant under left translations of the group, we may use them for the set $\mathcal{U}_t$ ²³. So we have

$$(h-1) \sigma_H^{n-1}(\mathcal{U}_t) \leq t \left\{ \int_{\mathcal{U}_t} (|\mathcal{H}_H| + |C_H \nu_H|) \sigma_H^{n-1} + \sigma_H^{n-2}(\partial\mathcal{U}_t) \right\},$$

where t is the radius of a ϱ -ball centered at x and intersecting $\mathcal{U}$. Since

$$\partial\mathcal{U}_t = \{\partial\mathcal{U} \cap B_\varrho(x, t)\} \cup \{\partial B_\varrho(x, t) \cap \mathcal{U}\}$$

²³Remind that (41) can also be used if $\mathcal{U}_t$ has a non-empty characteristic set, as we have proved in Section 6.

we get

$$(h-1)\sigma_H^{n-1}(\mathcal{U}_t) \leq t \left\{ \underbrace{\int_{\mathcal{U}_t} (|\mathcal{H}_H| + |C_H \nu_H|) \sigma_H^{n-1}}_{:=\mathcal{A}(t)} + \underbrace{\sigma_H^{n-2}(\partial\mathcal{U} \cap B_\varrho(x, t)) + \sigma_H^{n-2}(\partial B_\varrho(x, t) \cap \mathcal{U})}_{:=\mathcal{B}(t)} \right\}. \quad (51)$$

Now let us consider the function $\psi(y) := \|y - x\|_\varrho$ ($y \in \mathcal{U}$). By hypothesis ψ is a $\mathbf{C}^1$ -smooth function -at least piecewise- satisfying $|\text{grad}_H \psi| \leq 1$; see Remark 6.1. So we may apply the Coarea Formula to this function; see Section 4. Since $|\text{grad}_{HS} \psi| \leq |\text{grad}_H \psi|$, we easily get that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_H^{n-1}(\mathcal{U}_{t_1}) - \sigma_H^{n-1}(\mathcal{U}_t) &\geq \int_{\mathcal{U}_{t_1} \setminus \mathcal{U}_t} |\text{grad}_{HS} \psi| \sigma_H^{n-1} \\ &= \int_t^{t_1} \sigma_H^{n-2} \{ \psi^{-1}[s] \cap \mathcal{U} \} ds \\ &= \int_t^{t_1} \sigma_H^{n-2} (\partial B_\varrho(x, s) \cap \mathcal{U}) ds. \end{aligned}$$

From the last inequality we infer that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \sigma_H^{n-1}(\mathcal{U}_t) \geq \sigma_H^{n-2}(\partial B_\varrho(x, t) \cap \mathcal{U})$$

for every $t > 0$ for $\mathcal{L}^1$ -a.e. $t > 0$. Hence, from this inequality and (51), we obtain

$$(h-1)\sigma_H^{n-1}(\mathcal{U}_t) \leq t \left\{ \mathcal{A}(t) + \mathcal{B}(t) + \frac{d}{dt} \sigma_H^{n-1}(\mathcal{U}_t) \right\},$$

which is an equivalent form of (50). $\square$

We have to notice however that, in order to prove an intrinsic isoperimetric inequality, the number $h-1$ in the previous differential inequality *is not the correct one*, which is $Q-1$. This fact motivates the results of Section 7; see, in particular, Section 7.1.

6.2 Some weak inequalities on hypersurfaces

With an elementary technique analogous to that used at Section 6, we now state some local Poincaré-type inequalities for smooth functions compactly supported on bounded domains (i.e. open and connected) with “small size”.

Definition 6.4. *Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth hypersurface and let $\mathcal{U} \subseteq S$ be open. We say that $\mathcal{U}$ is **uniformly non-characteristic** if*

$$\sup_{x \in \mathcal{U}} |\varpi(x)| = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{U}} \frac{|\mathcal{P}_V \nu(x)|}{|\mathcal{P}_H \nu(x)|} < +\infty.$$

We stress that

$$|C_H \nu_H| = \left| \sum_{\alpha \in I_V} \omega_\alpha C_H^\alpha \nu_H \right| \leq \sum_{\alpha \in I_V} |\omega_\alpha| \|C_H^\alpha\|_{\text{Gr}} \leq C |\varpi|, \quad (52)$$

where C is a constant depending on the structural constants of $\mathbf{g}$. Moreover, let us set

$$R_{\mathcal{U}} := \frac{1}{2(\|\mathcal{H}_H\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{U})} + C\|\varpi\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{U})})}.$$

Theorem 6.5. *Let $\mathcal{U} \subset S$ be a $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth uniformly non-characteristic open set with bounded horizontal mean curvature $\mathcal{H}_H$. Then, for all $x \in \mathcal{U}$ and all $R \leq \min\{\text{dist}_\varrho(x, \partial\mathcal{U}), R_{\mathcal{U}}\}$, the following holds*

$$\left(\int_{\mathcal{U}_R} |\psi|^p \sigma_H^{n-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C_p R \left(\int_{\mathcal{U}_R} |\text{grad}_{HS} \psi|^p \sigma_H^{n-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad p \in [1, +\infty[\quad (53)$$

for every $\psi \in \mathbf{C}_0^1(\mathcal{U}_R)$. More generally, let $\tilde{\mathcal{U}} \subset \mathcal{U}$ be a bounded open subset of $\mathcal{U}$ with smooth boundary such that $\text{diam}_\varrho(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}) \leq 2 \min\{\text{dist}_\varrho(x, \partial\mathcal{U}), R_{\mathcal{U}}\}$. Then

$$\left(\int_{\tilde{\mathcal{U}}} |\psi|^p \sigma_H^{n-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C_p \text{diam}_\varrho(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}) \left(\int_{\tilde{\mathcal{U}}} |\text{grad}_{HS} \psi|^p \sigma_H^{n-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad p \in [1, +\infty[\quad (54)$$

for every $\psi \in \mathbf{C}_0^1(\tilde{\mathcal{U}})$.

In the above theorem one can take $C_p = \frac{2p}{2h-3}$.

Proof. Let us set $\psi_\varepsilon := \sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + \psi^2}$, ($\varepsilon \geq 0$). By applying Corollary 2.38 with $X = \psi_\varepsilon x_H$ we get

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}} \{ \psi_\varepsilon ((h-1) + g_H \mathcal{H}_H + \langle C_H \nu_H, x_{HS} \rangle) + \langle \text{grad}_{HS} \psi_\varepsilon, x_H \rangle \} \sigma_H^{n-1} = \int_{\partial\mathcal{U}_R} \psi_\varepsilon \langle x_H, \eta_{HS} \rangle \sigma_H^{n-2},$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} (h-1) \int_{\mathcal{U}_R} \psi_\varepsilon \sigma_H^{n-1} &\leq R \left(\int_{\mathcal{U}_R} \{ \psi_\varepsilon (|\mathcal{H}_H| + |C_H \nu_H|) + |\text{grad}_{HS} \psi_\varepsilon| \} \sigma_H^{n-1} + \int_{\partial\mathcal{U}_R} \psi_\varepsilon \sigma_H^{n-2} \right) \\ &\leq R \left\{ (\|\mathcal{H}_H\|_\infty + C\|\varpi\|_\infty) \int_{\mathcal{U}_R} \psi_\varepsilon \sigma_H^{n-1} + \left(\int_{\mathcal{U}_R} |\text{grad}_{HS} \psi_\varepsilon| \sigma_H^{n-1} + \int_{\partial\mathcal{U}_R} \psi_\varepsilon \sigma_H^{n-2} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By using Fatou's Lemma and the estimate $R \leq R_{\mathcal{U}}$ we get that

$$\begin{aligned} (h-1) \int_{\mathcal{U}_R} |\psi| \sigma_H^{n-1} &\leq (h-1) \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathcal{U}_R} \psi_\varepsilon \sigma_H^{n-1} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathcal{U}_R} \psi_\varepsilon \sigma_H^{n-1} + R \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\int_{\mathcal{U}_R} |\text{grad}_{HS} \psi_\varepsilon| \sigma_H^{n-1} + \int_{\partial\mathcal{U}_R} \psi_\varepsilon \sigma_H^{n-2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Obviously $\psi_\varepsilon \rightarrow |\psi|$ and $|\text{grad}_{HS} \psi_\varepsilon| \rightarrow |\text{grad}_{HS} \psi|$ as long as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$; moreover $|\psi| = 0$ along $\partial\mathcal{U}_R$. Now since, as it is well-known, $|\text{grad}_{HS} |\psi|| \leq |\text{grad}_{HS} \psi|$, we easily get the claim by Lebesgue's Dominate Convergence Theorem. So we have shown that

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}_R} |\psi| \sigma_H^{n-1} \leq \frac{2R}{2h-3} \int_{\mathcal{U}_R} |\text{grad}_{HS} \psi| \sigma_H^{n-1}$$

for every $\psi \in \mathbf{C}_0^1(\mathcal{U}_R)$. Finally, the general case follows by Hölder's inequality. More precisely, let us use the last inequality with $|\psi|$ replaced by $|\psi|^p$. This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{U}_R} |\psi|^p \sigma_H^{n-1} &\leq \frac{2R}{(2h-3)} \int_{\mathcal{U}_R} p |\psi|^{p-1} |\text{grad}_{HS} \psi| \sigma_H^{n-1} \\ &\leq \frac{2pR}{(2h-3)} \left(\int_{\mathcal{U}_R} |\psi|^{(p-1)q} \sigma_H^{n-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_{\mathcal{U}_R} |\text{grad}_{HS} \psi|^p \sigma_H^{n-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. This achieves the proof of (53). Clearly, (54) can be proved by repeating the same arguments as above, just by replacing R with $\text{diam}(\tilde{\mathcal{U}})$. □

With some extra hypotheses one can show that (53) still holds on the characteristic set.

Theorem 6.6. *Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth hypersurface with bounded horizontal mean curvature $\mathcal{H}_H$. Then, for every $x \in S$ there exists $R_0 \leq \text{dist}_\varrho(x, \partial S)$ such that*

$$\left(\int_{S_R} |\psi|^p \sigma_H^{n-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C_p R \left(\int_{S_R} |\text{grad}_{HS} \psi|^p \sigma_H^{n-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad p \in [1, +\infty[\quad (55)$$

for every $\psi \in \mathbf{C}_0^1(S_R)$ and every $R \leq R_0$. More precisely, let $\mathcal{U}_\epsilon$ denotes the family of open neighborhoods of C_S introduced in Remark 2.40. Then, for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $R_0 = R_0(\epsilon)$ such that (55) holds for every $\psi \in \mathbf{C}_0^1(S_R)$ and every $R \leq R_0$, where

$$R_0(\epsilon) := \min \left\{ \text{dist}_\varrho(x, \partial S), \frac{1}{2[C(1 + \|\varpi\|_{L^\infty(S_R \setminus \mathcal{U}_\epsilon)}) + \|\mathcal{H}_H\|_{L^\infty(S_R)}]} \right\}.$$

Proof. Let us set $\psi_\epsilon := \sqrt{\epsilon^2 + \psi^2}$ ($0 \leq \epsilon < 1$). We shall first prove the theorem for $p = 1$. The general case will follow by Hölder's inequality. As in Remark 2.40, let $\mathcal{U}_\epsilon$ ($\epsilon > 0$) be a family of open subsets of S with piecewise $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth boundary, such that:

- (i) $C_S \subset \mathcal{U}_\epsilon$ for every $\epsilon > 0$;
- (ii) $\sigma_R^{n-1}(\mathcal{U}_\epsilon) \longrightarrow 0$ for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$;
- (iii) $\int_{\mathcal{U}_\epsilon} |\mathcal{P}_H \nu| \sigma_R^{n-2} \longrightarrow 0$ for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$.

Fix $\epsilon_0 > 0$. For every $\epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ one has²⁴

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}_\epsilon} \psi_\epsilon |C_H \nu_H| \sigma_H^{n-1} \leq 2C \|\psi\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{U}_{\epsilon_0})} \sigma_R^{n-1}(\mathcal{U}_\epsilon).$$

Furthermore (ii) implies that for every $\delta > 0$ there exists $\epsilon_\delta > 0$ such that $\sigma_R^{n-1}(\mathcal{U}_\epsilon) < \delta$ whenever $\epsilon < \epsilon_\delta$. By putting $\tilde{\delta} \leq \frac{\int_{S_R} \psi_\epsilon \sigma_H^{n-1}}{\|\psi\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{U}_{\epsilon_0})}}$, we therefore get that

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}_\epsilon} \psi_\epsilon |C_H \nu_H| \sigma_H^{n-1} \leq C \int_{S_R} \psi_\epsilon \sigma_H^{n-1}$$

for every $\epsilon \leq \min\{\epsilon_\delta, \epsilon_0\}$, where C only depends on the structural constants of $\mathfrak{g}$. Moreover, for any given $\epsilon \in]0, \min\{\epsilon_\delta, \epsilon_0\}[$, we have

$$\int_{S_R \setminus \mathcal{U}_\epsilon} \psi_\epsilon |C_H \nu_H| \sigma_H^{n-1} \leq C \|\varpi\|_{L^\infty(S_R \setminus \mathcal{U}_\epsilon)} \int_{S_R} \psi_\epsilon \sigma_H^{n-1}.$$

From what above, it follows that

$$\int_{S_R} \psi_\epsilon |C_H \nu_H| \sigma_H^{n-1} \leq C(1 + \|\varpi\|_{L^\infty(S_R \setminus \mathcal{U}_\epsilon)}) \int_{S_R} \psi_\epsilon \sigma_H^{n-1}.$$

Since, by hypothesis, the horizontal mean curvature is bounded along S we have

$$\int_{S_R} \psi_\epsilon |\mathcal{H}_H| \sigma_H^{n-1} \leq \|\mathcal{H}_H\|_{L^\infty(S_R)} \int_{S_R} \psi_\epsilon \sigma_H^{n-1}.$$

²⁴Indeed (52) implies that $|C_H \nu_H| \leq C \max_{\alpha \in I_V} |\varpi_\alpha|$. Moreover $\int_B |\varpi_\alpha| \sigma_H^{n-1} = \int_B |\nu_\alpha| \sigma_R^{n-1} \leq \sigma_R^{n-1}(B)$ for every Borel set $B \subseteq S$.

By applying Corollary 2.38 with $X = \psi_\varepsilon x_H$ one gets

$$\begin{aligned}
(h-1) \int_{S_R} \psi_\varepsilon \sigma_H^{n-1} &\leq R \left(\int_{S_R} \{ \psi_\varepsilon (|\mathcal{H}_H| + |C_H \nu_H|) + |\text{grad}_{HS} \psi_\varepsilon| \} \sigma_H^{n-1} + \int_{\partial S_R} \psi_\varepsilon \sigma_H^{n-2} \right) \\
&\leq R [C(1 + \|\varpi\|_{L^\infty(S_R \setminus \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon)}) + \|\mathcal{H}_H\|_{L^\infty(S_R)}] \int_{S_R} \psi_\varepsilon \sigma_H^{n-1} \\
&\quad + R \left(\int_{S_R} |\text{grad}_{HS} \psi_\varepsilon| \sigma_H^{n-1} + \int_{\partial S_R} \psi_\varepsilon \sigma_H^{n-2} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

and if

$$R \leq \min \left\{ \text{dist}_\varrho(x, \partial S), \frac{1}{2[C(1 + \|\varpi\|_{L^\infty(S_R \setminus \mathcal{U}_\varepsilon)}) + \|\mathcal{H}_H\|_{L^\infty(S_R)}]} \right\}$$

one gets

$$\int_{S_R} \psi_\varepsilon \sigma_H^{n-1} \leq \frac{2R}{2h-3} \left(\int_{S_R} |\text{grad}_{HS} \psi_\varepsilon| \sigma_H^{n-1} + \int_{\partial S_R} \psi_\varepsilon \sigma_H^{n-2} \right).$$

Clearly $\psi_\varepsilon \rightarrow |\psi|$ and $|\text{grad}_{HS} \psi_\varepsilon| \rightarrow |\text{grad}_{HS} \psi|$ as long as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $|\psi| = 0$ along ∂S_R . Since $|\text{grad}_{HS} |\psi|| \leq |\text{grad}_{HS} \psi|$, the thesis follows by making use of Fatou's Lemma and of Lebesgue's Dominate Convergence Theorem. $\square$

7 Isoperimetric Inequality on hypersurfaces

Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth hypersurface with boundary ∂S . As usual, $\varpi = \frac{\mathcal{P}_V \nu}{|\mathcal{P}_H \nu|}$. Moreover, we shall consider the following objects:

$$\varpi_{H_i} := \mathcal{P}_{H_i} \varpi = \sum_{\alpha \in I_{H_i}} \varpi_\alpha X_\alpha \quad i = 2, \dots, k.$$

We shall also denote by η the unit normal vector η along ∂S ²⁵.

Definition 7.1. *In the sequel, we shall set*

- (i) $\chi := \frac{\mathcal{P}_{VS} \eta}{|\mathcal{P}_{HS} \eta|}$;
- (ii) $\chi_{H_i S} := \mathcal{P}_{H_i S} \chi \quad (i = 2, \dots, k)$;

see Remark 2.23 for the very definitions of VS and $H_i S$ ($i = 2, \dots, k$).

Definition 7.2. *Let us fix a point $x \in \mathbb{G}$ and let us consider the Carnot homothety centered at x , i.e. $\vartheta^x(t, y) := x \bullet \delta_t(x^{-1} \bullet y)$. The variational vector field related to $\vartheta_t^x(y) := \vartheta^x(t, y)$ at $t = 1$ is given by*

$$Z_x := \frac{\partial \vartheta_t^x}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=1}.$$

With no loss of generality, by using group translations, we may put $x = 0 \in \mathbb{G}$. In this case

$$\vartheta_t^0(y) = \exp(t y_H, t^2 y_{H_2}, t^3 y_{H_3}, \dots, t^i y_{H_i}, \dots, t^k y_{H_k}) \quad (t \in \mathbb{R})$$

where $y_{H_i} = \sum_{j_i \in I_{H_i}} y_{j_i} X_{j_i}$ and $\exp$ denotes the Carnot exponential mapping; see Section 2.1. Thus it turns out that

$$Z_0 = y_H + 2y_{H_2} + \dots + ky_{H_k}.$$

²⁵We stress that, at each point $x \in \partial S$, $\eta(x) \in T_x S$

Remark 7.3. As in Section 6, let $\varrho : \mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{G} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a homogeneous distance such that:

- (i) ϱ is - at least - piecewise $\mathbf{C}^1$ -smooth;
- (ii) $|\text{grad}_H \varrho| \leq 1$ at each regular point of ϱ ;
- (iii) $\frac{|x_H|}{\varrho(x)} \leq 1$.

Furthermore, we shall assume that $c_i \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ($i = 2, \dots, k$) are positive constants satisfying:

$$|x_{H_i}| \leq c_i \varrho^i(x) \quad (i = 2, \dots, k), \quad (56)$$

where we have set $\varrho(x) = \varrho(0, x) = \|x\|_\varrho$.

Definition 7.4. Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a k -step Carnot group and $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth hypersurface with - at least - piecewise $\mathbf{C}^1$ -smooth boundary ∂S . Let us set $S_R := S \cap B_\varrho(x, R)$, where $B_\varrho(x, R)$ is the open ϱ -ball centered at $x \in S$ and of radius $R > 0$. We shall set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_\infty(R) &:= \int_{S_R} |\mathcal{H}_H| \left(1 + \sum_{i=2}^k i c_i \varrho_x^{i-1} |\varpi_{H_i}| \right) \sigma_H^{n-1}, \\ \mathcal{B}(R) &:= \int_{\partial S \cap B_\varrho(x, R)} \frac{1}{\varrho_x} \left| \left\langle Z_x, \frac{\eta}{|\mathcal{P}_{HS} \eta|} \right\rangle \right| \sigma_H^{n-2}, \\ \mathcal{B}_\infty(R) &:= \int_{\partial S \cap B_\varrho(x, R)} \left(1 + \sum_{i=2}^k i c_i \varrho_x^{i-1} |\chi_{H_i S}| \right) \sigma_H^{n-2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\varrho_x(y) := \varrho(x, y)$ ($y \in S$) denotes the ϱ -distance from the “fixed” point $x \in S$. Furthermore, we shall define the following integrals:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_\infty(S) &:= \int_S |\mathcal{H}_H| \left(1 + \sum_{i=2}^k i c_i \varrho_S^{i-1} |\varpi_{H_i}| \right) \sigma_H^{n-1}, \\ \mathcal{B}(S) &:= \int_{\partial S} \frac{1}{\varrho_x} \left| \left\langle Z_x, \frac{\eta}{|\mathcal{P}_{HS} \eta|} \right\rangle \right| \sigma_H^{n-2}, \\ \mathcal{B}_\infty(S) &:= \int_{\partial S} \left(1 + \sum_{i=2}^k i c_i \varrho_S^{i-1} |\chi_{H_i S}| \right) \sigma_H^{n-2}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have set

$$\varrho_S := \frac{\text{diam}_\varrho(S)}{2}.$$

One immediately sees that $\mathcal{B}(R) \leq \mathcal{B}_\infty(R)$ for every $R > 0$ and that $\mathcal{B}(S) \leq \mathcal{B}_\infty(S)$; see, for more details, Section 7.1.

We are now in a position to state the claimed isoperimetric inequality.

Theorem 7.5. Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a k -step Carnot group and $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth compact hypersurface with $\mathbf{C}^1$ -smooth boundary ∂S . boundary. Then

$$\sigma_H^{n-1}(S) \leq C_I \{ \mathcal{A}_\infty(S) + \mathcal{B}_\infty(S) \}^{\frac{Q-1}{Q-2}}, \quad (57)$$

where C_I is a constant independent of S .

The previous theorem generalizes to Carnot groups a well-known result, proven by Michael and Simon [63] and, independently, by Allard [1]. The proof of this result will follow, as much as possible, the classical pattern which can be found, for instance, in the nice book by Burago and Zalgaller [11]. However, there are many non-trivial differences in doing this and many Euclidean - and/or Riemannian - tools have been adapted to our sub-Riemannian setting.

Remark 7.6. *Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth hypersurface with $\mathbf{C}^1$ -smooth boundary ∂S . Moreover, let us assume that $\dim C_{\partial S} < n - 2$. This implies that $\sigma_R^{n-2}(C_{\partial S}) = 0$. So let $\mathcal{V}_\epsilon \subset \partial S$ be an open neighborhood - in the relative topology of ∂S - of $C_{\partial S}$ such that $\sigma_R^{n-2}(\mathcal{V}_\epsilon) \rightarrow 0$ for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$. Since*

$$\chi = \sum_{i=2}^k \chi_{H_i S} \in L^1(\partial S, \sigma_H^{n-2}),$$

it follows that

$$\int_{\mathcal{V}_\epsilon} |\chi_{H_i S}| \sigma_H^{n-2} \leq \sigma_H^{n-2}(\partial S)$$

for all (small enough) $\epsilon > 0$ ($i = 2, \dots, k$). Furthermore, one has

$$\int_{\partial S \setminus \mathcal{V}_\epsilon} |\chi_{H_i S}| \sigma_H^{n-2} \leq \|\chi\|_{L^\infty(\partial S \setminus \mathcal{V}_\epsilon)} \sigma_H^{n-2}(\partial S)$$

for all $\epsilon < \epsilon_\delta$ ($i = 2, \dots, k$). Hence, there exists a constant $C' > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{B}_\infty(S) = \int_{\partial S} \left(1 + \sum_{i=2}^k i c_i \varrho_S^{i-1} |\chi_{H_i S}| \right) \sigma_H^{n-2} \leq C' \sigma_H^{n-2}(\partial S).$$

By applying Remark 7.6 we immediately obtain the following:

Corollary 7.7. *Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a k -step Carnot group and $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth compact hypersurface with $\mathbf{C}^1$ -smooth boundary ∂S . Moreover, let us assume that $\dim C_{\partial S} < n - 2$. Then there exists $C'_I > 0$ such that*

$$\sigma_H^{n-1}(S) \leq C'_I \{ \mathcal{A}_\infty(S) + \sigma_H^{n-2}(\partial S) \}^{\frac{Q-1}{Q-2}}. \quad (58)$$

7.1 A strong linear inequality and its related monotonicity formula

In this section we shall prove another “linear” isoperimetric inequality somewhat stronger than those previously obtained in Section 6.

Some similar results have been independently obtained in a interesting preprint by Danielli, Garofalo and Nhieu [29], where a monotonicity estimate for the H -perimeter has been proven for graphical strips in the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^1$.

Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth hypersurface with boundary ∂S smooth enough for the validity of the Riemannian Divergence Theorem.

We shall apply the 1st variation formula of σ_H^{n-1} , i.e. Theorem 2.45 (see also Corollary 2.48), with a “special” choice of the variational vector field. More precisely, let us fix a point $x \in \mathbb{G}$ and let us consider the Carnot homothety centered at x , i.e. $\vartheta^x(t, y) := x \bullet \delta_t(x^{-1} \bullet y)$. Without loss of generality, by using group translations, we may choose $x = 0 \in \mathbb{G}$. So

$$\vartheta^0(t, y) := \exp(ty_H, t^2y_{H_2}, t^3y_{H_3}, \dots, t^iy_{H_i}, \dots, t^ky_{H_k}) \quad (t \in \mathbb{R})$$

where $y_{H_i} = \sum_{j_i \in I_{H_i}} y_{j_i} e_{j_i}$ and $\exp$ denotes the Carnot exponential mapping; see Section 2.1. Thus the variational vector field related to $\vartheta_t^0(y) := \vartheta^0(t, y) = \delta_t y$, at $t = 1$, is just

$$Z_0 := \frac{\partial \vartheta_t^0}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=1} = \frac{\partial \delta_t}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=1} = y_H + 2y_{H_2} + \dots + ky_{H_k}.$$

As it is well known, by invariance of σ_H^{n-1} under Carnot dilations, one has

$$\frac{d}{dt} \delta_t^* \sigma_H^{n-1} \Big|_{t=1} = (Q-1) \sigma_H^{n-1}(S).$$

Furthermore, by using the 1st variation formula (16) (see also Corollary 2.48), one gets

$$(Q-1) \sigma_H^{n-1}(S) = - \int_S \mathcal{H}_H \left\langle Z_0, \frac{\nu}{|\mathcal{P}_H \nu_H|} \right\rangle \sigma_H^{n-1} + \int_{\partial S} \left\langle Z_0, \frac{\eta}{|\mathcal{P}_{HS} \eta|} \right\rangle \underbrace{|\mathcal{P}_H \nu_H| \cdot |\mathcal{P}_{HS} \eta| \sigma_R^{n-2}}_{=\sigma_H^{n-2}}. \quad (59)$$

Note that

$$\left\langle Z_0, \frac{\nu}{|\mathcal{P}_H \nu_H|} \right\rangle = \langle Z_0, (\nu_H + \varpi) \rangle = \langle y_H, \nu_H \rangle + \sum_{i=2}^k \langle y_{H_i}, \varpi_{H_i} \rangle.$$

Analogously, we have

$$\left\langle Z_0, \frac{\eta}{|\mathcal{P}_{HS} \eta|} \right\rangle = \langle Z_0, (\eta_{HS} + \chi) \rangle = \langle y_H, \eta_{HS} \rangle + \sum_{i=2}^k \langle y_{H_i}, \chi_{H_i S} \rangle.$$

By Cauchy-Schwartz inequality we immediately get the following estimates:

- $\left| \left\langle Z_0, \frac{\nu}{|\mathcal{P}_H \nu_H|} \right\rangle \right| \leq |y_H| + \sum_{i=2}^k i |y_{H_i}| |\varpi_{H_i}|;$
- $\left| \left\langle Z_0, \frac{\eta}{|\mathcal{P}_{HS} \eta|} \right\rangle \right| \leq |y_H| + \sum_{i=2}^k i |y_{H_i}| |\chi_{H_i S}|.$

Here above $|\cdot|$ denotes the norm associated with the fixed Riemannian metric g on $\mathbb{G}$. According with Remark 7.3, let $c_i \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$) be positive constants satisfying:

$$|y_{H_i}| \leq c_i \varrho^i(y) \quad (i = 1, \dots, k). \quad (60)$$

The above argument implies that:

- $\left| \left\langle Z_0, \frac{\nu}{|\mathcal{P}_H \nu_H|} \right\rangle \right| \leq \varrho \left(\frac{|y_H|}{\varrho} + \sum_{i=2}^k i \frac{|y_{H_i}|}{\varrho} |\varpi_{H_i}| \right) \leq \varrho \left(1 + \sum_{i=2}^k i c_i \varrho^{i-1} |\varpi_{H_i}| \right);$
- $\left| \left\langle Z_0, \frac{\eta}{|\mathcal{P}_{HS} \eta|} \right\rangle \right| \leq \varrho \left(\frac{|y_H|}{\varrho} + \sum_{i=2}^k i \frac{|y_{H_i}|}{\varrho} |\chi_{H_i S}| \right) \leq \varrho \left(1 + \sum_{i=2}^k i c_i \varrho^{i-1} |\chi_{H_i S}| \right).$

Proposition 7.8. *Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a smooth compact hypersurface with piecewise $\mathbf{C}^1$ -smooth boundary ∂S . Moreover, let R denote the radius of a ϱ -ball centered at $x \in \mathbb{G}$ and circumscribed about S . Then*

$$\sigma_H^{n-1}(S) \leq \frac{R}{Q-1} \left\{ \int_S |\mathcal{H}_H| \left(1 + \sum_{i=2}^k i c_i \varrho_x^{i-1} |\varpi_{H_i}| \right) \sigma_H^{n-1} + \int_{\partial S} \frac{1}{\varrho_x} \left| \left\langle Z_x, \frac{\eta}{|\mathcal{P}_{HS} \eta|} \right\rangle \right| \sigma_H^{n-2} \right\} \quad (61)$$

and

$$\sigma_H^{n-1}(S) \leq \frac{R}{Q-1} \left\{ \int_S |\mathcal{H}_H| \left(1 + \sum_{i=2}^k i c_i \varrho_x^{i-1} |\varpi_{H_i}| \right) \sigma_H^{n-1} + \int_{\partial S} \left(1 + \sum_{i=2}^k i c_i \varrho_x^{i-1} |\chi_{H_i S}| \right) \sigma_H^{n-2} \right\}, \quad (62)$$

where we have set $\varrho_x(y) = \varrho(x, y)$.

Proof. Immediate by the previous discussion and by the invariance of σ_H^{n-1} under left-translations. $\square$

In order to prove the claimed monotonicity inequality, we have to make some preliminary comments. Indeed, as will be clear in the sequel, the monotonicity inequality (see Proposition 7.13) could be proved, following a classical pattern, for instance by assuming that:

- *there exists a smooth homogeneous norm $\varrho : \mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{G} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that:*

$$\frac{1}{\varrho_x} |\langle Z_x, \text{grad}_{TS} \varrho_x \rangle| \leq 1 \quad (63)$$

for every $x, y \in S$.

We stress that the above hypothesis, in a sense, would be “natural” in the Riemannian setting. In this regard, we would like to quote the paper by Chung, Grigor’jan and Yau [22], where a similar hypothesis is one of the starting points of a general theory about isoperimetric inequalities on weighted Riemannian manifolds and graphs.

Remark 7.9. *Note that (63) turns out to be trivially true in the Euclidean setting. Indeed, in such a case, it turns out that $Z_x(y) = y - x$ and $\text{grad} \varrho_x(y) = \frac{y-x}{|y-x|}$. Therefore,*

$$\frac{1}{\varrho_x(y)} |\langle Z_x(y), \text{grad}_{TS} \varrho_x(y) \rangle| = 1 - \left\langle \frac{y-x}{|y-x|}, n_e \right\rangle^2 \leq 1,$$

where n_e denotes the Euclidean unit normal along S .

Example 7.10. *Let us briefly discuss (63) for the case of the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^n$ endowed with the Korany norm; see Section 2.1 and Example 6.2. In this case it turns out, after an explicit computation, that*

$$\text{grad} \varrho_0 = \frac{r_H^2 x_H - 4tx_H^\perp + 8tT}{\varrho^3}, \quad (64)$$

and this implies that

$$\frac{1}{\varrho_0} \langle Z_0, \text{grad} \varrho_0 \rangle = 1.$$

Note however that, from the last identity, we cannot conclude that (63) holds true independently of the hypersurface S . In a sense (63) is a kind of “convexity condition” about the hypersurface S , w.r.t. the homogeneous metric ϱ . For instance, it is very simple to show that (63) holds true in the following elementary cases:

- $S \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ *is any vertical hyperplane;*
- $S = \{\exp(x_H, t) \in \mathbb{H}^n : t = \text{const.}\}.$

We have to stress that the identity (64) in the previous example, it is not a mere coincidence, and it turns out to be true for every (smooth enough) homogeneous distance on a Carnot group.

Actually, we can prove the following:

Lemma 7.11. *Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a k -step Carnot group and let $\varrho : \mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{G} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be any $\mathbf{C}^1$ -smooth homogeneous norm. Then*

$$\frac{1}{\varrho_x} \langle Z_x, \text{grad} \varrho_x \rangle = 1$$

for every $x \in \mathbb{G}$.

Proof. By homogeneity and left-invariance of ϱ . More precisely, let us begin by noting that $t\varrho(z) = \varrho(\delta_t z)$ for all $t > 0$ and for every $z \in \mathbb{G}$. Setting $z := x^{-1} \bullet y$, we get that

$$t\varrho(x, y) = t\varrho(z) = \varrho(\delta_t z) = \varrho(x, x \bullet \delta_t z)$$

for every $x, y \in \mathbb{G}$ and for all $t > 0$. Hence

$$\varrho(x, y) = \frac{d}{dt} \varrho(x, x \bullet \delta_t x^{-1} \bullet y) \Big|_{t=1} = \langle \text{grad}_{\varrho_x}(y), Z_x(y) \rangle,$$

and this implies the claim. $\square$

Nevertheless, the assumption (63) could be too strong in some cases. To circumvent this inconvenience, by arguing locally, we can prove the following

Lemma 7.12. *Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth hypersurface and let $x \in S \setminus C_S$. Then there exists $R(x) > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{S_R} \frac{1}{\varrho_x} |\langle Z_x, \text{grad}_{TS} \varrho_x \rangle| \sigma_H^{n-1} \leq \sigma_H^{n-1}(S_R) \quad (65)$$

for every $R \leq R(x)$.

Proof. We may argue exactly as in Section 3, Case (a), for the case of non-characteristic points, by “blowing-up” the hypersurface S around $x \in S \setminus C_S$. By setting

$$\phi(y) := \frac{1}{\varrho_x(y)} |\langle Z_x(y), \text{grad}_{TS} \varrho_x(y) \rangle|$$

and using the homogeneity of σ_H^{n-1} under Carnot homothety $\vartheta_R^x(\cdot)$, we get that

$$\int_{S_R} \phi \sigma_H^{n-1} = R^{Q-1} \int_{\vartheta_{1/R}^x S \cap B_{\varrho}(x, 1)} \phi \circ \vartheta_R^x \sigma_H^{n-1}.$$

Now we remind that, at each non-characteristic point $x \in S \setminus C_S$, one has

$$\vartheta_{1/R}^x S \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}(\nu_H(x))$$

if $R \rightarrow 0^+$; see Section 3, Case (a). This implies that

- $\langle Z_x, \nu \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \mathcal{P}_H(Z_x), \nu_H(x) \rangle$
- $\langle \text{grad}_{\varrho_x}, \nu \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \mathcal{P}_H(\text{grad}_{\varrho_x}), \nu_H(x) \rangle$

at each point of $\vartheta_{1/R}^x S \cap B_{\varrho}(x, 1)$ for $R \rightarrow 0^+$. Therefore, it follows that $\frac{1}{\varrho_x} \langle Z_x, \nu \rangle \leq 1$ at each point of $\vartheta_{1/R}^x S \cap B_{\varrho}(x, 1)$ for $R \rightarrow 0^+$. Furthermore, by applying Remark 7.3, it follows that $\langle \text{grad}_{\varrho_x}, \nu \rangle \leq 1$ at each point of $\vartheta_{1/R}^x S \cap B_{\varrho}(x, 1)$ for $R \rightarrow 0^+$. Since, by Lemma 7.11, one has $\langle Z_x, \text{grad}_{\varrho_x} \rangle = 1$ everywhere, it follows that there exists $R(x) > 0$ such that $\phi \circ \vartheta_R^x \leq 1$ along $\vartheta_{1/R}^x S \cap B_{\varrho}(x, 1)$ for every $R \leq R(x)$. Hence

$$\int_{S_R} \phi \sigma_H^{n-1} = R^{Q-1} \int_{\vartheta_{1/R}^x S \cap B_{\varrho}(x, 1)} \phi \circ \vartheta_R^x \sigma_H^{n-1} \leq R^{Q-1} \int_{\vartheta_{1/R}^x S \cap B_{\varrho}(x, 1)} \sigma_H^{n-1} = \sigma_H^{n-1}(S_R)$$

for every $R \leq R(x)$. $\square$

At this point, by means of (61) and of the previous Lemma 7.12, we are able to state and prove the claimed monotonicity formula for the H -perimeter σ_H^{n-1} .

Henceforth, we shall set $S_t := S \cap B_\varrho(x, t)$ ($t > 0$).

Proposition 7.13 (Monotonicity of σ_H^{n-1}). *Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth hypersurface. Then for every $x \in \text{Int}S \setminus C_S$ there exists $R(x) > 0$ such that the following differential inequality holds*

$$-\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\sigma_H^{n-1}(S_t)}{t^{Q-1}} \leq \frac{1}{t^{Q-1}} \{ \mathcal{A}_\infty(t) + \mathcal{B}_\infty(t) \} \quad (66)$$

for $\mathcal{L}^1$ -a.e. $t \in]0, R(x)[$.

Proof. We begin by arguing exactly as in the first part of the proof of Proposition 6.3. More precisely, by applying the classical Sard's Theorem we get that S_t is a $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth manifold with boundary for $\mathcal{L}^1$ -a.e. $t > 0$. By using (61) we obtain

$$(Q-1) \sigma_H^{n-1}(S_t) \leq t \left\{ \int_{S_t} |\mathcal{H}_H| \left(1 + \sum_{i=2}^k i c_i \varrho_x^{i-1} |\varpi_{H_i}| \right) \sigma_H^{n-1} + \int_{\partial S_t} \frac{1}{\varrho_x} \left| \left\langle Z_x, \frac{\eta}{|\mathcal{P}_{HS} \eta|} \right\rangle \right| \sigma_H^{n-2} \right\}$$

for $\mathcal{L}^1$ -a.e. $t > 0$, where t is the radius of a ϱ -ball centered at $x \in \text{Int}S \setminus C_S$. Since

$$\partial S_t = \{ \partial S \cap B_\varrho(x, t) \} \cup \{ \partial B_\varrho(x, t) \cap S \}$$

we get that

$$\begin{aligned} (Q-1) \sigma_H^{n-1}(S_t) &\leq t \left\{ \underbrace{\int_{S_t} |\mathcal{H}_H| \left(1 + \sum_{i=2}^k i c_i \varrho_x^{i-1} |\varpi_{H_i}| \right) \sigma_H^{n-1}}_{:= \mathcal{A}_\infty(t)} + \underbrace{\int_{\partial S \cap B_\varrho(x, t)} \frac{1}{\varrho_x} \left| \left\langle Z_x, \frac{\eta}{|\mathcal{P}_{HS} \eta|} \right\rangle \right| \sigma_H^{n-2}}_{:= \mathcal{B}(t)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \underbrace{\int_{\partial B_\varrho(x, t) \cap S} \frac{1}{\varrho_x} \left| \left\langle Z_x, \frac{\eta}{|\mathcal{P}_{HS} \eta|} \right\rangle \right| \sigma_H^{n-2}}_{:= \tilde{\mathcal{B}}(t)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the term $\mathcal{B}(t)$ can be estimated as in the case of the boundary term of the inequality (62); see Proposition 7.8. In particular, one has $\mathcal{B}(t) \leq \mathcal{B}_\infty(t)$. Now let us estimate the third integral $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}(t)$ by using Coarea Formula and Lemma 7.12. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_H^{n-1}(S_{t+h}) - \sigma_H^{n-1}(S_t) &\geq \int_{S_{t+h} \setminus S_t} \frac{1}{\varrho_x} |\langle Z_x, \text{grad}_{TS} \varrho_x \rangle| \sigma_H^{n-1} \\ &= \int_t^{t+h} ds \int_{\partial B_\varrho(x, s) \cap S} \frac{1}{\varrho_x} \left| \left\langle Z_x, \frac{\eta}{|\mathcal{P}_{HS} \eta|} \right\rangle \right| \sigma_H^{n-2}, \end{aligned}$$

for all (small enough) $h > 0$. From the last inequality we infer that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \sigma_H^{n-1}(S_t) \geq \int_{\partial B_\varrho(x, t) \cap S} \frac{1}{\varrho_x} \left| \left\langle Z_x, \frac{\eta}{|\mathcal{P}_{HS} \eta|} \right\rangle \right| \sigma_H^{n-2}$$

for $\mathcal{L}^1$ -a.e. $t \in]0, R(x)[$. Hence

$$(Q-1) \sigma_H^{n-1}(S_t) \leq t \left(\mathcal{A}_\infty(t) + \mathcal{B}_\infty(t) + \frac{d}{dt} \sigma_H^{n-1}(S_t) \right)$$

that is equivalent to the thesis. □

Both Lemma 7.12 and Proposition 7.13 could be generalized also to the case where $x \in C_S$, i.e. x is a characteristic point. This could be done by using the same approach used for studying characteristic points at Section 3, Case(b). Nevertheless, for brevity, we will not consider this problem in its general formulation, but only for the case of the Heisenberg group endowed with the Korany norm.

Lemma 7.14. *Let $\mathbb{H}^n$ be the $2n+1$ -dimensional Heisenberg group and let $\varrho(x) = \sqrt[4]{|x_H|^4 + 16t^2}$ be the Korany norm. Let $S \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ be a $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth hypersurface having non-empty characteristic set C_S . Then (65) holds locally around every $x \in \text{Int}S \cap C_S$. Consequently, the monotonicity inequality (66) holds true.*

Proof. Because of the invariance under left-translations, and without loss of generality, we may assume that $0 \in \text{Int}S \cap C_S$. Thus, locally around the identity $0 \in \mathbb{H}^n$, one easily infers that

$$S = \{x = \exp(x_H, \psi(x_H)) : x_H \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}, \psi \in \mathbf{C}^2(U_0), \psi(0_{2n}) = 0, \text{grad}_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}\psi(0_{2n}) = 0_{2n}\},$$

for some open neighborhood U_0 of $0_{2n} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Setting $A := \text{Hess}_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}}\psi(0_{2n})$ and using an ordinary 2nd order Taylor's expansion for ψ near 0_{2n} , one gets, after routine calculations, that

$$\psi(x_H) = \langle Ax_H, x_H \rangle + o(|x_H|^2), \quad (|x_H| \rightarrow 0^+).$$

Therefore, using the same notation and terminology as in Section 3, Case(b), we may blow-up S around $0 \in \mathbb{H}^n$. It turns out that the limit set Ψ_∞ is given by

$$\Psi_\infty = \exp\{(x_H, \langle Ax_H, x_H \rangle) : x_H \in U_0\}.$$

Hence, if we denote by ν the Riemannian unit normal along Ψ_∞ , we find that

$$\nu(x) = \frac{(-Ax_H + \frac{x_H^\perp}{2}, 1)}{\sqrt{1 + |Ax_H|^2 + \frac{|x_H|^2}{4}}}.$$

So we get that

$$\langle Z_0, \nu \rangle = \frac{\langle Ax_H, x_H \rangle}{\sqrt{1 + |Ax_H|^2 + \frac{|x_H|^2}{4}}}$$

and that

$$\langle \text{grad}_\varrho, \nu \rangle = \frac{\langle Ax_H, x_H \rangle (8 + o(|x_H|))}{\varrho^3 \sqrt{1 + |Ax_H|^2 + \frac{|x_H|^2}{4}}} \sim \frac{8}{\varrho^3} \langle Ax_H, x_H \rangle$$

for $|x_H| \rightarrow 0^+$. From what above one infers that

$$\frac{1}{\varrho_x} \langle Z_x, \text{grad}_{TS} \varrho_x \rangle|_{\Psi_\infty} = 1 - \frac{8 \langle A\xi_H, \xi_H \rangle^2}{(1 + 16 \langle A\xi_H, \xi_H \rangle^2)(1 + o(|x_H|))} \leq 1$$

for $|x_H| \rightarrow 0^+$, where we have set $\xi_H := \frac{x_H}{|x_H|}$. By arguing as in Lemma 7.12, the claim follows. $\square$

7.2 Proof of Theorem 7.5

Lemma 7.15. *Let $x \in \text{Int}S \setminus C_S$ and set ²⁶ $R_0(x) := \min \left\{ R(x), 2 \left\{ \frac{\sigma_H^{n-1}(S)}{k_\varrho(\nu_H(x))} \right\}^{1/Q-1} \right\}$. Then, for every $\lambda \geq 2$ there exists $R \in]0, R_0(x)[$ such that*

$$\sigma_H^{n-1}(S_{\lambda R}) \leq \lambda^{Q-1} R_0(x) \{ \mathcal{A}_\infty(R) + \mathcal{B}_\infty(R) \}.$$

²⁶The quantity $R(x)$ has been introduced at Lemma 7.13.

Remark 7.16. We remind that the metric-factor $k_\varrho(\nu_H)$ turns out to be constant, for instance, by assuming that ϱ be symmetric on all layers; see, for instance, [61]. Anyway, the metric factor is uniformly bounded by two positive constants k_1 and k_2 . This can be easily deduced by making use of the so-called **ball-box metric**²⁷ and by a homogeneity argument. Indeed, for any given ϱ -ball $B_\varrho(x, R)$, there exist two boxes $\text{Box}(x, R_1), \text{Box}(x, R_2)$ ($R_1 \leq R \leq R_2$) such that

$$\text{Box}(x, R_1) \subseteq B_\varrho(x, R) \subseteq \text{Box}(x, R_2).$$

Remind that

$$k_\varrho(\nu_H(x)) = \sigma_H^{n-1}(\mathcal{I}(\nu_H(x)) \cap B_\varrho(x, 1)) = \mathcal{H}_{\text{eu}}^{n-1}(\mathcal{I}(\nu_H(x)) \cap B_\varrho(x, 1)).$$

Now let us choose R_1, R_2 such that $0 < R_1 \leq 1 \leq R_2$ and $\text{Box}(x, R_1) \subseteq B_\varrho(x, 1) \subseteq \text{Box}(x, R_2)$. Since $\delta_t \text{Box}(x, 1/2) = \text{Box}(x, t/2)$ for every $t \geq 0$, by a simple computation²⁸ we get that

$$(2R_1)^{Q-1} \leq k_\varrho(\nu_H(x)) \leq \sqrt{n-1} (2R_2)^{Q-1}.$$

In particular, we may put $k_1 = (2R_1)^{Q-1}$ and $k_2 = \sqrt{n-1} (2R_2)^{Q-1}$.

We stress that, as an application of Remark 7.16, for every homogeneous metric ϱ , one can choose two positive constants k_1, k_2 such that

$$k_1 \leq \kappa_\varrho(\nu_H(x)) \leq k_2 \tag{67}$$

for every $x \in S \setminus C_S$.

Notation 7.17. Henceforth, we shall set $R_0(S) := \sup_{x \in S \setminus C_S} R_0(x)$.

Proof of Lemma 7.15. Let $R \in]0, R_0(x)[$. Note that $\sigma_H^{n-1}(S_t)$ is a monotone non-decreasing function of t on $[R, R_0]$. So let us write the identity

$$\sigma_H^{n-1}(S_t)/t^{Q-1} = \{\sigma_H^{n-1}(S_t)/t^{Q-1} - \sigma_H^{n-1}(S_{R_0(x)})\}/t^{Q-1} + \sigma_H^{n-1}(S_{R_0(x)})/t^{Q-1}.$$

²⁷By definition one has

$$\text{Box}(x, R) = \{y = \exp\left(\sum_{i=1, \dots, k} y_{H_i}\right) \in \mathbb{G} \mid \|y_{H_i} - x_{H_i}\|_\infty \leq R^i\},$$

where $y_{H_i} = \sum_{j_i \in I_{H_i}} y_{j_i} e_{j_i}$ and $\|y_{H_i}\|_\infty$ is the sup-norm on the i -th layer of $\mathfrak{g}$; see, for instance, [46], [72].

²⁸The unit box $\text{Box}(x, 1/2)$ is the left-translated at the point x of that centered at the identity of $\mathbb{G}$, i.e. $\text{Box}(0, 1/2)$. So, by the left-invariance of the measure σ_H^{n-1} , the computation can be done at $0 \in \mathbb{G}$. By observing that $\text{Box}(0, 1/2)$ (or, more precisely, $\log(\text{Box}(0, 1/2))$) can be regarded just as the unit hypercube of $\mathbb{R}^n \cong \mathfrak{g}$, it remains to show how we can estimate the σ_H^{n-1} -measure (remind that on vertical hyperplanes, one has $\sigma_H^{n-1} = \mathcal{H}_{\text{eu}}^{n-1}$) of the intersection of the unit box $\text{Box}(0, 1/2)$ with a “generic” vertical hyperplane through the origin $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n \cong \mathfrak{g}$. But this is a very simple matter. Indeed, if $\mathcal{I}(X)$ denotes the vertical hyperplane through the origin of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and orthogonal to the (unit) horizontal vector $X \in H$, we get that

$$1 \leq \mathcal{H}_{\text{eu}}^{n-1}(\text{Box}(0, 1/2) \cap \mathcal{I}(X)) \leq \sqrt{n-1},$$

where we notice that $\sqrt{n-1}$ is just the diameter of any face of the unit hypercube of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Therefore

$$(\delta_{2R_1} \text{Box}(0, 1/2) \cap \mathcal{I}(X)) \subseteq (B_\varrho(0, 1) \cap \mathcal{I}(X)) \subseteq (\delta_{2R_2} \text{Box}(x, 1/2) \cap \mathcal{I}(X))$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} (2R_1)^{Q-1} &\leq (2R_1)^{Q-1} \mathcal{H}_{\text{eu}}^{n-1}(\text{Box}(0, 1/2) \cap \mathcal{I}(X)) \leq \mathcal{H}_{\text{eu}}^{n-1}(B_\varrho(0, 1) \cap \mathcal{I}(X)) \\ &= \kappa_\varrho(X) \leq (2R_2)^{Q-1} \mathcal{H}_{\text{eu}}^{n-1}(\text{Box}(0, 1/2) \cap \mathcal{I}(X)) \leq \sqrt{n-1} (2R_2)^{Q-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The first addend is an increasing function of t , while the second one is an absolutely continuous function of t . Therefore, by integrating the differential inequality (66), we get that

$$\frac{\sigma_H^{n-1}(S_R)}{R^{Q-1}} \leq \frac{\sigma_H^{n-1}(S_{R_0(x)})}{R_0(x)^{Q-1}} + \int_0^{R_0(x)} \{\mathcal{A}_\infty(t) + \mathcal{B}_\infty(t)\} t^{-(Q-1)} dt. \quad (68)$$

Therefore

$$\beta := \sup_{R \in [0, R_0(x)]} \frac{\sigma_H^{n-1}(S_R)}{R^{Q-1}} \leq \frac{\sigma_H^{n-1}(S_{R_0(x)})}{R_0(x)^{Q-1}} + \int_0^{R_0(x)} \{\mathcal{A}_\infty(t) + \mathcal{B}_\infty(t)\} t^{-(Q-1)} dt. \quad (69)$$

Now we argue by contradiction. If the lemma is false, it follows that for every $R \in]0, R_0(x)[$

$$\sigma_H^{n-1}(S_{\lambda R}) > \lambda^{Q-1} R_0(x) \{\mathcal{A}_\infty(R) + \mathcal{B}_\infty(R)\}. \quad (70)$$

From the last inequality we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{R_0(x)} \{\mathcal{A}_\infty(t) + \mathcal{B}_\infty(t)\} t^{-(Q-1)} dt \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^{Q-1} R_0(x)} \int_0^{R_0(x)} \sigma_H^{n-1}(S_{\lambda t}) t^{-(Q-1)} dt \\ & = \frac{1}{\lambda R_0(x)} \int_0^{\lambda R_0(x)} \sigma_H^{n-1}(S_s) s^{-(Q-1)} ds \\ & = \frac{1}{\lambda R_0(x)} \int_0^{\lambda R_0(x)} \sigma_H^{n-1}(S_s) s^{-(Q-1)} ds + \frac{1}{\lambda R_0(x)} \int_{R_0(x)}^{\lambda R_0(x)} \sigma_H^{n-1}(S_s) s^{-(Q-1)} ds \\ & \leq \frac{\beta}{\lambda} + \frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda} \frac{\sigma_H^{n-1}(S)}{R_0(x)^{Q-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

By using (68) we get that

$$\beta \leq \frac{\sigma_H^{n-1}(S_{R_0(x)})}{R_0(x)^{Q-1}} + \frac{\beta}{\lambda} + \frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda} \frac{\sigma_H^{n-1}(S)}{R_0(x)^{Q-1}}$$

and so

$$\frac{\lambda-1}{\lambda} \beta \leq \frac{2\lambda-1}{\lambda} \frac{\sigma_H^{n-1}(S)}{R_0(x)^{Q-1}} = \frac{2\lambda-1}{\lambda} \frac{k_\varrho(\nu_H(x))}{2^{Q-1}}.$$

By its own definition, one has

$$k_\varrho(\nu_H(x)) = \lim_{R \searrow 0^+} \frac{\sigma_H^{n-1}(S_R)}{R^{Q-1}} \leq \beta.$$

Furthermore, since²⁹ $Q-1 \geq 3$, we get that

$$\lambda-1 \leq \frac{2\lambda-1}{8},$$

or equivalently $\lambda \leq \frac{7}{6}$, which contradicts the hypothesis $\lambda \geq 2$. □

²⁹Indeed, the first non-abelian Carnot group is the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^1$ for which one has $Q = 4$. Moreover, note that, since the theory of Carnot groups also contains, as a special case, the theory of Euclidean spaces, in the above argument we can use the estimate $Q-1 \geq 2$ which is relative to the case of a 2-dimensional surface in $\mathbb{R}^3$; indeed, in such a case $Q = 3$, since the homogeneous dimension coincides with the topological one.

The next covering lemma is well-known and can be found in [11]; see also [32].

Lemma 7.18 (Vitali's Covering Lemma). *Let (X, d) be a compact metric space and let $A \subset X$. Moreover, let $\mathcal{C}$ be a covering of A by closed d -balls with centers in A . We also assume that each point x of A is the center of at least one closed d -ball belonging to $\mathcal{C}$ and that the radii of the balls of the covering are uniformly bounded by some positive constant. Then, for every $\lambda > 2$ there exists a no more than countable subset $\mathcal{C}' \subset \mathcal{C}$ of pairwise non-intersecting closed balls $\overline{B}(x_k, R_k)$ such that*

$$A \subset \bigcup_k B(x_k, \lambda R_k).$$

We are now in a position to prove our main result.

Proof of Theorem 7.5. First we shall apply Lemma 7.15. To this aim, let $\lambda > 2$ be fixed and, for every $x \in \text{Int}S \setminus C_S$, let $R(x) \in]0, R_0(S)[$ be such that

$$\sigma_H^{n-1}(S_{R(x)}) \leq \lambda^{Q-1} R_0(S) \{ \mathcal{A}_\infty(R(x)) + \mathcal{B}_\infty(R(x)) \}.$$

Now let us consider the covering $\mathcal{C} = \{ \overline{B}_\varrho(x, R(x)) \}$ of the (relatively compact) set $S \setminus C_S \subset \mathbb{G}$. By Lemma 7.18, there exists a sub-covering $\mathcal{C}' \subset \mathcal{C}$ of pairwise non-intersecting closed balls $\overline{B}_\varrho(x_k, R(x_k))$, such that

$$S \setminus C_S \subset \bigcup_k B_\varrho(x_k, \lambda R(x_k)).$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_H^{n-1}(S) &\leq \sum_k \sigma_H^{n-1}(S \cap B_\varrho(x_k, \lambda R(x_k))) \\ &\leq \lambda^{Q-1} R_0(S) \sum_k \{ \mathcal{A}_\infty(R(x_k)) + \mathcal{B}_\infty(R(x_k)) \} \\ &\leq \lambda^{Q-1} R_0(S) \{ \mathcal{A}_\infty(S) + \mathcal{B}_\infty(S) \}. \end{aligned}$$

By letting $\lambda \searrow 2$ we get that

$$\sigma_H^{n-1}(S) \leq 2^{Q-1} R_0(S) \{ \mathcal{A}_\infty(S) + \mathcal{B}_\infty(S) \}. \quad (71)$$

Since

$$2^{Q-1} R_0(S) \leq 2^{Q-1} \sup_{x \in S \setminus C_S} 2 \left\{ \frac{\sigma_H^{n-1}(S)}{k_\varrho(\nu_H(x))} \right\}^{\frac{1}{Q-1}} = 2^Q \sup_{x \in S \setminus C_S} \frac{\{\sigma_H^{n-1}(S)\}^{\frac{1}{Q-1}}}{\{k_\varrho(\nu_H(x))\}^{\frac{1}{Q-1}}},$$

by making use of (67) one gets that

$$2^{Q-1} R_0(S) \leq 2^Q \frac{\{\sigma_H^{n-1}(S)\}^{\frac{1}{Q-1}}}{k_1^{\frac{1}{Q-1}}},$$

and so

$$\{\sigma_H^{n-1}(S)\}^{\frac{Q-2}{Q-1}} \leq \frac{2^Q}{k_1^{\frac{1}{Q-1}}} \{ \mathcal{A}_\infty(S) + \mathcal{B}_\infty(S) \}. \quad (72)$$

Obviously, the last inequality is equivalent to (58) by setting $C_I := 2^{\frac{Q \cdot Q-1}{Q-2}} \cdot k_1^{\frac{1}{2-Q}}$, where k_1 has been defined in Remark 7.16. Note that, by construction, k_1 only depends on the homogeneous metric ϱ ; see Remark 7.16. Moreover, for a constant metric factor $\kappa_\varrho(\nu_H)$, one may choose $k_1 = \kappa_\varrho(\nu_H)$. Anyway the constant C_I only depends on the group $\mathbb{G}$ and on the homogeneous metric ϱ . This completes the proof of (57). $\square$

7.3 An application of the monotonicity formula: asymptotic behavior of σ_H^{n-1}

The monotonicity formula (66) can equivalently be formulated as follows:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left\{ \frac{\sigma_H^{n-1}(S_t)}{t^{Q-1}} \exp \left(\int_0^t \frac{\mathcal{A}_\infty(s) + \mathcal{B}_\infty(s)}{\sigma_H^{n-1}(S_s)} ds \right) \right\} \geq 0$$

for $\mathcal{L}^1$ -a.e. $t \in]0, R(x)[$ and for every $x \in S \setminus C_S$. By Theorem 3.1, Case (a), we may pass to the limit as $t \searrow 0^+$ in the previous inequality; see Section 3. Hence

$$\sigma_H^{n-1}(S_t) \geq \kappa_\varrho(\nu_H(x)) t^{Q-1} \exp \left(- \int_0^t \frac{\mathcal{A}_\infty(s) + \mathcal{B}_\infty(s)}{\sigma_H^{n-1}(S_s)} ds \right),$$

for every $x \in \text{Int}(S)$ satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 7.19. *Under the above hypotheses and notation, let us assume that*

$$\partial S \cap B_\varrho(x, t) = \emptyset$$

and that $|\mathcal{H}_H| \leq \mathcal{H}_H^0 < +\infty$. Then, for every $x \in S \setminus C_S$, one has

$$\sigma_H^{n-1}(S_t) \geq \kappa_\varrho(\nu_H(x)) t^{Q-1} e^{-t\{\mathcal{H}_H^0(1+O(t))\}}. \quad (73)$$

Proof. Since, by hypothesis, $\mathcal{B}_\infty(s) = 0$, we just have to bound $\int_0^t \frac{\mathcal{A}_\infty(s)}{\sigma_H^{n-1}(S_s)} ds$ from above. To this aim, let us note that, locally around $x \in S \setminus C_S$, one has $|\varpi_{H_i}| \leq \varepsilon_i$ for some positive constants ε_i ($i = 2, \dots, k$). Moreover, one has $\varrho_S(S_s) = s$. Therefore

$$\mathcal{A}_\infty(s) \leq \mathcal{H}_H^0 \left\{ 1 + \sum_{i=2}^k \varepsilon_i s^{i-1} \right\} \sigma_H^{n-1}(S_s)$$

and the claim easily follows. $\square$

More generally, the previous result could be generalized, for instance, to those characteristic points satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1. Later on, we shall analyze in detail only the case of the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^n$, because in such a case the monotonicity formula also holds near characteristic points; see Lemma 7.14.

Before turning our attention to the Heisenberg group case, let us discuss the following:

Remark 7.20. *Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth hypersurface and let $x \in \text{Int}S$. As above, let us set $S_s = S \cap B_\varrho(x, s)$ ($s > 0$). Then, we claim that*

$$\frac{\int_{S_s} |\varpi_{H_i}| \sigma_H^{n-1}}{\sigma_H^{n-1}(S_s)} \leq \varepsilon_i \frac{s^{Q-i}}{s^{Q-1}}, \quad (74)$$

for some constants $\varepsilon_i > 0$, $i = 2, \dots, k$. To see this, let us note that $X_\alpha \lrcorner \sigma_R^n = *\omega_\alpha$, where $*$ denotes the Hodge star operation on $T^*\mathbb{G}$. Furthermore, one has $\delta_t^*(*\omega_\alpha) = t^{Q-\text{ord}(\alpha)}(*\omega_\alpha)$ for every $t > 0$. Therefore, (74) easily follows since

$$\int_{S_s} |\varpi_{H_i}| \sigma_H^{n-1} \leq \sum_{\text{ord}(\alpha)=i} \int_{S_s} |X_\alpha \lrcorner \sigma_R^n| = \sum_{\text{ord}(\alpha)=i} s^{Q-i} \int_{\vartheta_{1/s}^x S \cap B_\varrho(x, 1)} (*\omega_\alpha) \circ \vartheta_s^x.$$

Corollary 7.21. *Let $\mathbb{H}^n$ be the $2n+1$ -dimensional Heisenberg group and let $\varrho(x) = \sqrt[4]{|x_H|^4 + 16t^2}$ be the Korany norm. Let $S \subset \mathbb{H}^n$ be a $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth hypersurface having non-empty characteristic set. Furthermore, let us assume that $\partial S \cap B_\varrho(x, t) = \emptyset$ and that $|\mathcal{H}_H| \leq \mathcal{H}_H^0 < +\infty$. Then, for every $x \in \text{Int}S \cap C_S$, there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that*

$$\sigma_H^{2n}(S_t) \geq \kappa_\varrho(C_S(x)) t^{Q-1} e^{-t\mathcal{H}_H^0 \varepsilon_0}, \quad (75)$$

where $\kappa_\varrho(C_S(x))$ has been defined in Theorem 3.1, Case (b).

Proof. By applying Remark 7.20 we easily get that

$$\frac{\mathcal{A}_\infty(s)}{\sigma_H^{2n}(S_s)} \leq \mathcal{H}_H^0 \left\{ 1 + \sum_{i=2}^k \varepsilon_i \right\}.$$

Setting $\varepsilon_0 := 1 + \sum_{i=2}^k \varepsilon_i$ now yields the desired result. $\square$

8 Sobolev-type inequalities on hypersurfaces

The isoperimetric inequality (57) is actually equivalent to an inequality of Sobolev-type. The proof of this fact is completely analogous to that of the well-known classical equivalence between the Euclidean Isoperimetric Inequality and the Sobolev one; see [11], [16].

Theorem 8.1. *Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth hypersurface without boundary. Then for every $\psi \in \mathbf{C}_0^1(S)$ the following inequality holds*

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \int_S |\psi|^{\frac{Q-1}{Q-2}} \sigma_H^{n-1} \right\}^{\frac{Q-2}{Q-1}} &\leq C_I \left\{ \int_S |\psi| |\mathcal{H}_H| \left(1 + \sum_{i=2}^k i c_i \varrho_S^{i-1} |\varpi_{H_i}| \right) \sigma_H^{n-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_S \left(|\text{grad}_{HS} \psi| + \sum_{i=2}^k i c_i \varrho_S^{i-1} |\text{grad}_{H_i S} \psi| \right) \sigma_H^{n-1} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where C_I is a dimensional constant independent of S .

The constant C_I is the same as in Theorem 7.5.

Proof. The proof follows a classical argument; see [33], [62]. Let us begin by noting that, since $|\text{grad}_{HS} \psi| \leq |\text{grad}_{HS} |\psi||$, without loss of generality, we may assume that $\psi \geq 0$. Moreover let us set

$$S_t := \{x \in S : \psi(x) > t\}.$$

Since ψ has compact support, the set S_t is a bounded open subset of S and, by applying Sard's Lemma, one sees that its boundary ∂S_t is smooth for $\mathcal{L}^1$ -a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{0\}$. Furthermore, $S_t = \emptyset$ for each (large enough) $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. The main tools that we are going to use are Cavalieri's principle and the Coarea Formula; see Footnote 22 and Theorem 34. In particular, we stress that

$$\int_S |\psi|^{\frac{Q-1}{Q-2}} \sigma_H^{n-1} = \frac{Q-1}{Q-2} \int_0^{+\infty} t^{\frac{1}{Q-2}} \sigma_H^{n-1} \{S_t\} dt.$$

We also remind that, if $\varphi : \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{0\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{0\}$ is a positive *decreasing* function and $\alpha \geq 1$, then the following inequality holds:

$$\alpha \int_0^{+\infty} t^{\alpha-1} \varphi^\alpha dt \leq \left(\int_0^{+\infty} \varphi(t) dt \right)^\alpha.$$

So let us use this inequality with $\alpha = \frac{Q-1}{Q-2}$ and $\varphi(t) = (\sigma_H^{n-1}\{S_t\})^{\frac{Q-2}{Q-1}}$. One has

$$\begin{aligned} \int_S \psi^{\frac{Q-1}{Q-2}} \sigma_H^{n-1} &= \frac{Q-1}{Q-2} \int_0^{+\infty} t^{\frac{Q-1}{Q-2}} (\sigma_H^{n-1}\{S_t\}) dt \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^{+\infty} (\sigma_H^{n-1}\{S_t\})^{\frac{Q-2}{Q-1}} dt \right)^{\frac{Q-1}{Q-2}} \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^{+\infty} C_I^{\frac{Q-2}{Q-1}} \{\mathcal{A}_\infty(S_t) + \mathcal{B}_\infty(S_t)\} dt \right)^{\frac{Q-1}{Q-2}} \\ &= C_I \left(\int_0^{+\infty} \{\mathcal{A}_\infty(S_t) + \mathcal{B}_\infty(S_t)\} dt \right)^{\frac{Q-1}{Q-2}}. \end{aligned}$$

At this point let us note that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{+\infty} \mathcal{A}_\infty(S_t) dt &= \int_0^{+\infty} \left\{ \int_{S_t} |\mathcal{H}_H| \left(1 + \sum_{i=2}^k i c_i \varrho_{S_t}^{i-1} |\varpi_{H_i}| \right) \sigma_H^{n-1} \right\} dt \\ &\leq \int_S |\psi| |\mathcal{H}_H| \left(1 + \sum_{i=2}^k i c_i \varrho_S^{i-1} |\varpi_{H_i}| \right) \sigma_H^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{+\infty} \mathcal{B}_\infty(S_t) dt &\leq \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\partial S_t} \left(1 + \sum_{i=2}^k i c_i \varrho_{S_t}^{i-1} |\chi_{H_i}| \right) \sigma_H^{n-2} dt \\ &\leq \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{\partial S_t} \left(1 + \sum_{i=2}^k i c_i \varrho_S^{i-1} |\chi_{H_i}| \right) \sigma_H^{n-2} dt \\ &= \int_S |\text{grad}_{HS} \psi| \left(1 + \sum_{i=2}^k i c_i \varrho_S^{i-1} \frac{|\text{grad}_{H_i S} \psi|}{|\text{grad}_{HS} \psi|} \right) \sigma_H^{n-1} \quad (\text{by Coarea Formula}) \\ &= \int_S \left(|\text{grad}_{HS} \psi| + \sum_{i=2}^k i c_i \varrho_S^{i-1} |\text{grad}_{H_i S} \psi| \right) \sigma_H^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

and the thesis easily follows. $\square$

Now we are going to make a further assumption on the geometry of S . The simplest hypothesis which can be made is, of course, that of the *global boundedness of the horizontal mean curvature*. The argument below is quite similar to the one used throughout the proof of Theorem 6.6. As in Remark 2.40, let S_ϵ ($\epsilon > 0$) be a family of open subsets of S with piecewise $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth boundary such that:

- (i) $C_S \subset \mathcal{U}_\epsilon$ for every $\epsilon > 0$;
- (ii) $\sigma_R^{n-1}(\mathcal{U}_\epsilon) \longrightarrow 0$ for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$;
- (iii) $\int_{\mathcal{U}_\epsilon} |\mathcal{P}_H \nu| \sigma_R^{n-2} \longrightarrow 0$ for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$.

Now let us fix $\epsilon_0 > 0$. For every $\epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$ one has

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}_\epsilon} |\psi| |\varpi_{H_i}| \sigma_H^{n-1} \leq \|\psi\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{U}_{\epsilon_0})} \sigma_R^{n-1}(\mathcal{U}_\epsilon).$$

Then, (ii) implies that for every $\delta > 0$ there exists $\epsilon_\delta > 0$ such that $\sigma_R^{n-1}(\mathcal{U}_\epsilon) < \delta$ whenever $\epsilon < \epsilon_\delta$. By choosing $\tilde{\delta} \leq \frac{\int_S |\psi| \sigma_H^{n-1}}{\|\psi\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{U}_{\epsilon_0})}}$ one gets that

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}_\epsilon} |\psi| |\varpi_{H_i}| \sigma_H^{n-1} \leq \int_S |\psi| \sigma_H^{n-1}$$

for every $\epsilon \leq \min\{\epsilon_{\tilde{\delta}}, \epsilon_0\}$. Furthermore, one has

$$\int_{S \setminus \mathcal{U}_\epsilon} |\psi| |\varpi_{H_i}| \sigma_H^{n-1} \leq \|\varpi\|_{L^\infty(S \setminus \mathcal{U}_\epsilon)} \int_S |\psi| \sigma_H^{n-1}$$

for every $\epsilon \leq \min\{\epsilon_{\tilde{\delta}}, \epsilon_0\}$. Since, by hypothesis, the horizontal mean curvature $\mathcal{H}_H$ is globally bounded, one infers that:

- there exists $C_0 > 0$ such that

$$\int_S |\psi| |\mathcal{H}_H| \left(1 + \sum_{i=2}^k i c_i \varrho_S^{i-1} |\varpi_{H_i}|\right) \sigma_H^{n-1} \leq C_0 \int_S |\psi| \sigma_H^{n-1}.$$

By applying the above argument we get the following:

Corollary 8.2. *Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth hypersurface without boundary and assume that $\mathcal{H}_H$ be globally bounded along S . Then there exists $C_1 > 0$ such that*

$$\left\{ \int_S |\psi|^{\frac{Q-1}{Q-2}} \sigma_H^{n-1} \right\}^{\frac{Q-2}{Q-1}} \leq C_1 \int_S \left\{ |\psi| + |\text{grad}_{HS} \psi| + \sum_{i=2}^k i c_i \varrho_S^{i-1} |\text{grad}_{H_i S} \psi| \right\} \sigma_H^{n-1}. \quad (76)$$

for every $\psi \in \mathbf{C}_0^1(S)$.

Proof. Immediate by the previous discussion. $\square$

(**H**₁) Henceforth, let us assume that $\mathcal{H}_H$ is globally bounded along S .

Notation 8.3. As in the standard theory of Sobolev spaces, for any $p > 0$, we shall set

$$\frac{1}{p^*} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{Q-1}.$$

Moreover, p' will denote the Hölder conjugate of p , i.e. $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$. In the sequel, any L^p norm will be understood w.r.t. the σ_H^{n-1} -measure.

Corollary 8.4. Under the previous assumptions, there exists $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$\|\psi\|_{L^{p^*}(S)} \leq C_2 \left\{ \|\psi\|_{L^p(S)} + \|\text{grad}_{HS} \psi\|_{L^p(S)} + \sum_{i=2}^k \|\text{grad}_{H_i S} \psi\|_{L^p(S)} \right\}$$

for every $\psi \in \mathbf{C}_0^1(S)$.

Proof. Let us apply (76) with ψ replaced by $\psi|\psi|^{t-1}$, for some $t > 0$. One has

$$\left\{ \int_S |\psi|^t \frac{Q-1}{Q-2} \sigma_H^{n-1} \right\}^{\frac{Q-2}{Q-1}} \leq C_1 \int_S \left\{ |\psi|^t + t|\psi|^{t-1} \left[\|grad_{HS} \psi\| + \sum_{i=2}^k \|grad_{H_i S} \psi\| \right] \right\} \sigma_H^{n-1}. \quad (77)$$

If we put $t \frac{Q-1}{Q-2} = p'(t-1)$, it follows that $p^* = t \frac{Q-2}{Q-1}$. Thus, by Hölder inequality one gets

$$\left\{ \int_S |\psi|^{p^*} \sigma_H^{n-1} \right\}^{\frac{Q-2}{Q-1}} \leq C_1 \left(\int_S |\psi|^{p^*} \sigma_H^{n-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left\{ \|\psi\|_{L^p(S)} + t \left[\|grad_{HS} \psi\|_{L^p(S)} + \sum_{i=2}^k \|grad_{H_i S} \psi\|_{L^p(S)} \right] \right\},$$

which is equivalent to the thesis. $\square$

Corollary 8.5. *Under the previous assumptions and notation, let $p \in [1, Q-1[$. Then there exists $C_3 > 0$ such that*

$$\|\psi\|_{L^q(S)} \leq C_3 \left\{ \|\psi\|_{L^p(S)} + \|grad_{HS} \psi\|_{L^p(S)} + \sum_{i=2}^k \|grad_{H_i S} \psi\|_{L^p(S)} \right\} \quad \forall q \in [p, p^*]$$

for every $\psi \in \mathbf{C}_0^1(S)$.

Proof. For any given $q \in [p, p^*]$ there exists $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ such that $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{\alpha}{p} + \frac{1-\alpha}{p^*}$. Hence

$$\|\psi\|_{L^q(S)} \leq \|\psi\|_{L^p(S)}^\alpha \|\psi\|_{L^{p^*}(S)}^{1-\alpha} \leq \|\psi\|_{L^p(S)} + \|\psi\|_{L^{p^*}(S)},$$

where we have used the usual *interpolation inequality* and Young's inequality. The thesis follows from Corollary 8.4. $\square$

Corollary 8.6 (Limit case: $p = Q-1$). *Under the previous assumptions and notation, let $p = Q-1$. Then there exists $C_4 > 0$ such that*

$$\|\psi\|_{L^q(S)} \leq C_4 \left\{ \|\psi\|_{L^p(S)} + \|grad_{HS} \psi\|_{L^p(S)} + \sum_{i=2}^k \|grad_{H_i S} \psi\|_{L^p(S)} \right\} \quad \forall q \in [Q-1, +\infty[$$

for every $\psi \in \mathbf{C}_0^1(S)$.

Proof. Use (77) and put $t \geq 1$. By Hölder inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \int_S |\psi|^t \frac{Q-1}{Q-2} \sigma_H^{n-1} \right\}^{\frac{Q-2}{Q-1}} \\ & \leq C_1 \left\{ \|\psi\|_{L^t(S)}^t + t \left(\int_S |\psi|^{p' \frac{Q-1}{Q-2}} \sigma_H^{n-1} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left[\|grad_{HS} \psi\|_{L^p(S)} + \sum_{i=2}^k \|grad_{H_i S} \psi\|_{L^p(S)} \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for every $\psi \in \mathbf{C}_0^1(S)$. From this and Young's inequality we easily get that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi\|_{L^t \frac{Q-1}{Q-2}} & \leq \widetilde{C}_1 \left\{ \left[\|\psi\|_{L^{p' \frac{Q-1}{Q-2}}(S)}^{1-\frac{1}{t}} \left[\|grad_{HS} \psi\|_{L^p(S)} + \sum_{i=2}^k \|grad_{H_i S} \psi\|_{L^p(S)} \right]^{\frac{1}{t}} + \|\psi\|_{L^t(S)} \right\} \\ & \leq \widetilde{C}_2 \left\{ \|\psi\|_{L^t(S)} + \|\psi\|_{L^{p' \frac{Q-1}{Q-2}}(S)} + \left[\|grad_{HS} \psi\|_{L^p(S)} + \sum_{i=2}^k \|grad_{H_i S} \psi\|_{L^p(S)} \right] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By setting $p = Q - 1$ in the last inequality one gets

$$\|\psi\|_{L^{\frac{(Q-1)^2}{Q-2}}(S)} \leq \widetilde{C}_3 \left\{ \|\psi\|_{L^{Q-1}(S)} + \|\text{grad}_{HS} \psi\|_{L^{Q-1}(S)} + \sum_{i=2}^k \|\text{grad}_{H_i S} \psi\|_{L^{Q-1}(S)} \right\}.$$

By reiterating this procedure for $t = Q, Q + 1, \dots$ one can show that there exists C_4 such that

$$\|\psi\|_{L^q(S)} \leq C_4 \left\{ \|\psi\|_{L^{Q-1}(S)} + \|\text{grad}_{HS} \psi\|_{L^{Q-1}(S)} + \sum_{i=2}^k \|\text{grad}_{H_i S} \psi\|_{L^{Q-1}(S)} \right\} \quad \forall q \geq Q - 1$$

for every $\psi \in \mathbf{C}_0^1(S)$. $\square$

At this point, we would like to discuss another geometric hypothesis and its consequences in getting “horizontal” Sobolev-type inequalities, i.e. only depending on the HS -component of the tangential gradient. As above, let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth hypersurface without boundary. Moreover, we shall assume that:

(H₂) For every $x \in S \setminus C_S$ and for $\mathcal{L}^1$ -a.e. $t \in]0, R(x)[$ one has

$$\dim C_{\partial S_t} < n - 2,$$

where $S_t = S \cap B_\varrho(x, t)$.

Remark 8.7. Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth and non-characteristic and let us assume that the horizontal tangent bundle HS be generic and horizontal, i.e. (S, HS) is a CC -space. Then, by arguing exactly as in Remark 5.3, it follows that **(H₂)** is automatically satisfied. More generally, the validity of **(H₂)** follows by assuming, just as in Remark 5.2, that:

(H) For every smooth $(n - 2)$ -dimensional submanifold $N \subset S$ one has

$$\dim C_N < n - 2.$$

Let $\mathcal{U} \subset S$ be any relatively compact open set with $\mathbf{C}^1$ -smooth boundary $\partial\mathcal{U}$, oriented by its unit normal η . By assuming either **(H₂)** or **(H)**, one gets $\sigma_R^{n-2}(C_{\partial\mathcal{U}}) = 0$. So let $\mathcal{V}_\epsilon \subset \partial\mathcal{U}$ be an open neighborhood of $C_{\partial\mathcal{U}}$ such that $\sigma_R^{n-2}(\mathcal{V}_\epsilon) \rightarrow 0$ for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$. Since

$$\chi = \sum_{i=2}^k \chi_{H_i S} \in L^1(\partial\mathcal{U}, \sigma_H^{n-2}),$$

it follows that

$$\int_{\mathcal{V}_\epsilon} |\chi_{H_i \mathcal{U}}| \sigma_H^{n-2} \leq \sigma_H^{n-2}(\partial\mathcal{U})$$

for every (small enough) $\epsilon > 0$ ($i = 2, \dots, k$). Furthermore, one has

$$\int_{\partial\mathcal{U} \setminus \mathcal{V}_\epsilon} |\chi_{H_i \mathcal{U}}| \sigma_H^{n-2} \leq \|\chi\|_{L^\infty(\partial\mathcal{U} \setminus \mathcal{V}_\epsilon)} \sigma_H^{n-2}(\partial\mathcal{U})$$

for every $\epsilon > 0$ ($i = 2, \dots, k$). Therefore, we have shown that:

- there exist $C'_0 > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{B}_\infty(\mathcal{U}) = \int_{\partial\mathcal{U}} \left(1 + \sum_{i=2}^k i c_i \varrho_{\mathcal{U}}^{i-1} |\chi_{H_i \mathcal{U}}| \right) \sigma_H^{n-2} \leq C'_0 \sigma_H^{n-2}(\partial\mathcal{U}).$$

The previous argument yields the next:

Theorem 8.8. *Let $S \subset \mathbb{G}$ be a $\mathbf{C}^2$ -smooth hypersurface without boundary and let $\mathcal{H}_H$ be globally bounded along S . Moreover, let us assume either $(\mathbf{H}_2)$ or $(\mathbf{H})$ hold true. Then there exists $C'_1 > 0$ such that*

$$\left\{ \int_S |\psi|^{\frac{Q-1}{Q-2}} \sigma_H^{n-1} \right\}^{\frac{Q-2}{Q-1}} \leq C'_1 \int_S \{|\psi| + |\text{grad}_{HS} \psi|\} \sigma_H^{n-1}. \quad (78)$$

for every $\psi \in \mathbf{C}_0^1(S)$. Moreover, there exists $C'_2 > 0$ such that

$$\|\psi\|_{L^{p^*}(S)} \leq C'_2 \{ \|\psi\|_{L^p(S)} + \|\text{grad}_{HS} \psi\|_{L^p(S)} \} \quad (79)$$

for every $\psi \in \mathbf{C}_0^1(S)$. If $p \in [1, Q-1[$, then there exists $C'_3 > 0$ such that

$$\|\psi\|_{L^q(S)} \leq C'_3 \{ \|\psi\|_{L^p(S)} + \|\text{grad}_{HS} \psi\|_{L^p(S)} \} \quad \forall q \in [p, p^*] \quad (80)$$

for every $\psi \in \mathbf{C}_0^1(S)$. Finally, let $p = Q-1$. Then there exists $C'_4 > 0$ such that

$$\|\psi\|_{L^q(S)} \leq C'_4 \{ \|\psi\|_{L^p(S)} + \|\text{grad}_{HS} \psi\|_{L^p(S)} \} \quad \forall q \in [Q-1, +\infty[\quad (81)$$

for every $\psi \in \mathbf{C}_0^1(S)$.

Proof. The proof of (78) is almost identical to that of Theorem 8.1. The only difference is in the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{+\infty} \mathcal{B}_\infty(S_t) dt &\leq C'_0 \int_0^{+\infty} \sigma_H^{n-2}(\partial S_t) dt \\ &= C'_0 \int_S |\text{grad}_{HS} \psi| \sigma_H^{n-1} \quad (\text{by Coarea Formula}). \end{aligned}$$

Then, inequality (78) follows by arguing as in Corollary 8.2. The other statements can be proved by arguing exactly as we have done throughout the proofs of Corollary 8.4, Corollary 8.5 and Corollary 8.6. □

References

- [1] W.K. ALLARD, *On the first variation of a varifold*, Ann. Math. bf 95, 417-491, 1972.
- [2] L. AMBROSIO, *Some fine properties of sets of finite perimeter in Ahlfors regular metric measure spaces*, Adv. in Math., 159 (1) (2001), 51-67.
- [3] L. AMBROSIO, B. KIRCHEIM, *Rectifiable sets in metric and Banach spaces*, Math. Ann. 318 (2000) 527-555.
- [4] ———, *Current in metric spaces*, Acta Math. 185 (2000) 1-80.
- [5] L. AMBROSIO, S. RIGOT, *Optimal mass transportation in the Heisenberg group*, J. Funct. Anal. 208, no. 2 (2004) 261-301.
- [6] L. AMBROSIO, F. SERRA CASSANO, D. VITTONI, *Intrinsic regular hypersurfaces in Heisenberg groups*, J. Geom. Anal. 16, no. 2 (2006) 187-232.
- [7] N. ARCOZZI, F. FERRARI, F. MONTEFALCONE, *CC-distance and metric normal of smooth hypersurfaces in sub-Riemannian Carnot groups*, Preprint (2009).
- [8] V. BARONE ADESI, F. SERRA CASSANO, D. VITTONI, *The Bernstein problem for intrinsic graphs in Heisenberg groups and calibrations*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 30, no. 1 (2007) 17-49.

- [9] Z.M. BALOGH, *Size of characteristic sets and functions with prescribed gradient*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 564 (2003) 63–83.
- [10] Z.M. BALOGH, J.T. TYSON, B. WARHURST, *Sub-Riemannian vs. Euclidean dimension comparison and fractal geometry on Carnot groups*, Adv. Math. 220, no. 2 (2009) 560–619.
- [11] YU.D. BURAGO, V.A. ZALGALLER, *Geometric Inequalities*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 285, Springer Verlag (1988).
- [12] L. CAPOGNA, D. DANIELLI, N. GAROFALO, *The geometric Sobolev embedding for vector fields and the isoperimetric inequality*, Comm. Anal. Geom. 12 (1994).
- [13] L. CAPOGNA, G. CITTI, M. MANFREDINI, *Smoothness of Lipschitz minimal intrinsic graphs in Heisenberg groups H^n , $n > 1$* , Indiana University Mathematics Journal (2008).
- [14] ———, *Regularity of minimal graphs in H^1* , Submitted paper.
- [15] I. CHAVEL, *“Riemannian Geometry: a modern introduction”*, Cambridge University Press (1994).
- [16] ———, *Isoperimetric Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press (2001).
- [17] ———, *Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry*, Pure and Applied Mathematics 115, Academic Press (1984).
- [18] J. CHEEGER, *Differentiability of Lipschitz functions on metric measure spaces*, Geom.Funct.An., 9, (1999) 428-517.
- [19] ———, *A lower bound for the Smallest eigenvalue of the Laplacian*, in “Problems in Analysis, a symposium in honor of S. Bochner”, Princeton University Press (1970).
- [20] J. CHEEGER, B. KLEINER, *Differentiating maps into L^1 and the geometry of BV functions* Jeff Cheeger , Ann. of Math., Accepted Paper (2008).
- [21] J.J CHENG, J.F. HWANG, A. MALCHIODI, P. YANG, *Minimal surfaces in pseudohermitian geometry*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci., 5, IV (2005) 129-179.
- [22] F. CHUNG, A. GRIGOR’YAN, S.T. YAU, *Higher eigenvalues and isoperimetric inequalities on Riemannian manifolds and graphs*, Comm. Anal. Geom., 8, no.5 (2000) 969-1026.
- [23] G. CITTI, M. MANFREDINI, A. SARTI, *Neuronal oscillation in the visual cortex: Gamma-convergence to the Riemannian Mumford-Shah functional*, SIAM J. of Mathematical Analysis Volume 35, Number 6, 1394 - 1419.
- [24] G. CITTI, M. MANFREDINI, *A degenerate parabolic equation arising in image processing*, Communication on Applied Analysis, 8, 1 (2004) 125-141.
- [25] ———, *Blow-Up in Non-Homogeneous Lie Groups and Rectifiability*, to appear on Houston Journal of Mathematics.
- [26] L.J. CORVIN, F.P. GREENLEAF, *Representations of nilpotent Lie groups and their applications*, Cambridge University Press (1984).
- [27] D. DANIELLI, N. GAROFALO, D.M. NHIEU, *Minimal surfaces, surfaces of constant mean curvature and isoperimetry in Carnot groups*, Preprint (2001).
- [28] ———, *Sub-Riemannian Calculus on Hypersurfaces in Carnot groups*, Adv. Math. 215, no. 1 (2007) 292–378.
- [29] ———, *Sub-Riemannian calculus and monotonicity of the perimeter for graphical strips*, Preprint (2008).
- [30] G. DAVID, S. SEMMES, *“Fractured Fractals and Broken Dreams. Self-Similar Geometry through Metric and Measure”*, Oxford University Press (1997).
- [31] E.DE GIORGI, *Un progetto di teoria delle correnti, forme differenziali e varietà non orientate in spazi metrici*, in *Variational Methods, Non Linear Analysis and Differential Equations in Honour of J.P. Cecconi*, M.Chicco et al. Eds. ECIG, Genova (1993) 67-71.
- [32] H. FEDERER, *“Geometric Measure Theory”*, Springer Verlag (1969).
- [33] H. FEDERER, W.H. FLEMING, *“Normal and Integral Currents”*, Ann. Math. 72 (1960) 458-520.
- [34] C. FEFFERMAN, D.H. PHONG, *Subelliptic eigenvalue problems*, Conference in harmonic analysis in honor of Antoni Zygmund, Vol. I, II (Chicago, Ill., 1981), 590-606, Wadsworth Math. Ser., Wadsworth, Belmont, CA, 1983.
- [35] B. FRANCHI, S. GALLOT, & R.L. WHEEDEN, *Sobolev and isoperimetric inequalities for degenerate metrics*, Math. Ann., 300 (1994) 557-571.
- [36] B. FRANCHI & E. LANCONELLI, *Hölder regularity theorem for a class of non uniformly elliptic operators with measurable coefficients*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa, 10 (1983) 523-541.

- [37] B. FRANCHI, R. SERAPIONI, F.S. CASSANO, *Rectifiability and Perimeter in the Heisenberg Group*, Math. Ann., 321 (2001) 479-531.
- [38] ———, *Regular hypersurfaces, intrinsic perimeter and implicit function theorem in Carnot groups*, Comm. Anal. Geom., 11, no. 5 (2003) 909-944.
- [39] ———, *On the structure of finite perimeter sets in step 2 Carnot groups*, J. Geom. Anal., 13, no. 3 (2003) 421-466.
- [40] ———, *Regular submanifolds, graphs and area formula in Heisenberg groups.*, Adv. Math. 211, no. 1 (2007) 152–203.
- [41] ———, *Intrinsic Lipschitz graphs in Heisenberg groups.*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. 7, no. 3 (2006) 423–441.
- [42] ———, *Intrinsic submanifolds, graphs and currents in Heisenberg groups.*, Lect. Notes Semin. Interdiscip. Mat., IV, S.I.M. Dep. Mat. Univ. Basilicata, Potenza (2005) 23–38.
- [43] N. GAROFALO, D.M. NHIEU, *Isoperimetric and Sobolev inequalities for Carnot-Carathéodory spaces and the existence of minimal surfaces*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 49 (1996) 1081-1144.
- [44] N. GAROFALO, S. PAULS, *The Bernstein problem in the Heisenberg group*, arXiv:math.DG/0209065.
- [45] Z. GE, *Betti numbers, characteristic classes and sub-Riemannian geometry* Illinois Jour. of Math., 36, no. 3 (1992).
- [46] M. GROMOV, *Carnot-Carathéodory spaces seen from within*, in “Subriemannian Geometry”, Progress in Mathematics, 144. ed. by A.Bellaïche and J.Risler, Birkhauser Verlag, Basel (1996).
- [47] ———, *“Metric structures for Riemannian and Non Riemannian Spaces”*, Progress in Mathematics, 153, Birkhauser Verlag, Boston (1999).
- [48] P.HAJLASZ, & P.KOSKELA, *Sobolev met Poincare*, Mem. Am. Math. Soc., 688 (2000).
- [49] W. HEBISCH, A.SIKORA, *A smooth subadditive homogeneous norm on a homogeneous group*, Studia Mathematica, T. XCVI (1990).
- [50] S. HELGASON, *“Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces”*, Academic Press, New York (1978).
- [51] R. HERMANN, *The Second Variation for Minimal Submanifolds*, Jour.Math.Mech., 16 (1966).
- [52] ———, *“Differential Geometry and the Calculus of Variations”*, Academic Press, 49, New York London (1968).
- [53] N.J HICKS, *“Notes on Differential geometry”*, Van Nostrand Reinholds Company, London (1971).
- [54] R.H HLADKY, S.D. PAULS, *Constant mean curvature surfaces in sub-Riemannian geometry*, J. Differential Geom. 79, no. 1 (2008) 111–139.
- [55] ———, *Variation of Perimeter Measure in sub-Riemannian geometry*, Preprint (2007).
- [56] D. JERISON, *The Poincaré inequality for vector fields satisfying Hörmander condition*, Duke Math. Journal, 53 (1986) 503-523.
- [57] A. KORÁNYI & H.M. REIMANN, *Foundation for the Theory of Quasiconformal Mapping on the Heisenberg Group*, Advances in Mathematics, 111 (1995) 1-85.
- [58] V. MAGNANI, *“Elements of Geometric Measure Theory on sub-Riemannian groups”*, PHD Thesis, Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, (2002).
- [59] ———, *Characteristic points, Rectifiability and Perimeter measure on stratified groups*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS), 8, no. 5 (2006) 585-609.
- [60] ———, *Non-horizontal submanifolds and Coarea Formula*, J. Anal. Math. 106 (2008), 95–127.
- [61] V. MAGNANI, D. VITTONI, *An intrinsic measure for submanifolds in stratified groups*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 619 (2008) 203–232.
- [62] V.G. MAZ’YA, *Classes of domains and embedding theorem for functional spaces*, (in Russian) Dokl. Acad. Nauk SSSR, 133 (1960) no.3, 527-530. Engl. transl. Soviet Math. Dokl., 1 (1961) 882-885.
- [63] J.H. MICHAEL, L.M. SIMON, *Sobolev and Mean-value Inequalities on Generalized Submanifolds of $\mathbb{R}^n$* , Comm. on Pure and Applied Math., Vol. XXVI (1973) 361-379 .
- [64] J. MILNOR, *Curvatures of left invariant Riemannian metrics*, Adv. Math., 21 (1976) 293–329.
- [65] J. MITCHELL, *On Carnot-Carathéodory metrics*, J.Differ. Geom. 21 (1985) 35-45.
- [66] F. MONTEFALCONE, *Some Remarks in Differential and Integral Geometry of Carnot Groups* Tesi di Dottorato–Università degli Studi di Bologna– (2004).

- [67] ———, *Some relations among volume, intrinsic perimeter and one-dimensional restrictions of BV functions in Carnot groups* Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Classe di Scienze, 5, IV, Fasc.1 (2005) 79-128.
- [68] ———, *Hypersurfaces and variational formulas in sub-Riemannian Carnot groups*, Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées, 87 (2007) 453-494.
- [69] ———, *Ipersuperfici e formule variazionali in Gruppi di Carnot sub-Riemanniani*, Seminario Pini, 25 aprile 2007, Pitagora Editore, Bologna.
- [70] R. MONTI, F. SERRA CASSANO, *Surface measures in Carnot-Carathéodory spaces*, Calc. Var. and Pde, 13 (2001) 339-376.
- [71] R. MONTI, F. SERRA CASSANO, D. VITTONI, *A negative answer to the Bernstein problem for intrinsic graphs in the Heisenberg group*, Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. (9) 1, no. 3 (2008) 709-727.
- [72] R. MONTGOMERY, *"A Tour of Subriemannian Geometries, Their Geodesics and Applications"*, AMS, Math. Surveys and Monographs, 91 (2002).
- [73] R. NARASIMHAN, *"Analysis on Real and Complex manifolds"*, North Holland Publ., Amsterdam (1968).
- [74] P. PANSU, *"Géométrie du Group d'Heisenberg"*, Thèse pour le titre de Docteur, 3ème cycle, Université Paris VII (1982).
- [75] ———, *Métriques de Carnot-Carathéodory et quasi-isométries des espaces symétriques de rang un*, Ann. of Math. 2, 129 (1989) 1-60.
- [76] ———, *Submanifolds and differential forms in Carnot manifolds, after M. Gromov et M. Rumin.* (2005) 41 pages.
- [77] S.D. PAULS, *Minimal surfaces in the Heisenberg group*, Geom. Dedicata, 104 (2004) 201-231.
- [78] M. RITORÉ, C. ROSALES, *Area stationary surfaces in the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}^1$* , Adv. Math. 219, no.2 (2009) 179-192.
- [79] M. RUMIN, *Formes différentielles sur les variétés de contact*, J. of Differential Geom., 39, 2 (1994) 281-330.
- [80] A. SARTI, G. CITTI, M. MANFREDINI, *'From neural oscillations to variational problems in the visual cortex*, special issue of the Journal of Physiology, Volume 97, Issues 2-3 (2003) 87-385.
- [81] M. SPIVAK, *"Differential Geometry"*, Volume IV, Publish or Perish (1964).
- [82] E.M. STEIN, *"Harmonic Analysis"*, Princeton University Press (1993).
- [83] R.S. STRICHARTZ, *Sub-Riemannian geometry*, J. Diff. Geom., 24 (1986) 221-263. Corrections: J. Diff. Geom., 30 (1989) 595-596.
- [84] N.TH. VAROPOULOS, L. SALOFF-COSTE, T. COULHON, *Analysis and Geometry on Groups*, Cambridge University Press (1992).
- [85] A.M. VERSHIK, V.YA GERSHKOVICH, *Nonholonomic Dynamical systems, Geometry of Distributions and Variational Problems*, in V.I. Arnold, S.P. Novikov (eds.), Dynamical systems VII, Springer-Verlag (1996).
- [86] K. YANO, *Integral formulas in Riemannian Geometry*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, No. 1 Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York (1970).
- [87] S.T YAU, *Isoperimetric inequalities and the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian on compact Riemannian manifolds*, Annales Scientifiques de l'É.N. S. 4^e série, n° (1975), 487-507.

Francescopaolo Montefalcone:

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università degli Studi di Trento,
Povo (Trento)- Via Sommarive, 14 Italia

Dipartimento di Matematica, Università degli Studi di Bologna,
Piazza di P.ta S.Donato, 5, 40126 Bologna, Italia

E-mail address: montefal@dm.unibo.it